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Intro to the Workshop

- Part of the Dataset Metadata and Metadata Services embedded into the Research Lifecycle at UCF.
- One hour workshop, in lecture style, covered a large amount of information:
  - Status of data documentation and management as revealed by a campus survey;
  - Research data documentation basics;
  - General and domain metadata standards, thesauri, data citation;
  - Data documentation practices in different disciplines, data repositories, tools...
- It was delivered to the UCF students as part of the UCF Libraries Graduate Workshops (coordinated by Corinne) initially in 2014 and 2015.
Context of the Initial Workshop

- The UCF Research Data Management Survey (Beile, [https://stars.library.ucf.edu/lib-docs/144/](https://stars.library.ucf.edu/lib-docs/144/))

- Results from Data Collection, Recording & Analysis Sections
  - What type(s) of data do you generate? Please indicate an approximate percentage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Average Value</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numerical data, e.g. ocean temperatures (%)</td>
<td>62.03</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text, e.g. historical records and literature (%)</td>
<td>28.35</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Still images (%)</td>
<td>24.19</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio files (%)</td>
<td>27.37</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video files (%)</td>
<td>22.95</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical data, e.g. patient health information (%)</td>
<td>49.47</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical data, e.g. raw and processed “omic” data (%)</td>
<td>18.17</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tabulated data (%)</td>
<td>34.79</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (%)</td>
<td>53.58</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Context of the Initial Workshop

- **What format(s) are your data in?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Annotation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audio</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Audio (2), .mpeg, .mp3 (2), .mp4, .wav (2), .wma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Filemaker, Online survey database, .dat (3), .sql (2), .mat (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographic information data organizers</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.gis, .lyr, .prj, .shp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphics</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>.gif (3), .jpg (7), .png, .tif (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.ppt (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote sensing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>LiDAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific data</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.fits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation engines</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.bpp BEopt, .enb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source code</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>.cpp, .stk, hyperRESEARCH files, HDF5, VTK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spreadsheets</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>.xls and .xlsx (45), .csv (12), .jnb (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical analysis software</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>.dta (3), .jmp, minitab, SAS (8), SPSS (17), STATISTICA, statistical files</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>.pdf (8), .doc and .docx (31), .asc (2), .txt (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.mov, .wmv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual machines(?)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.sav (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note that some files may not be categorized correctly. This was a best guesstimate.*

Source: The UCF Research Data Management Survey ([https://stars.library.ucf.edu/lib-docs/144/](https://stars.library.ucf.edu/lib-docs/144/))
Context of the Initial Workshop

○ How is your data labeled or annotated?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Automatically, through a data collection tool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manually, by myself or a member of my research team</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referentially, with an associated codebook</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

○ How are you recording lab data?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lab notebooks in paper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excel (or other) files on computers in the lab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic lab notebook (ELN) tool. Please specify which one.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excel (or other) files on computers in the lab</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

○ More popular tools: SAS/SAS Enterprise version, MATLAB, SPSS, R-project programs, NVivo, SigmaPlot

○ Most popular choice for “Lab Notebook”: Excel (98%), Lab notebooks in paper (59%), Electronic lab notebook (6%)

Source: The UCF Research Data Management Survey (https://stars.library.ucf.edu/lib-docs/144/)
Context of the Initial Workshop

- Do you document or record any metadata for your data or dataset?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- If you record metadata for your dataset, do you use any local, agency-specific, or national standards or guidelines?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes (please specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I'm not sure</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standards or guidelines filled in: HIPAA/FERPA, FITS standard, program specific, librarians are helping us with this, and all of the above.

Source: The UCF Research Data Management Survey (https://stars.library.ucf.edu/lib-docs/144/)
Data Documentation in 1 minute (or 20 seconds)

- **Data documentation**: records data’s creation, meaning, content, structure, manipulation; necessary for data sharing, reuse and long-term preservation.

- **The various kinds of documentation** may include:
  - Embedded documentation (included within the data, e.g., code, field and label descriptions, syntax, descriptive headers or summaries, transcripts)
  - Supporting documentation (in separate files, e.g., readme, project information, methodology report, working papers, lab books, questionnaires or interview guides, reports & publications)
  - Catalog Metadata (for data archiving, identification and locating)

In other words, Research data can be documented at various levels: **Project level, File/database level** and **Variable/item level**.

- **Metadata** can be taken as a type of data documentation; can be embedded in data files, produced and recorded in the research lifecycle.

- It is recommended to document **all data collected and generated through your research lifecycle**: materials, research data format...

- **Consider**: what information is needed, funder requirements, field standards (discipline metadata & data documentation standards), appropriate tools, identifier, thesauri, data citation, (data) repositories...
Student Experiences and Feedback

- Short surveys were conducted in two workshops; received participants’ responses.

What is your overall assessment of the event? (1=insufficient, 5=excellent)

Knowledge and information gained from participation at this event?
(Met your expectations Yes  No  Somehow Unassigned  NA)
**Student Experiences and Feedback**

- Which topics or aspects of the workshop did you find most interesting or useful?

| Reference 1 - 4.76% Coverage | I liked that the speaker went over the tools we can use in research. I think some | Coding, Datasets, Tools |
| Reference 2 - 4.76% Coverage | The research! It’s a lot of information! But Great! | Research, Datasets |
| Reference 3 - 4.76% Coverage | Tools available to store data: Different standards of data documentation. | Research, Archive, Repositories, Everything |
| Reference 4 - 4.76% Coverage | Open source bio repositories, SPSS archive approach in the portable format. | Research, Archive, Repositories |
| Reference 5 - 4.76% Coverage | Everything was very important to know. | Research, Archive, Repositories, Everything |
| Reference 6 - 4.76% Coverage | Guides, thesaurus, web links | Research, Archive, Repositories, Everything |

**topics_liked**: Word frequency query results

- topics_liked
Student Experiences and Feedback

- How do you think the workshop could have been made more effective?

![Hierarchy chart: Compared by number of coding references]

effectiveness_how (Hierarchy chart: Compared by number of coding references)
Student Experiences and Feedback

- Comments and suggestions (including topics or activities you think would be useful, for the future)

![Hierarchy chart: Compared by number of coding references](image-url)
ICPSR Data Fair

- ICPSR Data Fair 2018
- Learned more about data related concepts and aspects
  - Data deposit, Access, Sharing, Data transparency, Research reproducibility, Community tools, Ethics in social research, Data training, Diversity, Equity and inclusion in data...

- Sessions by both data curators and practitioners; learned some real cases
  - Census Bureau case, data portal, community data archive, colleges’ & universities’ data practices

- Got to know more about good data habits and practices (e.g., in data analysis & presentation).

Image source: https://library.temple.edu/beyondthepage/event/learning-good-data-habits
Data Curation Network

- **Data Curation Network** (DCN, [https://datacurationnetwork.org/](https://datacurationnetwork.org/))
  - A collaborative model for curating research data across academic and general data repositories.

- Participated in the DCN Specialized Data Curation workshop @ DLF;

- **Created the SPSS Primer** (Deng, Dull, Finn & Khair)
  - [https://conservancy.umn.edu/handle/11299/202812](https://conservancy.umn.edu/handle/11299/202812)

- **Reflections:**
  - DCN and DCN primers are more designed for the curators, however,
  - They can help data practitioners to pay more attention to good data practices (including data documentation practices) for different data formats.
  - Shared training materials with Digital Initiatives.
Redesign

- Make the workshop more **researcher centered** rather than librarian centered;

- Use examples from the survey to address issues and make **recommendations for best practices**;

- Add more emphasis on **tools**, specially on the quantitative analysis software SPSS and qualitative analysis software NVivo, and provide details on how to use them for data documentation;
Redesign

- Add more **activities** on how to document research datasets;

- Continue to cover data repositories, metadata standards and vocabularies, but include more information in **appendixes**;

- Cover less information in the workshop, supplement it with **handouts** of resources;

- Could consider making it a series in the future (if attendance is good).
Modules

Part I: The Data Basics
- Understanding Data, Research Data and Datasets
- Why data documentation (Q)
- Data documentation & Metadata

Part II: Data Documentation
- Practices & Recommendations (Q, E, D)
- Data Documentation: Study-level (E, Ex, D)
- Data Documentation: Data-level (Quantitative/ Qualitative data; SPSS/ NVivo) (E, Ex, D)

Q: w/ question. E: w/ examples. Ex: w/ exercise. D: w/ discussion.
Modules

Part III: Dataset Metadata

- **Dataset Metadata** (Q, E)
  - Dataset Metadata ABC
  - Metadata Standards
  - Vocabularies and Thesauri
  - Disciplinary Metadata & Data Repositories
- **Curation Tools for Datasets** (E, D)

Part IV: Dataset/Metadata Service

- Dataset and Metadata Service at UCF Libraries (D)
- Library & Campus Resources
- Appendixes

Q: w/ question. E: w/ examples. Ex: w/ exercise. D: w/ discussion.

Deng, S. Introduction to Data Documentation: [https://www.academia.edu/39625696/Introduction_to_Data_Documentation](https://www.academia.edu/39625696/Introduction_to_Data_Documentation)
Exercises & Activities

Questions & Discussions:
- Current Data Documentation Practices
- Tools used
- Lab Notebooks used
- Standards/Guidelines used

Exercises:
- Case Analysis for Data Documentation
- Project/Study Level Metadata Choices
- Data Level Metadata Exercises (e.g., Variable Naming, Label, Value)
Final Thoughts

- The Data Documentation Workshop at UCF, as part of the Metadata and Dataset Metadata Services embedded into its Research Lifecycle, contributes to the overall goal of serving its graduate students and researchers better;

- The researcher’s view and the librarian or curator’s view on data documentation differ in some ways which will affect how the workshop can be designed and delivered;

- The workshop can also provide information on other research data related services (such as institutional repository, research data management, scholarly communication, research services);

- Librarians need to be aware of developments in data related practices and services and learn new resources, knowledge and skills to meet the needs of our users.
Contact:
Sai Deng, Metadata Librarian & Associate Librarian
sai.deng@ucf.edu
407-823-4312 (Office)

Thank you!
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