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Abstract

The topic of this thesis is the investigation of the local states of stress resulting from the introduction of a v-notch in a coaxial circle on the pressurized surface of a circumferentially clamped plate subject to axisymmetric loading. The understanding of the fracture behavior of a component experiencing such a condition is of particular interest to the aerospace and defense industries where circular plate components are often utilized. In such applications, it is imperative that the designer be able to predict the loading conditions facilitating dynamic fracture. As a step towards solving such problems, the quasi-static analogy is studied. Specifically, the purpose of this research is to examine and model the precise effects a stress raiser will have on the fracture behavior and strength reduction of a circular plate machined from Ultem 1000. Parametric FEM simulations were employed to determine the correlation between notch geometry and the resulting maximum stress and stress distribution in the notch root vicinity. Stress concentration factor (SCF) relationships were developed which characterize the effect individual geometric parameters have on the notch root stresses. Mathematical models were developed to provide the elastic stress concentration factor for any combination of geometric parameters within the range studied. Additionally, the stress distributions along the notch root and ahead of the notch were characterized for a variety of geometric configurations. Test coupons were employed to not only characterize the mechanical behavior of the material, but also characterize the correlation between simple and axisymmetric loading, respectively. The development of a predictive approach for designers of such circular components to be able to accurately determine the fracture behavior of these components was the motivating factor of this study.
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Nomenclature

\( \alpha \)  
Notch angle

\( \beta \)  
Angular location along notch radius

\( \varepsilon_f, \varepsilon_y \)  
Elongation for fracture and yield respectively

\( \theta \)  
Angular coordinate in circular plate

\( \nu \)  
Poisson’s ratio

\( \rho \)  
Notch root radius

\( \sigma_{\theta \theta}, \sigma_{e_{p}}, \sigma_{rr} \)  
Hoop, equivalent, and radial stresses, respectively

\( \sigma_{uc}, \sigma_{uf}, \sigma_{us}, \sigma_{ub} \)  
Compressive, flexural, shear, and tensile strength, respectively

\( \sigma_{op}, \sigma_{y} \)  
0.2\% offset yield strength and proportional limit, respectively

\( \tau_{r\theta}, \tau_{\text{max}} \)  
In-plane shear stress and maximum shear stress, respectively

\( a \)  
Radius of plate

\( d \)  
Distance between bottom of plate and tip of v-notch

\( E_{\infty}, E_{f}, E_{b} \)  
Compressive, flexural, and tensile modulus, respectively

\( h \)  
Thickness of plate

\( K_t \)  
Elastic or theoretical equivalent stress concentration factor
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_{EE}$</td>
<td>Elastic or theoretical stress concentration factor for shallow ellipse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{NH}$</td>
<td>Elastic or theoretical stress concentration factor for hyperbolic notch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{ts}$</td>
<td>Elastic or theoretical shear stress concentration factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l$</td>
<td>Semiaxis of ellipse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_0$</td>
<td>Uniformly applied pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>Radial coordinate of circular plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_n$</td>
<td>Notch radial location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>Notch depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z$</td>
<td>Axial coordinate of circular plate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Introduction

In the aerospace and defense industries circular plates must be designed to either withstand extreme pressure without fracture or in the case of guided projectiles, fracture in a predictable manner under a specified load. Such a projectile is illustrated in Fig. 1.1. In the case of guided projectiles, a stress raiser is incorporated into the component so that the component will fracture under a specified load and along a desired path. The key microstructural mechanism that confers fracturability is a ductile tearing mode. In most cases, the stress concentration takes the form of a v-shaped notch (i.e., v-notch). Although the geometric dependence of the stress concentration factor (SCF) for the v-notch has been established for uniaxially loaded components (Appl and Koerner, 1969; Noda and Takase, 1999) and components under bending (Leven and Frocht, 1953), the case of the axisymmetrically loaded v-notched plate has yet to be studied. As such, the main motivation behind the current study is to make up for the shortcomings in this field of study.

To quantify the relationships between notch geometry and the resulting SCFs along the notch root and ahead of the notch, parametric FEA was employed to facilitate the simulation of over ten thousand geometric notch combinations. The state of localized stress in the vicinity of the notch root determined from these simulations was characterized for a wide range of notch geometries. SCFs $K_f$ and $K_{sf}$ were defined which denote the localized increase in equivalent and shear stress due to the presence of the notch, respectively. The relationships between the magnitudes of these SCFs and notch geometry were quantified resulting in mathematical models which provide the SCF for
any geometric notch combination within the studied ranges. Typical SCF behavior was observed with respect to the radius of the notch root, \( \rho \), i.e., notch root radius and the SCF are inversely proportional. It was determined that within the range studied, the notch angle \( \alpha \) did not significantly affect the state of stress along the notch root. Similar observations have been made for thin, v-notched beam elements in bending (Leven and Frocht, 1953). With respect to the notch depth, \( t \), it was observed that notches far from mid-plane of the plate (i.e., shallow notches) produced higher SCFs than those close to the mid-plane (i.e., as \( t/h \) approaches 0.5). This result is typical for plates in bending because the flexural stresses within a plate are largest at the plate surfaces and decrease to a minimum at the neutral axis (i.e., mid-plane). More novel observations were made with respect to the radial location of the notch \( r_m \), due to the unique stress state within an axisymmetrically loaded clamped circular plate. It was determined that the radial location of the notch had the greatest influence over not only the magnitude of the SCF, but the stress distributions along the notch root and ahead of the notch. Analytical solutions of the stress state throughout an un-notched plate based on elastic theory were used as constitutive models to predict SCF relationships with respect to the radial coordinate for notched plates. Numerous SCF figures were developed which illustrate SCF trends with respect to individual geometric notch parameters. Finite element analysis stress contours are shown for a wide range of notch geometries which depict not only the localized increase in stress, but the relative vicinity within the plate of which the stress concentration is realized. This stress concentration sphere of influence was quantified by comparing stress distributions within notched plates and equivalent un-notched plates.
The contents of this thesis continue with a thorough discussion of axisymmetric plate bodies and other background material (Chapter 2). The Ultem 1000 material is discussed in Chapter 3 including material properties, uses throughout various industries, and relevant research findings pertaining to the fracture behavior of Ultem 1000. This section is followed by the experimental approach which details the experimental test procedures employed (Chapter 4). Chapter 5 discusses the results obtained from the experiments, both empirically and through fractography. Immediately following is a discussion on numerical simulations (Chapter 6), which describes the FEM techniques employed to derive relationships between notch geometry and the maximum stresses within the vicinity of the notch. Within the results and discussion section (Chapter 7), data obtained from the parametric FEA is analyzed and stress concentration factor trends are presented along with stress distribution plots for numerous notch geometry configurations. The thesis conclusions are presented in Chapter 8. Numerical simulations, further mechanical testing, and other proposals for future work are reported in Chapter 9. Codes developed throughout the study are found in Appendix A and photographs documenting the fracture surfaces from the experiments are given in Appendix B.
2. Literature Review

2.1 Application

Circular plate components are commonly used in industry applications such as pressure vessel closures, guided projectiles, pump diaphragms, clutches, and turbine disks (Reddy, 1999). The accurate prediction of the stress and strain response to various loading conditions within circular plates is of particular importance to plate component designers. In the majority of applications, circular plate components are designed to withstand static pressure loads subject normal to the plate face without failing, but in specialized cases such as guided projectiles, circular plates are designed to rupture under a specified dynamic load, as shown in Fig. 2.1. Either fracturing prematurely or failing to fracture under the specified load would result in mission failure. To ensure the plate fractures along a desired path, a stress raiser in the form of a v-notch is designed on the plate surface concentric to the plate axis, as shown in Fig. 2.2. The fracture surface of a v-notched Ultem 1000 circular plate is shown in Fig. 2.3. The plate shown in Fig. 2.3 is upside down from the orientation shown in Fig. 2.2.

Although exact solutions exist for the state of stress throughout smooth circular plates (Reddy, 1999) and very accurate approximations have been formulated for stress concentration factors resulting from v-notches for a variety of simple loading conditions (Noda and Takase, 1999), the effects that v-notches have on circular plates under axisymmetric loads have yet to be characterized in literature.
Figure 2.1. Schematic illustrating service loading condition of notched circular plates in guided projectiles.

Figure 2.2. V-notched circular plate.

Figure 2.3. Outer ring portion of Ultem 1000 circular plate after fracture along v-notch.
2.2 SCFs and V-Notches

It is often the case that a structural component will contain geometric discontinuities that differentiate the local state of stress from the remote or nominal stress field. Examples of notch shaped stress raisers include shaft shoulders, relief grooves, spring retention grooves, reentrant corners, and notched fatigue test specimens (Pilkey, 1997). The elastic or theoretical stress concentration factor is defined as the ratio between the maximum stress within this annulus local to the notch root to some reference stress (i.e., nominal or remote for a material subjected to nominally elastic conditions). The reference stress chosen depends on the type of stress raiser, component geometry, and loading condition. A comprehensive collection of stress concentration factors for a wide variety of structural components, stress raisers, and loading conditions can be found in the literature (Pilkey, 1997). Stress concentration factor plots for v-notched members in uniaxial tension (Appl and Koerner, 1969) and in bending (Levin and Frocht, 1953) are shown in Fig. 2.3 and Fig. 2.4, respectively.

Classical formulations for theoretical stress concentration factors of deep hyperbolic ($K_{th}$) and shallow elliptical notches ($K_{te}$) were proposed by Neuber and have proven to provide accurate results for either deep or shallow notches (Neuber, 1958). Also proposed by Neuber (1958) was a relation for the stress concentration factor of notches with arbitrary shapes based on the stress concentration factors of the shallow elliptical and deep hyperbolic notches:

$$K_{tn} = 1 + \sqrt{\frac{(K_{te} - 1)^2 (K_{th} - 1)^2}{(K_{te} - 1)^2 + (K_{th} - 1)^2}}$$ (2.1)
Here, $K_{KE}$ and $K_{KH}$ are the stress concentration factors of notches with the same geometric notch parameters of the notch under consideration. A set of formulae providing stress concentration factors accurate within 1\% for any shape v-notch in a cylindrical test specimen subject to various loading conditions was proposed by Noda and Takase based on numerical results (Noda and Takase, 1999).

Figure 2.3. Stress concentration factors for a flat tension bar with opposite v-shaped notches.
2.3 Theory of Elasticity for Axisymmetric Plates under Bending

An analytical approach based on the theory of elasticity has been used to determine the state of stress and deformation behavior at any point within a circular plate under a variety of loading conditions and boundary conditions. The general solution for the deflection and stress state was defined by Reddy (1999) for a thin circular clamped plate under a uniformly distributed load, as shown in Fig. 2.5. A circular plate is classified as thin if the thickness does not exceed one-tenth of the diameter. This comparatively small thickness allows for 2D equations to accurately characterize the stresses throughout the plate (e.g. $\sigma_{zz} = 0$). These relations were formulated from the classical elastic Kirchhoff assumptions that lines normal to the mid plane prior to bending remain normal, straight, and in-extensible.
Figure 2.5. Circumferentially clamped plate subject to axisymmetric pressure distribution; (a) cross sectional view, (b) top view (Reddy, 1999).

The derivation of such relations in polar coordinates begins with the general equations for a circular plate subject to an arbitrarily distributed transverse load $q(r)$ applied to the top surface and temperature distribution $\Delta T(r, z)$, i.e.,

$$ Dw_0(r) = H(r) + c_2 \frac{r^2}{4} + c_4 $$  
(2.2)

$$ D \frac{d w_0}{dr} = H' + c_2 \frac{r}{2} $$  
(2.3)

$$ M_{rr} = - \left( H'' + \frac{1}{r} H' \right) - \frac{1 + \nu}{2} c_2 $$  
(2.4)

$$ M_{\theta\theta} = - \left( \nu H'' + \frac{1}{r} H' \right) - \frac{1 + \nu}{2} c_2 $$  
(2.5)

$$ \sigma_{rr} = - \frac{12z}{h^3} \left[ \left( H'' + \frac{1}{r} H' \right) + \frac{1 + \nu}{2} c_2 \right] $$  
(2.6)

$$ \sigma_{\theta\theta} = - \frac{12z}{h^3} \left[ \left( \nu H'' + \frac{1}{r} H' \right) + \frac{1 + \nu}{2} c_2 \right] $$  
(2.7)

Here, $w_0$ is the plate deflection and $M_{rr}, \sigma_{rr}, M_{\theta\theta},$ and $\sigma_{\theta\theta}$, are the radial and tangential components of the bending moments and stresses, respectively. In the above equations, $r$
is the radial coordinate of the plate, \( z \) is the axial coordinate of the plate, \( \nu \) is the Poisson's ratio, \( c_2 \) and \( c_4 \) are constants determined from boundary conditions, and \( D \) is the flexural rigidity of the plate which is expressed

\[
D = \frac{E h^3}{12(1-\nu^2)}
\]

where \( h \) is the thickness of the plate and \( E \) is the modulus of elasticity (Timoshenko, 1961; Wang, 2004). The function \( H \) is defined

\[
H = F - G
\]

where functions \( F \) and \( G \) are written

\[
F(r) = \int \frac{1}{r} \int \int r q(r) dr dr dr \int \\]

\[
G(r) = \frac{1}{(1-\nu)} \int \int r M_T dr dr
\]

and the thermal moment \( M_T \) is expressed

\[
M_T = E \alpha \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} \Delta T (r, z) dz
\]

Here, \( \alpha \) is the coefficient of thermal expansion and \( \Delta T \) is the temperature gradient. For the case of circumferentially clamped plates subjected to an isothermal axisymmetric pressure, the boundary conditions require fixed conditions at the circumference of the plate (i.e., \( w_0 = 0 \) and \( dw_0/dr = 0 \) at \( r = a \)). From these boundary conditions and from Eqs. 2.2 and 2.3, the constants \( c_2 \) and \( c_4 \) can be solved for and are expressed

\[
c_2 = -\frac{q_0 a^2}{8}, \quad c_4 = \frac{q_0 a^2}{64}
\]
where $q_\theta$ is the uniformly distributed load and $a$ is the radius of the plate. From Eqn. 2.2, the deflection of the midsection of the plate as a function of radius is given as

$$w_0(r) = \frac{q_\theta a^4}{64D} \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{a^2}\right)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.14)

The maximum deflection is found at the center of the plate, i.e.,

$$w_{max} = \frac{q_\theta a^4}{64D}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.15)

The bending moments are a function of the radial coordinate only and from Eqs. 2.4 and 2.5 are expressed as

$$M_{rr}(r) = \frac{q_\theta a^2}{16} \left[(1 + v) - (3 + \nu) \frac{r^2}{a^2}\right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.16)

$$M_{\theta\theta}(r) = \frac{q_\theta a^2}{16} \left[(1 + v) - (1 + 3v) \frac{r^2}{a^2}\right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.17)

The maximum magnitude of the moments are realized at the circumferential edge and are expressed

$$M_{rr}(a) = -\frac{q_\theta a^2}{8}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.18)

$$M_{\theta\theta}(a) = -\frac{vq_\theta a^2}{8}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.19)

The out of plane bending moment $M_{rr}$ and the in plane bending moment $M_{\theta\theta}$ are shown graphically as a function of the radial coordinate in Fig. 2.6.
From the solution of Eqs. 2.6 and 2.7, the radial stress $\sigma_r$ and the tangential stress $\sigma_\theta$ can be expressed in polar coordinates, i.e.,

$$\sigma_r(r, z) = \frac{3q_o a^2 z}{4h^3} \left[ (1 + v) - (3 + v) \frac{r^2}{a^2} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.20)$$

and

$$\sigma_\theta(r, z) = \frac{3q_o a^2 z}{4h^3} \left[ (1 + v) - (1 + 3v) \frac{r^2}{a^2} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.21)$$

Similar to simple beam problems, the flexural stresses are zero at the neutral axis ($z = 0$); however, unlike typical beam problems, the stress distribution elsewhere is a function of material properties. The radial and tangential components of stress are illustrated on a differential element in Fig 2.7.
The maximum stress is found at the clamped boundary on the top surface of the plate and is given

$$
\sigma_{rr} \left( a, \frac{h}{2} \right) = -\frac{6M_{rr}(a)}{h^2} = \frac{3q_0}{4} \left( \frac{a}{h} \right)^2
$$

(2.22)

Under plane stress conditions, from Eqs. 2.20 and 2.21, the effective stress throughout the plate can be obtained, i.e.,

$$
\sigma_{eqv} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{(\sigma_{rr} - \sigma_{\theta \theta})^2 + (\sigma_{rr})^2 + (\sigma_{\theta \theta})^2}
$$

(2.23)

The radial, tangential, and equivalent stresses as a function of the radial coordinate are shown in Fig. 2.8 for a normalized axial location $z/h = 0.20$. The theoretical stresses at this particular plate depth are shown because this depth corresponds to the benchmark $v$-
notch depth chosen for this study and a comparison of the stresses between the benchmark smooth plate and the benchmark notched will be discussed in Chapter 6.

Solutions to a variety of cases with various boundary conditions and load states derived from Kirchhoff plate theory can be found in the literature (Szilard, 1974; Ugural, 1999; Reddy, 1999). More specifically, the boundary conditions which have been previously investigated include simply supported edges, clamped edges, and elastic foundations. Additionally, plates subject to uniform and non-uniform pressure distributions as well as point loads and thermal loads have been studied.

![Graph of radial, tangential, and equivalent stresses](image)

Figure 2.8. Radial, tangential, and equivalent stresses at an axial location \( z/h \) equal to -0.20.
3. Materials

Polyetherimide, also referred to as Ultem, is a widely-used amorphous thermoplastic with applications ranging from the aerospace to medical industries. Ultem is extensively used in the aerospace and defense industries due to its high strength, heat resistance, and relatively low-weight compared to aluminum alloys. The mechanical, physical, and thermal properties of Ultem, shown in Table 1, allow for such versatility in a wide variety of industry applications. For example, Ultem was used to injection mold a variety of interior and structural components in the Fokker 50 and 100 series aircraft (Savage, 1988). Also, because of the remarkable resistance to corrosive cleaning chemicals and thermal cycling it displays, Ultem was chosen for sterilization trays and surgical probes that withstand daily autoclaving (Johnson, 1998).

The mechanical properties of Ultem and similar plastics at various temperatures and strain rates have been extensively studied by various researchers. It was found that, generally, an increase in strain rate corresponds to an increase in tensile strength among Ultem and similar thermoplastics (Schobig et al., 2008). Similar results were reported by Bordonaro and Krempl (1993) when cylindrical plastic specimens were tensile tested at various strain rates, ranging from $10^3$ to $10^6$ s$^{-1}$. The temperature effects on the stress-strain relationships of Ultem were studied by Pecht and Wu (1994). They observed that increases in temperature cause a decrease in the yield strength and modulus of elasticity of Ultem while an increase in strain rate causes an increase in tensile strength. These observations are depicted graphically in Fig. 3.1. Pecht and Wu (1994) also investigated temperature effects on two other polymers, Kapton and SE45, and found that the lower
The glass transition temperature of Ultem can be attributed to the ether and imide linkages present in Ultem. The chemical structure of Ultem 1000 can be found in Fig. 3.2 (Pecht and Wu, 1994).

![Stress-strain curves corresponding to Ultem tensile tests under various temperatures and strain rates](image)

**Figure 3.1.** Stress-strain curves corresponding to Ultem tensile tests under various temperatures and strain rates (Pecht and Wu, 1994).

![Chemical composition of Ultem 1000](image)

**Figure 3.2.** Chemical composition of Ultem 1000 (Pecht and Wu, 1994).

The fracture behavior of Ultem has been studied under a wide range of temperatures by Kim and Ye (2004). They employed mechanical tests on compact tension (CT) specimens of various thicknesses at a crosshead speed of 2 mm/min subject
to various temperatures (24°C, 80°C, 130°C) to determine the relationship between temperature and plane strain fracture toughness. They observed three different crack propagation modes, namely unstable, stable and mixed, depending on the temperature and specimen thickness. It was found that at room temperature, all the specimens exhibited brittle fracture and ductile fracture was observed in specimens at higher temperatures. These results are shown in Fig. 3.3.

![Load displacement curves for Ultem CT specimens of various thicknesses (6, 12, and 22 mm) and various temperatures (Kim and Ye, 2004).](image)

Figure 3.3. Load displacement curves for Ultem CT specimens of various thicknesses (6, 12, and 22 mm) and various temperatures (Kim and Ye, 2004).

Other standard mechanical, thermal, and electrical properties of Ultem have been well characterized and can be found in the literature along with many experiments concerning fatigue, creep, and wear behaviors (Stokes, 1988; Bijwe et al., 1991; Tou and Zihui, 2007; Facca et al., 2007; Smmazcelik, 2008). A variety of Ultem grades are available from SABIC Innovative Plastics which encompass a large range of mechanical, thermal, and chemical properties. For example, Ultem grades are available with glass
fiber or PTFE fillings of up to 45% which increases strength and enhances flow properties. A number of other enhancements are available such as transparency characteristics and finish properties which allow for safe use in the medical and food handling industries. The specific grade of resin chosen for the present study is Ultem 1000 which is unfilled, transparent, and exhibits standard flow characteristics.

Table 3.1. Various properties of Ultem 1000 (SABIC Innovative Plastics).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanical Properties</th>
<th>Value (English)</th>
<th>Value (SI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Modulus, $E_t$</td>
<td>475 ksi</td>
<td>3.28 GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive Modulus, $E_c$</td>
<td>480 ksi</td>
<td>3.31 GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural Modulus, $E_f$</td>
<td>500 ksi</td>
<td>3.45 GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson's Ratio, $v$</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation (Yield), $\varepsilon_y$</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Strength, $\sigma_t$</td>
<td>16.5 ksi</td>
<td>113.8 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive Strength, $\sigma_c$</td>
<td>22 ksi</td>
<td>151.7 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear Strength, $\sigma_s$</td>
<td>15 ksi</td>
<td>103.4 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural Strength, $\sigma_f$</td>
<td>20 ksi</td>
<td>137.9 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation (Fracture), $\varepsilon_f$</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Izod Impact Strength, Notched</td>
<td>1.0 ft-lbs/in</td>
<td>0.034 J/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwell Hardness</td>
<td>109 (&quot;M&quot; Scale)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Properties</th>
<th>Value (English)</th>
<th>Value (SI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific Gravity</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thermal Properties</th>
<th>Value (English)</th>
<th>Value (SI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLTE - Flow, $\alpha_r$</td>
<td>0.000031 in/in/°F</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLTE - Transverse, $\alpha_t$</td>
<td>0.000030 in/in/°F</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Experimental Approach

Uniaxial tensile tests were employed on smooth Ultem 1000 cylindrical coupons to determine the tensile properties of Ultem 1000 at room temperature and to investigate the failure behavior of Ultem 1000 under uniaxial tension. Experiments were also conducted on two sets of cylindrical notched specimens with different notch root radii to determine the effects of v-notch stress concentrations on the strength and fracture properties of Ultem 1000. The root radii for the v-notched specimens were 0.035 (\(\rho/t = 0.23\)) and 0.016 (\(\rho/t = 0.11\)) inches, respectively. The test coupons were machined from extruded Ultem 1000 rod stock with a diameter of 0.5 inches. Tensile tests were conducted until rupture at three cross head displacement rates, 0.5 mm/s, 0.05 mm/s, and 0.005 mm/s to characterize any mechanical property rate dependency. An MTS 100 kN servohydraulic testing frame was used along with an MTS 632-53E-14 extensometer to conduct the experiments and collect strain data, respectively. The three specimen configurations used and the extensometer experimental setup are shown in Fig. 4.1 and Fig. 4.2, respectively. The specimen conditioning and experimental procedure were carried out in accordance with ASTM D638-08, under normal laboratory conditions with an ambient temperature of 74°F and 50% humidity. The standard calls for at least five tests to be conducted for each experimental configuration, but due to available resources, only one experiment was performed per specimen type and strain rate.

The fracture surfaces of the tensile test specimens were examined using a Hitachi S-3500N scanning electron microscope (SEM) to gain insight into the microstructural mechanics facilitating fracture. Due to the non-conductivity of Ultem 1000, the fracture
surfaces were sputter coated with platinum to prevent a built up of static charge on the fracture surface and to increase the signal resolution.

Figure 4.1. Tensile specimen configurations used.

Figure 4.2. Extensometer application.
5. Experimental Results

Mechanical properties of Ultem 1000 under uniaxial tension such as the modulus of elasticity, $E$, the ultimate tensile strength, $\sigma_{ut}$, the fracture strain, $\varepsilon_f$, and the 0.2% offset yield strength, $\sigma_{0.2}$, were determined from the stress-strain curves of the un-notched specimens. These values were also calculated for both notched cases; however, it should be noted that the values between the notched and un-notched cases cannot be directly compared and that the data for the notched cases is given for the sake of establishing a complete record. Table 5.1 summarizes the data determined from the tensile tests of the un-notched and notched specimens. The values of the modulus of elasticity and the ultimate tensile strength from the smooth specimen experiments match closely to those previously published (See Table 3.1). A rate dependency of the ultimate strength and the yield strength for the smooth case is observed from the stress-strain diagram, as shown in Fig. 5.1, where the ultimate and yield strength increase with strain rate. The observation of an increase in yield strength with an increase in strain rate is consistent with the Eyring theory of yield (Swallowe, 1999), described by the following relation:

$$\sigma_y = \sigma_0 + \frac{RT}{\nu} \log \left( \frac{2\dot{\varepsilon}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_0} \right)$$

(5.1)

Here, $\sigma_y$ is the yield stress, $\dot{\varepsilon}$ is the strain rate, $T$ is the temperature, and $\dot{\varepsilon}_0$, $\nu$, $\sigma_0$, and $R$ are regression constants. The relationship between strain rate and the ultimate tensile strength has been observed previously by Krempl (1993) for Ultem 1000 and other thermoplastics. These results suggest that a high strain rate facilitates a more brittle material response than a low strain rate for un-notched tensile experiments.
Table 5.1 Summary of mechanical properties from the notched and un-notched tensile tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate (mm/s)</th>
<th>E (GPa)</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_f$ (mm/mm)</th>
<th>$\sigma_f$ (UTS) (MPa)</th>
<th>$P_{max}$ (N)</th>
<th>$\sigma_f$ (0.2%) (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>0.0510</td>
<td>111.5</td>
<td>3535</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Un-notched</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>0.0583</td>
<td>110.0</td>
<td>3487</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>0.0541</td>
<td>104.0</td>
<td>3297</td>
<td>58.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>0.0193</td>
<td>101.9</td>
<td>3230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blunt Notch ($K_t = 2.0$)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>0.0245</td>
<td>119.6</td>
<td>3791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>0.0253</td>
<td>113.0</td>
<td>3582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharp Notch ($K_t = 3.0$)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>0.0219</td>
<td>105.2</td>
<td>3335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>0.0199</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>3148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.1. Engineering stress-strain curve for smooth specimens.
These trends are based on data from only a single experiment at each configuration and therefore can be easily skewed by experimental error such as machining defects in the test specimens.

The experimental results from the notched tensile tests provide insight into the effects of a stress concentration on the fracture behavior of uniaxially loaded Ultem 1000. In general, the presence of a v-notch facilitated brittle fracture behavior, which is evidenced by the lack of a yield region on a force-strain diagram for the notched cases, as shown in Fig. 5.2.

![Force-strain diagram](image)

Figure 5.2. Force-strain diagram comparing smooth and notched specimens for tensile experiment at 0.05 mm/s.

The theoretical stress concentration factors for the notches used in these tensile experiments were calculated from empirical relationships provided by Noda and Takase (1999) which are functions of notch geometry and provide a $K_f = 2.0$ for $\rho/t = 0.23$ and $K_f = 3.0$ for $\rho/t = 0.11$. From Fig. 5.2, it should be observed that higher stress concentration
factors facilitate fracture at lower strains than for lower stress concentration factors and un-notched specimens. Another trend apparent in Fig. 5.2 is that the modulus of elasticity increases with the stress concentration factor. An increase in the stiffness of a material is often associated with brittle behavior and in this case could be a result of heat imparted onto the material in the vicinity of the notch during machining.

In addition to an analysis of the stress-strain responses, fractography was employed to explore the effects of strain rate and the presence of a v-notch on the fracture behavior of uniaxially loaded Ultem 1000 specimens. Examination of the fracture surfaces with optical microscopy and a SEM provides knowledge of the modes of fracture present as well as the crack initiation location. For the smooth case, the effect of strain rate on the failure mode, either ductile or brittle, is readily observed from the fracture surfaces. A comparison between the relatively smooth fracture surfaces of a specimen tested at 0.5 mm/s, shown in Fig. 5.3, and the rough fracture surface of a specimen tested at 0.005 mm/s, shown in Fig. 5.4, illustrates the strain rate effect. Detailed photographs of all the smooth tensile specimens tested, including side shots and SEM photographs can be found in Appendix B.
Crack initiation

Fast fracture

Figure 5.3. Fracture surface of a smooth tensile specimen tested at 0.5 mm/s.

Ductile tearing

Figure 5.4. Fracture surface of a smooth tensile specimen tested at 0.005 mm/s.

For the notched cases, analysis of the fracture surfaces evidence brittle fracture behavior, supporting the conclusions drawn from the force-strain curves for the notched specimens. The fractography of the notched specimens focused on two areas of interest, the assumed crack initiation point and smooth circumferential bands near the edges of the specimen. The fracture initiation point was determined by tracing radial fracture
propagation paths back to a location of relatively smooth surface. This smooth initiation region is caused by relatively slow fracture speeds where adjacent fibers fracture all on the same plane (Greenhalgh, 2009). From this point, the crack propagates radially with increasing velocities, causing fracture to occur on multiple planes, which facilitates the formation of a rough surface. The evolution of the surface topology is typically divided into three distinct zones; the mirror, mist, and hackle (Greenhalgh, 2009). Figure 5.5 illustrates the crack initiation point, radial propagation lines, and these three zones.

Figure 5.5. SEM fractograph showing crack initiation of blunt notched specimen tested at 0.05 mm/s.

The thicknesses of the smooth circumferential bands observed in all of the notched fracture surfaces were measured to determine if the thickness of the band was a function of strain rate, and are summarized in Table 5.2. An example of these bands is shown on the fracture surface of a blunt notched specimen tested at 0.005 mm/s, depicted in Fig. 5.6. From the data in Table 5.1, it appears that the thickness of the bands increase with
decreasing strain rate for the blunt notched specimen; however, this trend could be an artifact of the few data points recorded.

Table 5.2 Average smooth circumferential band thicknesses for different strain rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Displacement rate</th>
<th>Band thickness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(mm/s)</td>
<td>(µm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blunt Notch (K_i = 2.0)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharp Notch (K_i = 3.0)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.6. SEM fractograph showing smooth band of blunt notched specimen tested at 0.005 mm/s.

Multiple fractographs of each of the specimens tested, detailing the fracture surface and circumferential bands are providing in Appendix B.
6. Numerical Simulation Approach

Because of the versatility and efficiency of such numerical methods, finite element analysis was used to investigate the effects that notch geometry have on the maximum stress on the surface and in the vicinity of a v-notch root on an axisymmetrically loaded plate. Through the use of parametric codes, results from thousands of combinations of notch geometries can be used to formulate numerical relationships not feasible with traditional mechanical testing or elastic theory. The ANSYS general-purpose software was used to numerically simulate the stress, strain, and displacement fields of the component.

Taking advantage of the axisymmetric geometry of the model, only a 2D cross section was required to accurately simulate the component, as shown in Fig. 6.1. Boundary conditions restricting displacement in the radial and axial directions were placed on the circumferential boundary of the plate. The appropriate displacement boundary conditions are automatically imposed by ANSYS on the axial edge of the model in an axisymmetric simulation. The top surface of the plate was subjected to a uniformly distributed static pressure, $q_0$. As shown in Fig. 6.1, no portion of the distributed load was applied to the notch faces or root; however, cases where a fraction of $q_0$ are carried across the notch would reflect the service conditions more accurately, but is saved for future research.
Figure 6.1. Automatic mesh and refinement in vicinity of notch for benchmark geometry.

An ANSYS input file, provided in Appendix A, was developed to facilitate versatile parametric runs with highly customizable user control. The geometry of the plate component was parametrically related to the dimensions and location of the notch. The range and increments of specific variables could be easily manipulated for a variety of custom parametric simulations. The normalized ranges of the geometric notch parameters were chosen to encompass the broadest feasible range of geometric combinations within the limits set by typical component machining and accurate FEA solutions. More specifically, very sharp notch radii ($\rho/t \leq 0.20$) were not considered because such notches would be difficult and expensive to machine and the accuracy of the FEA solutions for very sharp discontinuities are decreased. The geometric notch parameter ranges and corresponding increments are given in Table 6.1.
Table 6.1. Ranges and increments of geometric v-notch parameters simulated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$r_\psi/a$</th>
<th>$t/h$</th>
<th>$p/t$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>40°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>45°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>50°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>55°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>60°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>65°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>70°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>75°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>80°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>85°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>90°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Limiting cases such as

\[ r_\psi/a \rightarrow 0, \quad t/h \rightarrow 1.0, \quad p/t \rightarrow 0, \quad \alpha \rightarrow 0^\circ, \]  

and so on were left for future study. The one exception was that the smooth case was investigated (i.e., $r_\psi/a$ is undefined, $t = 0$, and $p$ is undefined). The plate radius, $a$, and thickness, $h$, were held constant for all simulations. The benchmark notch geometry consists of the following normalized parameters:

\[ r_\psi/a = 0.33, \quad t/h = 0.20, \quad p/t = 0.23, \quad \alpha = 60^\circ \]
The characterizing geometric parameters of the v-notch and circular plate are shown in Fig. 6.2.

![Characterizing geometric parameters for v-notched plate.](image)

Figure 6.2. Characterizing geometric parameters for v-notched plate.

The FEA model is meshed entirely with PLANE82 8-noded quadrilateral elements. This element was chosen for its ability to tolerate irregular shapes in automatic meshes without much loss in accuracy. The ANSYS automatic meshing algorithm was employed in the parametric simulations for efficient mesh adaptation to the varying notch dimensions. Finite element modeling meshes for some of the extreme geometric combinations such as a shallow notch, deep notch, and sharp notch are shown in Figs. 6.3 - 6.4. Automatic mesh refinement in the vicinity of the notch was utilized which reduced the area of the elements at the notch tip by approximately a factor of two thousand from those in remote regions of the plate. The ratio of the average notch tip element side length to the benchmark normalized notch tip radius \( \rho/t \) is 0.0048. Mesh refinement, illustrated in Fig. 6.1, consisted of element cleaning and smoothing in addition to size reduction. The average number of elements and nodes utilized in the parametric runs
were 2,700 and 8,400 respectively. The component is subjected to quasi-static loading and the materials assumed to behave elastically (See Table 3.1). To ensure that the results can be compared with future elastic-plastic studies, $q_0$ is designed to impart states of stress that do not exceed the yield strength of Ultem 1000.

Figure 6.3. Automatic mesh generated for a shallow notch ($\nu h = 0.20$) with otherwise benchmark parameters.
Figure 6.4. Automatic mesh generated for deep notch ($t/h = 0.60$) with otherwise benchmark parameters.

Figure 6.5. Automatic mesh generated for sharp notch ($\rho/t = 0.20$) with otherwise benchmark parameters.
7. Results and Discussion

The discussion of the numerical simulation results are divided into an analysis of elastic stress concentration factors with respect to notch geometry and an investigation of the effects notch geometry has on stress distributions at the notch root. The former is subdivided into individual detailed discussions for each geometric notch parameter supplemented with stress concentration factor plots and curve fit equations. The stress distribution portion of the discussion provides insights into the angular stress distributions along the surface of the notch as well as vertical stress distributions ahead of the notch supported by stress distribution plots and FEA images.

To verify the accuracy of the FEM, the un-notched plate was simulated and the equivalent stresses $\sigma_{eqv}$ and maximum shear stresses $\tau_{max}$ were compared to those obtained from analytical solutions and are shown graphically in Fig. 7.1 and 7.2, respectively.

![Figure 7.1. Comparison between the equivalent stresses obtained from the FEA and analytical solutions.](image)
Figure 7.2. Comparison between the max shear stresses obtained from the FEA and analytical solutions.

From these plots, it is shown that the results from the FEA match closely to those obtained from the analytical solutions for the principal stresses; however, there is a deviation between the analytical and numerical results of the equivalent stress. This is due to the assumption made in the analytical solution that there are no stresses in the axial direction. This assumption, however, is not made in the FEA and axial stresses are present in the finite element solution. These axial stresses contributed to the equivalent stress value in the FEM and thereby result in a deviation from the analytical solution.

The stress concentration factor, $K_t$, is defined as the equivalent stress divided by the maximum shear stress in an un-notched plate of equal geometry, i.e.,

$$K_t = \frac{2\sigma_{eq}(r,z)}{\sigma_{rr}(\text{max}) - \sigma_{\theta\theta}(\text{max})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.1)
where $\sigma_{eq}(r,z)$ is the equivalent stress, and $\sigma_{rr(\text{max})}^{(\text{un})}$ and $\sigma_{\theta\theta(\text{max})}^{(\text{un})}$ are the analytically derived maximum radial and hoop stresses, respectively, in an equivalent un-notched plate. Substituting in the theoretical maximum stresses from Eqs. 2.20 and 2.21 for $\sigma_{rr(\text{max})}^{(\text{un})}$ and $\sigma_{\theta\theta(\text{max})}^{(\text{un})}$ in Eqn. 7.1 yields

$$K_t = \frac{8\sigma_{eq}(r,z)}{3q_0 \left( \frac{a}{h} \right)^2 (1 - \nu)}$$ (7.2)

The shear stress concentration factor $K_{ts}$ is defined as

$$K_{ts} = \frac{8\tau_{\text{max}}(r,z)}{3q_0 \left( \frac{a}{h} \right)^2 (1 - \nu)}$$ (7.3)

Here $\tau_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum shear stress determined from

$$\tau_{\text{max}} = \frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_3}{2}$$ (7.4)

where $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_3$ are the maximum and minimum principal stresses, respectively.

Stress contours of the normalized equivalent stresses and the normalized maximum shear stresses throughout the un-notched plate subject to axisymmetric pressure are shown in Fig. 7.3. The stress contours appear similar between the equivalent and max shear stress and differ only in magnitude. Stress contours illustrating the equivalent stresses and maximum shear stresses for the benchmark notch geometry are shown in Fig. 7.4. From this figure, the localized increase in stress due to the presence of the notch is clearly shown and the maximum stress concentration factor of approximately 5.0 is found on the surface of the notch root.
Figure 7.3. Elastic stress concentration factors, $K_r$ (a), and $K_{er}$ (b), contours for un-notched plate.
Figure 7.4. Elastic stress concentration factors, \( K_s \) (a), and \( K_{ts} \) (b), contours for benchmark notched geometry.
The effect notch geometry has on these SCFs are illustrated in a number of stress concentration factor diagrams. These plots were developed directly from data extracted from the parametric FEM simulations. For ease of analysis, the diagrams are divided into sections corresponding to the geometric parameter on the abscissa, namely \( r_n/a \), \( a \), \( t/h \), or \( \rho/t \). Each family of figures with the identical parameter on the abscissa consists of three separate plots, one for each of the other parameters being simultaneously varied. In each case, the two geometric notch parameters held constant take on benchmark conditions.

An important characteristic of stress concentration factors is the size of the zone in which the effect of the stress raiser is realized. Knowledge of the size of this zone is important because outside of this neighborhood of localized stress, the state of stress can be easily determined by traditional analytical methods depending on the loading condition and component geometry; therefore, only the stresses within this small zone need to be explored. The most direct application of this knowledge is in the setup of the FEM. The mesh outside of this zone is permitted to be coarse and only within the small area of interest is significant mesh refinement needed. To establish the relative size of the region of affected stress, the stress distribution along a horizontal line at a depth equal to the benchmark notch depth in both the smooth and notched case are plotted on the same diagram. Such a diagram is shown graphically in Fig. 7.5. Analysis of this comparative figure reveals that the stress distributions between the notched and smooth case differ significantly only in a zone equal to approximately 2.0% of the plate radius.
7.1 Radial Location of Notch and SCFs

It was observed that the radial location of the notch, \( r_n \), measured from the notch root middle, has the most significant effect on the maximum effective stress experienced on the surface of the notch. The strong dependence between stress and radial location is a direct result of the bending moments experienced throughout the plate. Referring to Eqs. 2.16 and 2.17, the bending moments throughout the plate are a function of the radial coordinate only and increase from a minimum at the center of the plate to a maximum at the circumferential edge. The radial location of the notch affected both the magnitude of the maximum equivalent stress and the stress distribution within the vicinity of the notch. The effects of the v-notch on the stress state throughout the plate are shown in Fig. 7.6. In this figure, the stress concentration factor, \( K_i \), is illustrated in the contours.
It is shown that sharp notch radii yield higher stress concentration factors than blunt radii (Fig. 7.7) and smaller notch angles yield higher stress concentration factors than larger ones (Fig. 7.8). These trends are both intuitive and consistent with v-notch elastic stress concentration factor results, and they have been observed in numerous stress concentration factor experiments (Pilkey, 1997). It can be observed from Fig. 7.9 that the stresses within the notch are not highly sensitive to the notch angle within the range studied. Leven and Frocht (1953) found that for a v-notched, thin beam element in bending that the notch angle did not affect the stress concentration factor for notch angles between 0° and 90°.
Figure 7.7. Elastic stress concentration factors with respect to the radial location of the notch for different notch root radii.

Figure 7.8. Elastic stress concentration factors with respect to the radial location of the notch for different notch angles.
An interesting relationship between stress and normalized notch depth, \( t/h \), is shown in Fig. 7.8, specifically that shallow notches result in higher stresses in the regime before the minimum stress point but result in lower stresses at the minimum stress point. In other words, shallow notches are highly sensitive to the radial location of the notch whereas deep notches are weakly dependent on this parameter in the regime before the minimum stress point and all values of stress are similar in the regime after the minimum stress point. This varying level of dependence on the radial location is due to the equivalent stress dependence on the axial coordinate and can be readily observed for the un-notched case. Figure 7.10 shows theoretical equivalent stress distributions with respect to the radial location at various depths for an un-notched plate.
From these stress distributions it can be seen that for shallow depths within the plate, the radial location strongly affects the stress magnitude, whereas for depths closer to the neutral axis, this dependence on radial location becomes weak. A more detailed discussion on the effect notch depth has on the maximum equivalent stress is provided in the next chapter. A general trend observed in all three notched plate stress concentration factor plots is similar to that of the un-notched case. Specifically, the equivalent stress reaches a minimum point at approximately \( \frac{r/a}{a} = 0.63 \). This location of minimum stress can be derived mathematically for the un-notched case. The simplified equivalent stress formula for plane stress in polar coordinates can be expressed as

\[
\sigma_{eqv} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{(\sigma_{rr} - \sigma_{\theta\theta})^2 + (\sigma_{rr})^2 + (\sigma_{\theta\theta})^2} \tag{7.5}
\]

Taking the derivative of this relation with respect to \( r \) and finding the positive root yields the following expression
Equation 7.6 provides the radial location within an un-notched plate for which the von Mises stress will be a minimum and states that this location is a function of Poisson's ratio only. Substituting the value of Poisson's ratio for Ultem 1000 into Eqn. 7.6 yields a normalized radial location of \( r/a = 0.65 \) which agrees well with results from the FEA.

Another trend similar between all the plots is that stress dependence on the second parameter being varied (the one not on the abscissa) is significantly more pronounced before this minimum stress point, whereas after this minimum point the stress is dependent mostly on the radial location of the notch. This observation can be explained by the relationship between the bending moments within the un-notched plate and the radial location. As the radial location approaches the clamped circumferential edge, the bending moments rise to their maximum, as is shown in Eqs. 2.18 and 2.19; therefore, the stresses in this regime are dominated by the radial coordinate.

### 7.2 Notch Depth and SCFs

The maximum equivalent stress on the surface of the notch was found to be significantly affected by the depth of the notch. It was found that shallow notches caused higher stress concentration factors than deep notches. To understand the relationship between notch depth and stress, first dependence of stress on the axial coordinate within an un-notched plate is explored. Figure 7.11 represents vertical equivalent stress distributions from the top of the plate to the mid-plate at various radial locations within an un-notched plate.
In these figures, the normalized axial location, $z/h$, ranges from -0.5, which corresponds to the top surface of the plate to 0.0, which corresponds to the mid-plane of the plate. From this figure, it is evident that the magnitude of equivalent stress is the greatest at the surface of the plate and decreases linearly to zero at the mid plane. A similar stress dependence on the axial location is present in the notched case. Finite element analysis contours of the stress concentration factor, $K_\sigma$, for plates with various notch depths are shown in Fig. 7.12. It is readily observed from this figure that as the notch depth approaches the mid-plane, the maximum stresses in the vicinity of the notch decrease.
The stress concentration factors for various notch depths with respect to the other geometric notch parameters are shown graphically in Figs. 7.13 and 7.14. The trend of decreasing stress with increasing notch depth is depicted in these figures. This family of curves was fit to the following equation with at least an $R^2$ value of 0.999.

$$K_t = a + b \left(\frac{t}{h}\right)^3$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.7)

where $a$ and $b$ are coefficients which can be found in the inset of Figs. 7.13 and 7.14.

The weak correlation between the maximum equivalent stress realized on the surface of the notch and notch angle is shown in Fig. 7.13 where the stress concentration factor varies only slightly for the range of angles. It can be shown, however, Fig. 7.14 that the notch root radius has a significant effect on the stresses within the notch represented by a larger range of stress concentration factors.
Figure 7.13. Elastic stress concentration factors with respect to notch depth for different notch angles.

\[ K_t = a + b \left( \frac{t}{h} \right)^3 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>( a )</th>
<th>( b )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45°</td>
<td>4.7848</td>
<td>-8.5157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54°</td>
<td>4.7119</td>
<td>-9.1344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63°</td>
<td>4.6277</td>
<td>-9.8146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72°</td>
<td>4.5306</td>
<td>-10.5574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81°</td>
<td>4.4007</td>
<td>-11.1734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90°</td>
<td>4.2435</td>
<td>-11.8538</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7.14. Elastic stress concentration factors with respect to notch depth for different notch root radii.

\[ K_t = a + b \left( \frac{t}{h} \right)^3 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \rho/h )</th>
<th>( a )</th>
<th>( b )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>6.0065</td>
<td>-13.0198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>5.6317</td>
<td>-12.1070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>5.3263</td>
<td>-11.3692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>5.0680</td>
<td>-10.6595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>4.8438</td>
<td>-10.0260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>4.6594</td>
<td>-9.6328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>4.4898</td>
<td>-9.1105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>4.3405</td>
<td>-8.7450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>4.2073</td>
<td>-8.3726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>4.0887</td>
<td>-8.0481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>3.9839</td>
<td>-7.7781</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.3 Notch Root Radius and SCFs

The relationship observed between the notch root radius and the maximum stresses on the surface of the v-notch was consistent with typical notch problems. More specifically, the stresses in the vicinity of the notch where shown to increase as the notch root radius was decreased. This trend is illustrated in a number of stress contours, shown in Fig. 7.15, representing the elastic stress concentration factor, $K_t$, for v-notches with various root radii. From these contours, the larger stresses are apparent in the notches with sharper notch radii.
Figure 7.15. Elastic stress concentration factor, $K_e$, for various notch root radii with other parameters at benchmark values; (a) $\rho/t = 0.13$, (b) $\rho/t = 0.18$, (c) $\rho/t = 0.23$, (d) $\rho/t = 0.28$, (e) $\rho/t = 0.33$.

Trends highlighting the relationship between notch radius and the maximum equivalent stress on the surface of the notch for the v-notched plate are shown graphically.
in Figs. 7.16 – 7.18. These curves were fit to the following equation with a correlation of at least $R^2$ equal to 0.999.

$$K_t = a + b \frac{t}{\sqrt{\rho}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.8)

where $a$ and $b$ are coefficients which can be found in the inset of Figs. 7.16 – 7.18. The functional form of Eqn. 7.8 follows the classical stress concentration factor formulation developed by Inglis (1913).

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline
$\alpha$ & $a$ & $b$ \\
\hline
$45^\circ$ & 0.5499 & 1.9702 \\
$54^\circ$ & 0.5374 & 1.9306 \\
$63^\circ$ & 0.5540 & 1.8711 \\
$72^\circ$ & 0.5831 & 1.7972 \\
$81^\circ$ & 0.6093 & 1.7134 \\
$90^\circ$ & 0.6620 & 1.6015 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

Figure 7.16. Elastic stress concentration factors with respect to notch root radius for different notch angles.
\[ K_c = a + b \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( r/a )</th>
<th>( a )</th>
<th>( b )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.4010</td>
<td>2.1245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.4440</td>
<td>2.1530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.5014</td>
<td>2.0154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.5592</td>
<td>1.7614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.6037</td>
<td>1.4270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.6771</td>
<td>1.0134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.7546</td>
<td>0.5671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.7966</td>
<td>0.2160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.9147</td>
<td>0.7319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.0230</td>
<td>1.3893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.1377</td>
<td>2.1478</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7.17. Elastic stress concentration factors with respect to notch root radius for different radial notch locations.

\[ K_c = a + b \frac{\sqrt{h}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t/h )</th>
<th>( a )</th>
<th>( b )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.6392</td>
<td>1.0114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.5847</td>
<td>1.0410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.5342</td>
<td>1.0494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.5233</td>
<td>1.0296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.5180</td>
<td>0.9935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.4953</td>
<td>0.9474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.5052</td>
<td>0.8811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.5584</td>
<td>0.7935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.6325</td>
<td>0.6949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.7613</td>
<td>0.5784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.8810</td>
<td>0.4672</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7.18. Elastic stress concentration factors with respect to notch root radius for different notch depths.

It was found that the notch radius has a greater effect on the maximum equivalent stress than the notch angle within the range studied. This observation is illustrated in Fig.
7.16 where the stress concentration factor varies only slightly for changes in notch angle. The radial location of the notch has a greater effect on the maximum equivalent stress than the notch radius in the range \(0.30 \leq r_n/a \leq 1.0\), as is shown in Fig. 7.17, where changes in the radial location within this range yield significant changes in the stress concentration factor \(K_r\). Similarly, the stress is more sensitive to changes in the notch depth than the notch radius in the range \(0.40 \leq t/h \leq 0.60\), as is shown in Fig 7.18.

**7.4 Notch Angle and SCFs**

Within the range of notch angles studied, it was found that the notch angle does not significantly affect the stress concentration factor \(K_r\). From Fig. 7.19, it is shown that the stresses present in the radius of the notch are similar for all values of notch angle.
Figure 7.19. Elastic stress concentration factor, $K_t$, for various notch angles with other parameters at benchmark values; (a) $\alpha = 45^\circ$, (b) $\alpha = 55^\circ$, (c) $\alpha = 65^\circ$, (d) $\alpha = 75^\circ$, (e) $\alpha = 85^\circ$. 
These trends are depicted graphically in Figs. 7.20 and 7.21. These plots further solidify the observation that the stresses in the notch are not highly sensitive to the notch angle. This weak correlation is due to the fact that the geometry of the notch root is effectively unchanged throughout the studied range of notch angles. Since the maximum stresses are realized in a very confined vicinity of the notch root, a very minute change in geometric configuration of the radius tip will result in minute change in stress.

Figure 7.20. Elastic stress concentration factors with respect to notch angle for different notch depths.
7.5 Angular Stress Distribution

The previous sections focused on how the behavior of the maximum stresses at the notch root varies as a function of various geometric parameters of the notch. The present section investigates the angular stress distributions about the surface of the notch. These stress distributions are characterized by the angular location parameter $\beta$, shown in Fig. 7.22. A value of $\beta = 0^\circ$ corresponds to the exact middle of the notch root. The equivalent stress values of nodes along the radius of the notch were extracted along with the Cartesian location of the nodes. The Cartesian locations were converted into angular locations and the nodes were sorted in ascending order based on angular location through use of a Fortran code provided in Appendix A. The resulting angular stress distribution codes provide insight into the location within the notch root experiencing maximum stress. In typical symmetric notch problems, the maximum stress is found at the middle.
of the notch root; however, in the case of axisymmetrically loaded plates, the location of
the maximum stress is a function of notch geometry and location.

![Diagram](image)

Figure 7.22. Angular stress distribution parameter $\beta$ along the root radius of the notch.

The radial location of the notch was observed to have the greatest effect on the
angular stress distributions. This result is expected since the radial location of the notch
was shown to have the largest effect on the state of stress throughout the plate. Figure
7.23 depicts the angular distributions of the elastic stress concentration factor, $K_\alpha$, for
various radial locations of the notch at otherwise benchmark conditions.
Figure 7.23. Angular stress distributions of $K_T$ along the root radius of the notch for various radial notch locations.

A number of results can be drawn from this figure with respect to the relationship between the radial location of the notch and the magnitude and location of the maximum equivalent stresses along the notch root. Similar to the results discussed in section 7.1, it is shown in Fig. 7.23 that the magnitude of the stresses along the notch radius decrease as the radial location of the notch is increased until a transition point is reached ($r/a = 0.63$), after which an increase in the radial location of the notch causes a large increase in the magnitude of the notch tip stresses. Regarding the angular location of the maximum equivalent stress, Fig. 7.23 illustrates that this location is a negative $\beta$ for radial locations less the transition point and a positive $\beta$ for radial locations after this transition point. Interestingly, a nearly symmetric angular stress distribution is observed at this transition point of $r/a = 0.63$ and is highlighted in the figure.
The relationship between the depth of the notch and the resulting angular stress distributions was similarly explored. It was found that the relative magnitude of the angular stress distributions decreased as the depth of the notch approached the mid-plane, similar to the results discussed in section 7.2. This general trend is shown in Fig. 7.24. The radial location of maximum equivalent stress was observed to be near the middle of the notch root for shallow notches, but to diverge towards large negative angular locations as the notch depth was increased.

![Figure 7.24. Angular stress distributions along the root radius of the notch for various notch depths.](image)

The radius of the notch was observed to have a negligible effect on the angular location of the maximum equivalent stress. As shown in Fig. 7.23, only the magnitude of the stress distribution was affected by notch radii. This observation is due to the fact that a change in the radius of the notch root does not alter the location of the notch tip within the plate, and therefore does not affect the inherent stress state corresponding to that particular radial and axial location. As discussed earlier, changes in the location of the
notch tip throughout the plate affect both the magnitude and shape of the angular stress distributions; however, changes to purely notch geometry only affect the stress magnitude. This point is also illustrated in Fig. 7.26, in which the elastic stress concentration factor distributions with respect to various notch angles are depicted graphically.

![Graph showing angular stress distributions](image)

Figure 7.25. Angular stress distributions along the root radius of the notch for various notch radii.
Figure 7.26: Angular stress distributions along the root radius of the notch for various notch angles.

### 7.6 Vertical Stress Distribution

The vertical stress distributions with respect to various geometric notch parameters were investigated similarly to the angular stress distributions. The vertical stress distribution is characterized by the normalized vertical location parameter, $z/d$, as shown in Fig. 7.27. The vertical stress distribution originates at the middle of the notch root tip and traversed to the bottom of the plate corresponding to a $z/d = 0.714$.

Figure 7.27. Vertical stress distribution parameter $z$. 
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Both the magnitude and the distribution of the equivalent stresses ahead of the notch were found to be greatly influenced by the radial location of the notch. The vertical elastic stress concentration factor distributions for various radial notch locations are shown in Fig. 7.28. The maximum stresses along this vertical line are realized at the notch tip \( (z/d = -0.29) \). It is important to distinguish that this is the maximum stress along this vertical line which bisects the notch root radius and may not be the maximum stress along the notch root. The location of the maximum stress along the notch root was discussed in section 7.5.

![Stress distributions ahead of the notch for various radial notch locations.](image)

For all the curves in Fig. 7.28, a common trend observed is that equivalent stresses rapidly decrease from the maximum at the notch tip to the minimum value; after which the stresses slowly increase until the bottom of the plate is reached. Similar to results observed for the angular stress distributions with respect to the radial location, it is shown in Fig. 7.28 that the curves corresponding to \( r/a = 0.57 \) and \( r/a = 0.70 \) are both the
The smallest in magnitude and represent a nearly constant stress concentration factor throughout the thickness of the plate.

The depth of the notch was shown to merely affect the magnitude of the stress concentration factor distribution ahead of the notch. As shown in Fig. 7.29, the stress distributions are similar in shape, but differ in the value of the maximum stress concentration factor. Also, these curves originate at different axial locations because the depth of the notch was the geometric parameter varied in this particular case. This is also the reason that the axial location, \( z \), is normalized by the height of plate, \( h \), instead of \( d \).

![Graph showing stress distributions ahead of the notch for various notch angles.](image)

Figure 7.29. Stress distributions ahead of the notch for various notch angles.

The radius of the notch root and the angle of the notch were shown to only slightly affect the elastic stress concentration factor distributions ahead of the notch. These results are consistent with the previously observed results with respect to the influence of these geometric parameters on stress in the vicinity of the notch. The stress
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distributions ahead of the notch with respect to the radius of the notch root and the notch angle are depicted graphically in Figs. 7.30 and 7.31, respectively.

![Stress Concentration Factor](image)

**Figure 7.30.** Stress distributions ahead of the notch for various notch root radii.

![Stress Concentration Factor](image)

**Figure 7.31.** Stress distributions ahead of the notch for various notch angles.
A key distinction between these two diagrams is that the stress distributions vary at different axial locations. For example, as shown in Fig. 7.30, the notch root radii affect only the magnitude of the minimum stress concentration value and this deviation is confined to a small axial range. Regarding Fig. 7.31, the stress distribution curves differ only in the region close to the bottom of the plate.

7.7 Solution Mesh Independence Verification

To verify that the FEA solutions were independent of the meshing scheme, a mesh independence verification was performed. The purpose of this verification is to prove that the FEA solutions approach a value with successive mesh refinements and that the solutions are independent of mesh asymmetry about the notch tip. To best illustrate this, the angular stress distribution about the notch tip was solved using various mesh refinements and plotted on the same figure. The angular stress distribution was chosen in the mesh independence study to verify that the asymmetry in the stress distribution is not an artifact of mesh asymmetry. Figure 7.32 shows the meshing scheme of the benchmark notched configuration at four successive levels of refinement.
Figure 7.3. Mesh of benchmark notch configuration at refinement (a) level 1, (b) level 2, (c) level 3, and (d) level 4.

The angular stress distributions solved from each of these meshing schemes are illustrated in Fig. 7.33. From this figure, it is evident that the angular stress distribution is only slightly affected by the mesh refinement level and is shown to approach a common curve with successive refinements. The level 4 mesh refinement was used throughout the entire FEA of the current paper. It can be concluded from this mesh independence
analysis that the solutions of the stress distributions in the vicinity of the notch are independent of the mesh asymmetry about the notch tip. Furthermore, it was shown that the solution to the stress distribution approaches a value and continued levels of refinement would not yield higher accuracy.

Figure 7.33. Angular stress distribution solutions for various mesh refinement levels.
8. Conclusions

In summary, relationships between V-notch stress concentration factors and notch geometry and location were formulated through parametric finite element analysis. Correlations between individual geometric notch parameters and resulting stress concentration factors were mathematically modeled. Such models could be used by plate designers to determine the necessary notch geometry for a desired stress concentration factor. The relation formulated providing the stress concentration factor as a function of the notch root radius was found to closely match the form of that determined by Inglis (1913). This result suggests that further correlations between stress concentration factor relations for simple and axisymmetric loading can be developed. In general, it was determined that the stresses in the vicinity of the notch tip were more sensitive to changes in notch location ($r$ and $t$) than in pure notch geometry ($\rho$ and $\alpha$). This result is due to the inherent stress dependence on the radial and axial location within the plate. A formulation for the location of minimum equivalent stress within a smooth plate was developed and it was observed that this location acts as a point of symmetry for stress distributions along the radius of the notch.
9. Future Work

The results presented in the current paper were solved assuming a series of idealizations and simplifications which provided a solid framework for the understanding of the complex relationships between the stresses in the vicinity of the notch tip and the notch geometry and location. Idealizations in the FEA such as static loading, isotropic material, elastic behavior, and isothermal conditions allow for only approximate solutions to be found, which cannot be readily applied to the service conditions of the component under consideration; therefore, future studies are planned which will employ further mechanical testing and advanced FEA to provide solutions more applicable to the actual application of circular v-notched components.

Future mechanical testing will consist of flexural experiments of notched, rectangular components to understand the effects of pure bending stresses on the fracture behavior. Additionally, flexural experiments will provide correlations between simple and axisymmetric bending. The tensile experiments conducted previously will be repeated with multiple tests at each configuration to provide statistical certainties associated with experimental results. Notched members will be tested at high strain rates to simulate the impact these plate components experience in service and to further quantify rate dependency of the mechanical properties and fracture behavior of Ultem 1000.

In regards to advanced FEA, elastic-plastic simulations will provide insights into the strain hardening effects on the maximum stresses in the vicinity of the notch. Also, elastic and plastic deformation distributions will be characterized within the vicinity of...
the notch. Dynamic simulations will consider the rate dependence of Ultem 1000 and the resulting effects on the v-notch stress concentration factors and fracture behavior. Since in the industry application of guided projectiles the circular plate components are subject to extreme fluctuations in heat, future numerical simulations will incorporate the known thermal properties of Ultem 1000 and attempt to predict the resulting fracture behaviors. Finally, studies of similar materials such as Ultem variations or custom material blends will be conducted to determine an optimized material composition which will yield a more predictable and controllable fracture behavior.
Appendix A: Codes
A.1 Parametric ANSYS Input File (para_notch_plate.inp)

Finish
/Clear
/PREP7
/OUTPUT,junk.txt

! Specify initial, final, and increment values for parameters:

//X_ini=.5
//X_inc=.2
//X_fin=2.5
//DEP_ini=.1
//DEP_inc=.02
//DEP_fin=3
//ANG_ini=45
//ANG_inc=9
//ANG_fin=90
//RAD_ini=.02
//RAD_inc=.003
//RAD_fin=.05

i=1

*DO,X_LOC_RD,X_ini,X_fin,X_inc
*DO,DEP_NTCH,DEP_ini,DEP_fin,DEP_inc
*DO,ANG_NTCH,ANG_ini,ANG_fin,ANG_inc
*DO,RAD_NTCH,RAD_ini,RAD_fin,RAD_inc

PARSA V,,parameters,txt

*IF,i,GT,1,THEN

finish
/Clear
/PREP7
PARRES,parameters,txt

*ENDIF

finish
/FILNAME, 4 Parameter Study
/title, 4 Parameter Study
/prep7

/OUTPUT,junk1.txt

***************

! Primary Function:

! This input file simulates a circular plate with a concentric v-notch on the top surface subject to a static, uniform,
! axisymmetric pressure load on the top surface. Multiple parameters are independently varied and corresponding
! results are output for further analysis to provide insight into the effect each parameter has on stress distribution
! in the vicinity of the notch.

! Results:

! The results of this simulation are output to independently named text files and include the radial, hoop, and
! equivalent stresses in the vicinity of the notch and the deflection of the center of the plate.

! Parametric Setup:

! Four variables are independently varied through use of a DO LOOP, namely X_LOC_RD, DEP_NTCH, and ANG_NTCH, and
! RAD_NTCH. The results for each run are stored in individual text files:

! Output files:

! The results are output into individually named text files with the following naming convention:

! Plate Deflection Results:

DEFLECTN_"X_LOC_RD"_"DEP_NTCH"_"ANG_NTCH"_"RAD_NTCH".txt

Locations of nodes along notch tip:

NNLOC_"X_LOC_RD"_"DEP_NTCH"_"ANG_NTCH"_"RAD_NTCH".txt

Component stresses along notch tip:

NCSTR_"X_LOC_RD"_"DEP_NTCH"_"ANG_NTCH"_"RAD_NTCH".txt
Principal stresses along notch tip: NPSTR "X_LOC_RD", "DEP_NTCH", "ANG_NTCH", "RAD_NTCH".txt
Locations of nodes along vertical line: VNLOC "X_LOC_RD", "DEP_NTCH", "ANG_NTCH", "RAD_NTCH".txt
Component stresses along vertical line: VPSTR "X_LOC_RD", "DEP_NTCH", "ANG_NTCH", "RAD_NTCH".txt
Principal stresses along horizontal line: HPSTR "X_LOC_RD", "DEP_NTCH", "ANG_NTCH", "RAD_NTCH".txt
Components along horizontal line: HCSTR "X_LOC_RD", "DEP_NTCH", "ANG_NTCH", "RAD_NTCH".txt

This input file utilizes ANSYS "free meshing" and the element size is based on the "smart size" tool
with refinement set to level 4. Extra mesh refinement exists along areas of interest, namely the notch tip,
the outer circumferential boundary, and the inner axial boundary. Since this is an axisymmetric analysis, only
one half of a 2D cross section of the disk need be modeled. B.C.'s are set appropriately to take advantage of symmetry.
The PLANE82 8-Node element is used in this model. This analysis is modeled as static.

---

**Future Updates:**

1. Modify code to simulate dynamic loading.
2. Modify code to simulate thermal loading.
3. Modify code to simulate elastic-plastic deformation.

---

Author: Nathan Mutter
ver. 3.4
Date: 12/05/09

---

**List of Parameters and descriptions:**

---

**Input Parameters (Define system and do not change)**

---

HT_PLATE Thickness of the plate [in]
RD_PLATE Radius of the plate [in]
PRESSURE Evenly distributed pressure [psi]
DENS_ULT Density of Ultem 1000 [lb/in^3]

---

**Varied Parameters**

---

X_LOC_RD Radial distance of notch tip [in] (.1<X_LOC_RD<2.9)
DEP_NTCH Depth of the notch [in] (.1<DEP_NTCH<.4)
ANG_NTCH Angle of notch [degrees] (60<ANG_NTCH<120)
RAD_NTCH Radius of notch tip [in] (.03125<RAD_NTCH<.0375)

---

**Parameters Derived from Geometric Relationships**

---

HT_NTCH Height of the notch tip [in]
HT_RADIUS Height of the center of radius [in]
PHI Half of angle of notch [degrees]

---

PI = 3.14159265
*AFUN, DEG

X_LOC_RD = 1
ANG_NTCH = 60
DEP_NTCH = .15
RAD_NTCH = .035
HT_PLATE = .5 ! Thickness of the plate [in]
RD_PLATE = 3 . ! Radius of the plate [in]
PRESSURE = 300 ! Evenly distributed pressure [psi]

! Specify horizontal and vertical bands for stress distributions
X1="X_LOC_RD">.02
X2=X LOC RD+ .02
Y1=DEP NOTCH- .02
Y2=DEP NOTCH+ .02

! Geometric relationships
HT NOTCH = HT PLATE - DEP NOTCH
HT RADIU = HT NOTCH + RAD NOTCH
PHI = ANG NOTCH/2

! distance of corner keypoint from center line of notch tip
1 = DEP NOTCH* tan(PHI)

! x projection of the radius
r_x = RAD NOTCH*cos(PHI)

! y projection of the radius
r_y = RAD NOTCH*sin(PHI)

! distance from far end of disk to corner keypoint
x = RD PLATE - (X LOC RD + l)

! Keypoints
k, 1, 0.0, 0.0
k, 2, RD PLATE, 0
k, 3, RD PLATE, HT PLATE
k, 4, 0, HT PLATE
k, 5, X LOC RD, HT RADIU
k, 6, X LOC RD, HT NOTCH
k, 7, X LOC RD + r_x, HT RADIU - r_y
k, 8, X LOC RD - r_x, HT RADIU - r_y
k, 9, X LOC RD + l, HT PLATE
k, 10, X LOC RD - l, HT PLATE

! Lines
L, 1, 2
L, 2, 3
L, 3, 9
L, 9, 7
L, 8, 10
L, 10, 4
Larc, 8, 7, 5, RAD NOTCH
L, 4, 1

! Areas
AL, all

! Element
ET, 1, PLANE82
KEYOPT, 1, 3, 1

! Material Properties of Ultem 100
MP, EX, 1, 420e3
MP, PRXY, 1, .35
MP, DENS, 1, 0.046243

! Meshing of entire 2D cross section using free meshing and "smart sizing"
MSHAPE, 0, 2D
MSHIKEY, 0
SMR.TSIZE, 3
AMESH, 1

! Refine Mesh
LREFINE, 4, 5, 1, 2.4,
LREFINE, 7, 7, 1, 2.1,
ILREFINE, 2, 2, 1, 1
ILREFINE, 8, 8, 1, 1

! Boundary Conditions
LSEL, S, LINE, 2, 2, 1
NSLLS, 1
D, ALL, UX, 0
LSEL, ALL
LSEL, S, LINE, 2, 2, 1

! constrain movement in x direction for nodes on line 2 (circumferential boundary)
! constrain movement in y direction for nodes along line 2 (circumferential boundary)
NSLL,S1
D,ALL,UY,0
LSEL,ALL

1 Loads
LSEL,S,LINE,3,6,3
NSLL,S1
SF,all,PRES,PRESSURE
LSEL,all

1 Output files containing node locations
LSEL,S,LINE,7,7,1
NSLL,S1
NWRITE,NNLOC,%X_LOC_RD%_%DEP_NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%_.txt,1 outputs Cartesian location of nodes along notch tip
INSEL,S,LOC,X,X1,X2
INWRITE,VNLOC,%X_LOC_RD%_%DEP_NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%_.txt,1 outputs Cartesian location of nodes along vertical line
INSEL,S,LOC,Y,Y1,Y2
INWRITE,VNLOC,%X_LOC_RD%_%DEP_NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%_.txt,1 outputs Cartesian location of nodes along horizontal line
LSEL,all
NSLL,all
FINISH
/SOLU
solve
FINISH
/POST!

1 Create job names for each run for each output file
DEF JOB = 'DEF_%X_LOC_RD%_%DEP_NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%_.txt'
NNLOC_JOB = 'NNLOC_%X_LOC_RD%_%DEP_NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%_.txt'
NCSTR_JOB = 'NCSTR_%X_LOC_RD%_%DEP_NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%_.txt'
VPSTR_JOB = 'VPSTR_%X_LOC_RD%_%DEP_NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%_.txt'
VNLOC_JOB = 'VNLOC_%X_LOC_RD%_%DEP_NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%_.txt'
VCSTR_JOB = 'VCSTR_%X_LOC_RD%_%DEP_NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%_.txt'
NPSTR_JOB = 'NPSTR_%X_LOC_RD%_%DEP_NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%_.txt'
HPSTR_JOB = 'HPSTR_%X_LOC_RD%_%DEP_NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%_.txt'
HNLOC_JOB = 'HNLOC_%X_LOC_RD%_%DEP_NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%_.txt'
HCSTR_JOB = 'HCSTR_%X_LOC_RD%_%DEP_NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%_.txt'

1 Create index files for each output file set
*CFOPEN, INDEX_DEFLECTN.txt,,append
*VWRITE, DEF_JOB
%C
/OUTPUT,junk2.txt
*CFOPEN, INDEX_NNLOC.txt,,append
*VWRITE, NNLOC_JOB
%C
/OUTPUT,junk3.txt
*CFOPEN, INDEX_NCSTR.txt,,append
*VWRITE, NCSTR_JOB
%C
/OUTPUT,junk4.txt
*CFOPEN, INDEX_NPSTR.txt,,append
*VWRITE, NPSTR_JOB
%C
/OUTPUT,junk5.txt
*CFOPEN, INDEX_VNLOC.txt,,append
*VWRITE, VNLOC_JOB
%C
/OUTPUT,junk6.txt
*CFOPEN, INDEX_VCSTR.txt,,append
*VWRITE, VCSTR_JOB
%C
/OUTPUT,junk7.txt
*CFOPEN, INDEX_VPSTR.txt,,append
*VWRITE, VPSTR_JOB
%C
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**Deflection data**

KSEL,S,KP,4,4,1
NSLK,S
/OUTPUT,DEF_%X_LOC_RDWR_%DEP_NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%.txt  Outputs y deflection of center of plate for each run
PRNSOL,U,Y
/OUTPUT,junk12.txt

**Notch data**

LSEL,S,LINB,7,7,1
NSLL,S,L
/OUTPUT,HCSTR_%X_LOC_RDWR_%©NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%.txt Outputs component stress data along notch tip for each run
PRNSOL,S,COMP
/OUTPUT,HPSTR_%X_LOC_RDWR_%©NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%.txt Outputs principal stress data along notch tip for each run
PRNSOL,S,PRIN
/OUTPUT,junk13.txt

**Vertical range data**

NSEL,S,LOC,X,X1,X2
/OUTPUT,VCSTR_%X_LOC_RDWR_%©NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%.txt Outputs component stress data along vertical band for each run
PRNSOL,S,COMP
/OUTPUT,VPSTR_%X_LOC_RDWR_%©NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%.txt Outputs principal stress data along vertical band for each run
PRNSOL,S,PRIN
/OUTPUT,junk14.txt

**Horizontal range data**

NSEL,S,LOC,Y,Y1,Y2
/OUTPUT,HCSTR_%X_LOC_RDWR_%©NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%.txt Outputs component stress data along horizontal band for each run
PRNSOL,S,COMP
/OUTPUT,HPSTR_%X_LOC_RDWR_%©NTCH%_%ANG_NTCH%_%RAD_NTCH%.txt Outputs principal stress data along horizontal band for each run
PRNSOL,S,PRIN
/OUTPUT,junk15.txt

PLDISP,0
PLESOL,S,X,0

i=i+1
FINISH
*ENDDO
*ENDDO
*ENDDO
*ENDDO
program data_extractor

! Program Function:
! This program extracts results from simulation output files and writes the
! data in tabulated format to one output file.

! Author: Nathan Mutter
! Date: 2-20-2010
! Version: 1.3

! Future Updates:
! 1.
! 2.

! Array and variable declaration:

character*40, dimension (700) :: NCSTR_JOB
character*40, dimension (700) :: NPSTR_JOB
character*40, dimension (700) :: DEFLECTN_JOB
character(len=80) line
character(len=80) line_clean_c
character(len=80) line_clean_p
character(len=80) line_clean_d
integer :: job_num, job_num_max
real :: MX_RAD_STRES, MX_AXI_STRES, MX_HOP_STRES, MX_PRIN_STRES, MX_EQJiTRES, DEPLECTN,
        MX_SFIR_STRES

! Determine number of files to be read and store as job_num_max:

open (1, file='INDEX_NCSTR.txt', status='old')
job_num_max=0
do
  read(1,100,iostat=io)
  if (io.eq.-1) then
    go to 1
  else
    job_num_max=job_num_max+1
  endif
  continue
  close(1)

! Create job name arrays from each job name index file:

! INDEX_NCSTR
do job_num=1,job_num_max
open (2, file='INDEX_NCSTR.txt', status='old')
read (2,100) line
NCSTR_JOB(job_num)=line
enddo

! INDEX_NPSTR
do job_num=1,job_num_max
open (3, file='INDEX_NPSTR.txt', status='old')
read (3,100) line
NPSTR_JOB(job_num)=line
enddo

---
INDEX_DEFLECTN
do job_num = 1, job_num_max
  open (4, file = 'INDEX_DEFLECTN.txt', status = 'old')
  read (4, 100) line
  DEFLECTN_JOB(job_num) = line
  enddo
  close(2)
close(3)
close(4)

! Open individual simulation results files, extract data of interest
! and store in arrays:

! Open component stress result files and extract the max Radial, Axial
! Hoop and Shear stresses.
! do job_num = 1, job_num_max
  open(6, file = 'NCSTR JOB(job_num)', status = 'old')
  read (6, 100, end = 5) line_clean_p
  if (line_clean_p(2:4).eq.'MAX') then
    read (6,100) junk
    read (6,110) MX_RAD_STRES, MX_AXI_STRES, MX_HOP_STRES,
    MX_SHR_STRES
    continue
  else
    goto 2
  end if
  continue

! Open principle stress result files and extract the max first principle stress.
! open(7, file = 'NPSTR JOB(job_num)', status = 'old')
  read (7, 100, end = 5) line_clean_p
  if (line_clean_p(2:4).eq.'MAX') then
    read (7,100) junk
    read (7,120) MX_PRIN_STRES, MX_EQ_STRES
    continue
  else
    goto 4
  end if
  continue

! Open deflection result files and extract deflection of center plate.
! open (8, file = 'DEFLECTN JOB(job_num)', status = 'old')
  read (8, 100, end = 7) line_clean_d
  if (line_clean_d(2:4).eq.'MAX') then
    read (8,100) junk
    read (8,130) DEFLECTN
  else
    goto 6
  end if
  continue

! Write data in tabulated form to an output file:
! open (9, file = 'CLEAN_DATA.txt', status = 'unknown')
! write (9, 140) DEFLECTN_JOB(job_num)(5:30), MX_RAD_STRES, MX_AXI_STRES, &
! DEFLECTN
  endif

! Formats
100 format(a80)
110 format(8X F10.2,1X F10.2, 3X F10.2, 2X F10.2)
120 format (7X F10.2,41X F10.2)
130 format (8X F7.3)
format (a25,7(6X F10.3))

close(6)
close(7)
close(8)
close(9)

e"
A.3 FORTRAN Stress Distribution Code (stress_dist.f90)

program stress_distributions

!!!!!!!!!

Program Function:

This program extracts shear stress and von Mises stress values from nodes along

the radius of the notch and converts the nodal Cartesian coordinates into

polar coordinates centered above the notch tip with a constant radius equal to

the notch tip radius. This program also extracts shear and von Mises stress

values from nodes along a vertical line from the notch tip to the bottom of the

plate. The stresses and nodal locations for both the angular and vertical

distribution cases are written to separate text files for each parametric

combination.

Author: Nathan Mutter

Date: 2-27-2010

Version: 1.0

Future Updates:

Array and variable declaration

If varying X_LOC_RD:

REAL,PARAMETER :: X_INI=0.5, X_FIN=2.5, X_INC=0.2
REAL,PARAMETER :: X_SIZE=((X_FIN-X_INI)/X_INC)+1
REAL,PARAMETER :: X_LOC_RD
REAL :: RAD_NTCH

If varying RAD_NTCH:

REAL,PARAMETER :: RAD_INI=0.02, RAD_FIN=0.05, RAD_INC=0.003
REAL,PARAMETER :: RAD_SIZE=((RAD_FIN-RAD_INI)/RAD_INC)+1
REAL,PARAMETER :: RAD_NTCH
REAL :: X_LOC_RD

CHARACTER*40, DIMENSION(100) :: NCSTR_JOB, NPSTR_JOB, C_NCSTRJOB, &
C_NPSTR_JOB, NNLOC_JOB, VCSTR_JOB, VPSTR_JOB, C_VCSTR_JOB, C_VPSTR_JOB, &
VNLOC_JOB, ANG_DISTJOB, VERT_DIST_JOB
CHARACTER (len=80) :: line
INTEGER :: job_num, job_num_max, NODE_NUM, NNLOC_NODE, NPSTR_NODE, VNLOC_NODE, &
VPSTR_NODE
REAL :: X_COORD, Y_COORD, ANG_SEQV, ANG_SHEAR, ANG_SINT, ANG_MX_SHEAR, ANGLE, VERT_SEQV, &
VERT_SINT, VERT_MX_SHEAR, VERT_SHEAR

!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Determine number of jobs to be read based on index file:

open (1, file="INDEX_NCSTR.txt", status="old")
job_num_max=0
do
read(1,100,iostat=io)
if (io.eq.-1) then
  go to 1
else
  job_num_max= job_num_max+1
endif
100
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Define geometric parameters necessary for angular coordinate calculation:

1. If varying the DEP_NOTCH or ANG_NOTCH then X_LOC_RD and RAD_NOTCH are constants and the below do loop declarations are not necessary.
2. If varying X_LOC_RD then RAD_NOTCH is constant.
3. If varying RAD_NOTCH then X_LOC_RD is constant.
4. Depending on which notch parameters are being varied, the correct "ANGLE" formula must be chosen in the body of the code (see **).

VARY X_LOC_RD:

```
RAD_NOTCH=.635
```

```
do i=1,job_num_max
  X_LOC_RD(i)=X_INI+(i-1)*INC
endo
```

OR

VARY NTCH_RAD:

```
X_LOC_RD=1
```

```
do i=1,job_num_max
  RAD_NOTCH(i)=RAD_INI+(i-1)*INC
endo
```

Create job name arrays from each job name index file:

```
INDEX_NCSTR
  do job_num=1,job_num_max
    open (2, file='INDEX_NCSTR.txt', status='old')
    read (2,100) line
    NCSTR_JOB(job_num)=line
  enddo
```

```
INDEX_NPSTR
  do job_num=1,job_num_max
    open (3, file='INDEX_NPSTR.txt', status='old')
    read (3,100) line
    NPSTR_JOB(job_num)=line
  enddo
```

```
INDEX_NNLOC
  do job_num=1,job_num_max
    open (4, file='INDEX_NNLOC.txt', status='old')
    read (4,100) line
    NNLOC_JOB(job_num)=line
  enddo
```

```
INDEX_VCSTR
  do job_num=1,job_num_max
    open (6, file='INDEX_VCSTR.txt', status='old')
    read (6,100) line
    VCSTR_JOB(job_num)=line
  enddo
```

87
! INDEX_VPSTR
   do job_num=1,job_num_max
      open (7, file='INDEX_VPSTR.txt', status='old')
      read (7,100) line
      VPSTR_JOB(job_num)=line
   enddo
!
! INDEX_VNLOC
   do job_num=1,job_num_max
      open (8, file='INDEX_VNLOC.txt', status='old')
      read (8,100) line
      VNLOC_JOB(job_num)=line
   enddo

!!!!!!******************************************

! Clean stress files and rename:

do job_num=1,job_num_max

   open(unit=9, file=NCSTR_JOB(job_num), status='old')
   open(unit=10, file='C\NCSTR_JOB(job_num)', status='unknown')
   do while (.true.)
      read (9,100, end=5) line
      do i=1,80
         if (line(i:i).eq.' ') goto 2
         if (line(i:i).eq.'0') goto 4
         if (line(i:i).eq.'1') goto 4
         if (line(i:i).eq.'2') goto 4
         if (line(i:i).eq.'3') goto 4
         if (line(i:i).eq.'4') goto 4
         if (line(i:i).eq.'5') goto 4
         if (line(i:i).eq.'6') goto 4
         if (line(i:i).eq.'7') goto 4
         if (line(i:i).eq.'8') goto 4
         goto 3
      continue
      write (10,100) line
      goto 2
   2 continue
   enddo
   continue

5 continue

   open(unit=11, file='NPSTR_JOB(job_num)', status='old')
   open(unit=12, file='C\NPSTR_JOB(job_num)', status='unknown')
   do while (.true.)
      read (11,100, end=9) line
      do i=1,80
         if (line(i:i).eq.' ') goto 6
         if (line(i:i).eq.'0') goto 8
         if (line(i:i).eq.'1') goto 8
         if (line(i:i).eq.'2') goto 8
         if (line(i:i).eq.'3') goto 8
         if (line(i:i).eq.'4') goto 8
         if (line(i:i).eq.'5') goto 8
         if (line(i:i).eq.'6') goto 8
         if (line(i:i).eq.'7') goto 8
         if (line(i:i).eq.'8') goto 8
         goto 9
      continue
      write (12,100) line
      goto 7
   6 continue
   enddo
   continue
   enddo
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9 continue

open(unit=13, file=VCSTR_JOB(job_num), status="old")
open(unit=14, file="C:\\//VCSTR_JOB(job_num), status="unknown")
do while (.true.)
read (13,100,end=13) line
do i=1,80
  if (line(i:i).eq.') goto 10
  if (line(i:i).eq.'9') goto 12
  if (line(i:i).eq.'T') goto 12
  if (line(i:i).eq.'2') goto 12
  if (line(i:i).eq.'3') goto 12
  if (line(i:i).eq.'4') goto 12
  if (line(i:i).eq.'5') goto 12
  if (line(i:i).eq.'6') goto 12
  if (line(i:i).eq.'7') goto 12
  if (line(i:i).eq.'8') goto 12
  if (line(i:i).eq.'9') goto 12
  goto 11
12 continue
  write (14,100) line
  goto 11
10 continue
enddo
11 continue
enddo
13 continue
open(unit=15, file=VPSTR_JOB(job_num), status="old")
open(unit=16, file="C:\\//VPSTR_JOB(job_num), status="unknown")
do while (.true.)
read (15,100,end=17) line
do i=1,80
  if (line(i:i).eq.') goto 14
  if (line(i:i).eq.'9') goto 16
  if (line(i:i).eq.'T') goto 16
  if (line(i:i).eq.'2') goto 16
  if (line(i:i).eq.'3') goto 16
  if (line(i:i).eq.'4') goto 16
  if (line(i:i).eq.'5') goto 16
  if (line(i:i).eq.'6') goto 16
  if (line(i:i).eq.'7') goto 16
  if (line(i:i).eq.'8') goto 16
  if (line(i:i).eq.'9') goto 16
  goto 15
16 continue
  write (16,100) line
  goto 15
14 continue
enddo
15 continue
enddo
17 continue
enddo

close(2)
close(3)
close(4)
close(5)
close(7)
close(8)
close(9)
close(10)
close(11)
close(12)
close(13)
close(14)
close(15)
close(16)

! Extract SEQV, shear stress, and X_COORD of the nodes along notch radius. Convert
! to angular location around radius of notch and write to ANG_DIST.txt.

do job_num=1,job_num_max
  do while (.true.)
    open(2, file='C:/NCSTR_JOB(job_num), status='old')
    open(3, file='C:/NPSTR_JOB(job_num), status='old')
    open(4, file='NNLOC_JOB(job_num), status='old')
    read(2,110,end=25) NODE_NUM, ANG_SHEAR
    read(3,120,end=19) NPSTR_NODE, ANG_SEQV, ANG_SEQV
    if(NPSTR_NODE .eq. NODE_NUM) then
      go to 20
    else
      go to 18
    endif
    print*, 'Node number not found'
    continue
  ANG_MX_SHEAR=ANG_SHEAR/2
  read(4,130,end=22) NNLOC_NODE, X_COORD
  if(NNLOC_NODE .eq. NODE_NUM) then
    go to 23
  else
    go to 21
  end if
  print*, 'Node number not found'
  continue
  18
  19
  20
  21
  22
  23

! Convert cartesian coordinates into polar:
!
! Depending on which parameters of the notch are being varied, choose the
! appropriate "ANGLE" statement below and "comment" out the other two:
!
! If varying DEP_Netch or ANG_NOTCH, use below statement:
ANGLE=ASIN((X_COORD-X_LOC_RD)/RAD_Netch)*(180/3.1416)
!
! If varying X_LOC_RD, use below statement:
!ANGLE=ASIN((X_COORD-X_LOC_RD(job_num))/RAD_Netch)*(180/3.1416)
!
! If varying RAD_Netch, use below statement:
!ANGLE=ASIN((X_COORD-X_LOC_RD(job_num))/RAD_Netch*(180/3.1416)

! Write ANG_DIST text files for each job:
  open(6, file='ANG_DIST//NCSTR_JOB(job_num), status='unknown')
  write(6,140) NODE_NUM, X_COORD, ANGLE, ANG_SHEAR, ANG_MOD_SHEAR, ANG_SEQV
  enddo
  continue
enddo
! Extract SEQV, shear stress, and Y_COORD of the nodes along a vertical line from
! the notch tip to the bottom of the plate and write results to VERT.DIST.txt.

do job_num=1,job_num_max
    do while (.true.)
        open(2, file="C:\NCSTR_JOB(job_num)", status='old')
        open(3, file="C:\VPSTR_JOB(job_num)", status='old')
        open(4, file="VNLOC_JOB(job_num)", status='old')
        read(2,110,end=30) NODE_NUM, VERT_SHEAR
        read(3,120,end=31) VPSTR_NODE, VERT_SINT, VERT_SEQV
        if (VPSTR_NODE .eq. NODE_NUM) then
            go to 40
        else
            go to 38
        endif
        print*, 'Node number not found'
        continue
        VERT_MX_SHEAR=VERT_SINT/2
        read(4,320,end=32) VNLOC_NODE, Y_COORD
        if (VNLOC_NODE .eq. NODE_NUM) then
            go to 33
        else
            go to 31
        endif
        print*, 'Node number not found'
        continue
    30 continue
    enddo
enddo

!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

! Formats:
100 format(a80)
110 format(4X I4, 36X F10.2)
120 format(4X I4, 36X F9.2,3X F9.2)
130 format(4X I4, 2X F10.8)
140 format(4X I4, 8X F8.4,5X F8.2))
150 format(4X I4, 20X F20.17)
160 format(4X I4, 8X F8.5,5X F8.2))
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

end
Appendix B: Mechanical Testing Photographs and Fractographs
Figure B.1. Side view of fracture surfaces of smooth specimens from tensile experiments at (a) 0.5 mm/s, (b) 0.05 mm/s, and (c) 0.005 mm/s.

Figure B.2. Isometric view of fracture surfaces of smooth specimens from tensile experiments at (a) 0.5 mm/s, (b) 0.05 mm/s, and (c) 0.005 mm/s.

Figure B.3. SEM fractograph of smooth specimen from tensile test at 0.5 mm/s.
Figure B.4. Side view of fracture surfaces of blunt notched specimens from tensile experiments at (a) 0.5 mm/s, (b) 0.05 mm/s, and (c) 0.005 mm/s.

Figure B.5. Isometric view of fracture surfaces of blunt notched specimen from tensile experiments at (a) 0.5 mm/s, (b) 0.05 mm/s, and (c) 0.005 mm/s.

Figure B.6. SEM fractographs showing smooth ridge of blunt notched specimen tested at 0.5 mm/s.
Figure B.7. SEM fractographs showing crack initiation of blunt notched specimen tested at 0.5 mm/s.

Figure B.8. SEM fractographs showing smooth ridge of blunt notched specimen tested at 0.05 mm/s.

Figure B.9. SEM fractographs showing crack initiation of blunt notched specimen tested at 0.05 mm/s.
Figure B.10. SEM fractographs showing smooth ridge of blunt notched specimen tested at 0.005 mm/s.

Figure B.11. SEM fractographs showing crack initiation of blunt notched specimen tested at 0.005 mm/s.

Figure B.12. Side view of fracture surfaces of sharp notched specimens from tensile experiments at (a) 0.5 mm/s and (b) 0.05 mm/s.
Figure B.13. Isometric view of fracture surfaces of sharp notched specimens from tensile experiments at (a) 0.5 mm/s and (b) 0.05 mm/s.

Figure B.14. SEM fractographs showing smooth ridge of sharp notched specimen tested at 0.5 mm/s.

Figure B.15. SEM fractographs showing crack initiation of sharp notched specimen tested at 0.5 mm/s.
Figure B.16. SEM fractographs showing smooth ridge of sharp notched specimen tested at 0.05 mm/s.

Figure B.17. SEM fractographs showing crack initiation of sharp notched specimen tested at 0.05 mm/s.
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