



University of Central Florida
STARS

The Rollins Sandspur

Newspapers and Weeklies of Central Florida

3-11-1978

Sandspur, Vol. 84 No. 10, March 11, 1978

Rollins College

Find similar works at: <https://stars.library.ucf.edu/cfm-sandspur>
University of Central Florida Libraries <http://library.ucf.edu>

This Newspaper is brought to you for free and open access by the Newspapers and Weeklies of Central Florida at STARS. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Rollins Sandspur by an authorized administrator of STARS. For more information, please contact STARS@ucf.edu.

STARS Citation

Rollins College, "Sandspur, Vol. 84 No. 10, March 11, 1978" (1978). *The Rollins Sandspur*. 1524.
<https://stars.library.ucf.edu/cfm-sandspur/1524>



Steve Kane

Committee Denies Kane's Bid for Reappointment

By Jim Pendergast

This past semester the theatre arts evaluation committee voted to recommend that Steve Kane not be reappointed to his current position as a member of theatre department's faculty. That decision was sustained on January 31 when the Faculty Evaluation Review Committee denied Kane's appeal. Former president Critchfield subsequently endorsed the committee's report in a letter filed on February 24. Kane has since appealed that decision to the board of Trustees.

The original committee, comprised of Dr. Robert Juergens, Dale Amlund, and Steven Neilson from the theatre arts department, Dr. Norman Gilbert from the political science department, and Dwight Ling from the Provost's office, cited Kane's shortcomings as a director and his colleagues' unanimous negative evaluation of his performance within the department as primary reasons for their negative votes.

Although Kane's student evaluations were recognized by the committee as being excellent, these were not of sufficient magnitude to warrant Kane's outright rehiring. As many as two dozen individual letters of protest and inquiry were filed with the Provost's office in an effort to elicit support on Kane's behalf.

When questioned about their attitude toward Kane's impending release, students expressed

feelings of disbelief and bewilderment. Several made statements acclaiming Kane as an excellent teacher, even "one of the most motivating teachers I have had here at Rollins."

Kane, himself dismayed by the incident, found it "hard to understand how my colleagues can so unequivocally state that I am completely incorrigible as a director."

The Sandspur intends to investigate and report on this matter as additional information becomes available.

Student Association Elections Upcoming

The Student Association elections will be on March 23rd and 24th. March 30th and 31st are being reserved for run-offs if needed.

These elections are for the positions of President and Vice-President of the Student Association in addition to Student representatives on the Senate (8 positions); Professional Development Committee (4 positions); Educational Policy Committee (8 positions); and College Life Committee (10 positions).

(For more information about these committees consult the R-Book.)

Candidates running for President are required to submit a petition of 250 student signatures to Marie Brown by March 21st.

Congress Drafting Legislation to Expand Student Aid Funds

By Bill Loving

Both houses of Congress are now preparing bills that would have a major impact on student financial aid for the 1979-80 academic year.

Different bills are currently being studied and discussed by the Senate and House. One is the "tax credit" bill sponsored by Senators Packwood and Moynihan. It would provide a credit on parents' income tax of \$250 for 1978 and 1979 and then \$500 for 1980 and afterward. It would apply not only to post-secondary education but also to parochial and private education at the elementary and secondary levels. The tax credit approach is estimated to favor the public colleges, studies forecast. Opponents also fear it would cause Congress to reduce financial aid through the colleges. The bill also offers nothing to lower income families who pay little or no taxes and would favor higher income families without need. The National Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators opposes this bill. They feel it would create severe problems in future years in obtaining appropriations for both college-based funds and full funding of the Basic Educational Opportunity Grant.

Congressman William Ford (D-Mich.) has proposed a bill in the House of Representatives similar in intent to the proposal of President Carter. This would increase the number of students qualifying for Basic Grants to include middle-income families with adjusted gross income of up to \$25,000. The Ford bill would

also lift the income ceiling for participation in the State or Federally Insured Student Loan programs. Current regulations state that a family's adjusted gross income must be \$25,000 or less to receive federal interest benefits on these loans. President Carter proposed raising this ceiling to \$45,000. Congressional researchers estimate that the \$45,000 ceiling would open the loan to 90% of the total population. Congressman Ford indicated that opening it to the remaining 10% would not be that costly.

The Ford bill would probably double the number of students receiving Basic Grants and greatly increase the amount of grants for students receiving funds under this program. Maximum grants currently go to \$1,400. The maximum for 1978-79 is \$1,600. If Congress fully funds the program for 1979-80, the maximum will be \$1,800. In order to bring in the middle-income group Carter has proposed an increase in the budget of \$1.46 billion for fiscal 1979. The Ford bill would provide similar funding.

Carter has indicated that he will not accept both a tax-credit proposal and increases in regular student aid. He strongly supports the student aid approach. The Senate is currently proposing both approaches but Carter has indicated he will veto one of them. There is some fear that a stalemate on the issue could defeat both and leave student aid severely underfunded for 1979-80.

Other bills currently before the House also call for additional funding of College Work-Study and Supplementary Educational Opportunity Grants, the latter to aid in "choice" of education. Aid officers feel that Supplementary Grants could make a major difference for students choosing private colleges over state-supported schools.

At the recent meeting of the Southern Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators, overwhelming support was given to the Ford and the Administration bills. A caucus of Florida aid officers gave unanimous support to these bills as well as opposition to the tax credit method.

Students and parents should express their opinions on these bills to their congressmen and senators. Action could come in the immediate future and letters should be sent at once.

The Ford bill is called H.R. 10854. The Senate bill which is similar in approach is S. 2539.

Critchfield Explains Visitation Veto

An Open Letter to the Community

I have been informed that the seven day - twenty-four hour visitation legislation passed the Senate by an overwhelming vote, during its meeting on February 22, 1978. In accord with the College Bylaws, I write to inform you, and, therefore, the College community, that I hereby veto that legislation. When the special faculty meeting is called, which necessarily follows this action on my part, I shall not be President of Rollins College and will not be in attendance. Therefore, I am requesting you to convey the contents of this letter to the faculty members assembled.

At the outset, I want to state to you and everyone that I take this action for two fundamental reasons. The first is that if I were coming to Rollins as the new President next year, I would want to have an input in this most important change in the affairs of Rollins College. I believe anyone who is selected for this position should have that opportunity, if the option is available.

Secondly, and far more important, I truthfully and honestly believe that cohabitation (regardless of what it is called in the legislation) will seriously damage the future of this institution. I shall attempt to briefly state my reasons.

First of all, I have heard three reasons given in defense of the legislation which passed the Senate. One reason is simply that the great majority of students want it. The majority of our students want no examinations. The majority of our students want no academic work outside of the classroom. A high percentage of our students would like not even to attend class. The majority of our students would like a substantially increased athletic scholarship program. The majority of our students (I believe) would like us to abolish the tenure system. The majority of our students would like no tuition increases. The majority of our students would support the immediate dismissal of a number of tenured faculty members. The majority of our students will be very happy to not have to listen to my continued opposition to this legislation. If we truly believe as a faculty that the students should have what they want, that they know what is best for them in their entire collegiate experience, then I suggest we do away with all of our requirements and standards.

A second reason given for the passage of this legislation has been that many other (if not the great majority) of institutions have already adopted coeducational housing or twenty-four hour visitation throughout the school year. We are not the great majority of institutions. We are Rollins College that has made great strides in regaining a deserved academic reputation. We do not have that strength of the Ivys or the outstanding public universities, which have no financial or student enrollment problems. We are currently the most competitive institution in the State of Florida in relation to

student applicants for freshmen and transfer admission. I simply ask you to think about the reasons we may be in that position. Could it be that one of the reasons is that we do offer an alternative to some of our students, if not most of our parents who pay the bills?

I can truthfully relate to you that I have yet to find the first college or university president who has admitted to me any great joy in the coeducational housing or cohabitation brought on by liberal visitation policies in their particular institutions. Not one has ever said to me this is the best thing that has ever happened on my campus. Not one has ever given me one reason to justify such a policy on academic or intellectual grounds. Not one has indicated that such policies have eliminated or even diminished the individual student problems of a personal nature. In summary, I have no evidence that the other institutions have adopted their current policies with much attention or thought. As a matter of fact, I am convinced that they have adopted the policies in a similar fashion to what Rollins may be doing. Too many faculty have taken the attitude that their concern is limited only to their subject area in their classrooms and the grades that they eventually give. I have heard too many faculty members indicate that they did not have the time or concern for what the student did with his or her own time outside of the classroom. I suggest to you that this attitude represents a total disregard for your primary role as a teacher in this institution.

A third reason given for the passage of this legislation is that the great majority of our students already violate the current policy. Having observed for quite a few years traffic around stop signs in residential areas, I am quite convinced that the majority of drivers do not stop at such signs. Should we, therefore, have them removed? Having observed as I drive down any Interstate that the great majority of drivers do not abide by the 55 mile an hour speed limit, should the speed limit simply be eliminated? Having observed that the majority of our students spend far too little time on research projects and preparation for examinations, should we eliminate both outside classroom academic work and all examinations? I find this latter reason the most ridiculous insane statement for any civilized group of individuals to even report, let alone use as a defense.

I would ask you then to think about why this legislation should be passed and should be enacted. Is it the desire of the faculty of Rollins College to publicly condone sexual relations in our residence halls? I am not talking about the moral issue related to that question. I am asking you to think about the public relations aspect in a Central Florida community that is rather conservative and from which we have received an increasing amount of financial support.

Is there some feeling among the faculty that the intellectual level of the College will be raised by virtue of men and women students being permitted to be together in a dormitory room throughout the night? If so, I simply must relate to you that I know of no example where any great research paper was written, where thorough study for an examination occurred, where any great intellectual discussions occurred between a man and a woman student in a dormitory room at 4 a.m. in the morning, clothed or unclothed.

I have said a number of times (recognizing that I am in mild disagreement with our Director of Admissions, Bill Hartog) that I think we shall suffer enrollment problems as a result of a too rapid liberalization of our residence hall policies. I would briefly state again that the parents of students attending this institution have far more to do with the choice of which college will be attended than the entering freshmen care to admit. I recognize that given the stated inability of our student personnel and security staff to enforce the current visitation policy that we tend to promote a state of hypocrisy in relation to what the parents believe exists, compared to what does exist. It is my belief that an inadequate amount of effort has been put forth to enforce the current visitation policy by staff, faculty, and obviously students. I believe that we will gradually lose many good students (especially women students), from our entering freshman class if this policy is enacted. I believe the transfer rate for both academic reasons and personal reasons will increase dramatically if this policy is enacted. At the very best, there will be a change in attitude among the students enrolling at Rollins College and I cannot believe that it will be toward a more intellectual student body than we now have.

I have read that the Director of Student Housing and the Acting Dean of Students believe that the proposed policy can be administered. In questioning Dean Campbell in my office some weeks ago, I was personally convinced that he had no idea how to administer the proposed policy. He is under a great deal of pressure from students to agree that this is the way to go, as are all student personnel staff mem-

bers. I can understand and empathize with their dilemma. The fact is that the configuration of our residence halls is in no way conducive to the implementation of this kind of a policy that supposedly will provide students with a freedom of choice. When one roommate in a two person room, in a dormitory that votes for the proposed 24-hour visitation policy decides that she wants a young man to spend the night with her most of the time, there will be very little freedom of choice for the other roommate. No matter how carefully the regulations may be written. Since freshman residence halls are to be excluded from the current policy, I wonder if freshman will be excluded from spending the night with upper-class students of the opposite sex? I doubt if this has been discussed and I don't believe that a negative answer to that question is any more enforceable than the current policy is for all students. I am thoroughly convinced that the majority of entering freshmen at this or any other institution are not mature enough or emotionally capable of coping with the peer group pressure that such a liberalized residence hall policy demands.

Only a year ago, the majority of the faculty of this institution determined that freshmen were not capable of coping with the pressures of fraternity and sorority rush parties. I can't imagine any normal healthy male student finding it easier to say no to a coed's invitation to spend the night with her than to say no to his fourth or fifth beer.

There has been some suggestion that the proposed policy would provide better security for our students in terms of protecting them from the maniac types who rove the streets of our nation. Hogwash! Given the seeming inability of the student personnel staff and the security force to see to it that doors are not propped open as apparently now occurs, we have very little security on the campus at the moment. Given the "no monitoring" implications of the new policy in that students can come and go as they please in the dormitories all hours of the day and night, I can see absolutely nothing to be gained in the sense of better security.

Continued on page 3

The Rollins Sandspur

Subscription rate at \$8.00 per year. The opinions expressed in the Sandspur do not necessarily reflect the views of the Sandspur staff, nor those of the Students, the Faculty, and/or administration of Rollins College.

Published bi-weekly, except vacations and exams, at Rollins College, Winter Park, Florida 32789, at Rollins College publications office located at Carnegie Hall, first floor.

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Assistant Editor

News Editor

Sports Editor

Photography

Layout Assistant

Circulation Managers

Advertising

Staff

James Pendergast

Linda Maughan

Kathy Kohl

Tom Cook

Barb Vitaliano

Michele McPhillips

Russ Corkum, Elizabeth Young

Sharon Bylenga

Paul Zeph, Scott Campbell, Shawne

Wickham, Lynn Welch

Sidewalk Art Festival Coming to Winter Park March 17 - 19

By Lesley Custer

Celebrate the arrival of spring with the 19th annual Winter Park Sidewalk Art Festival, March 17 to 19, on Park Avenue. Exhibits of 300 nationally acclaimed artists will be displayed and entertainment for all ages will be held throughout the weekend. Martha Laiser, a spokeswoman for the art festival, said this year a "children's workbench," and an exhibit of students' work from 60 schools in Orange County, will be displayed to especially appeal to the young. The art festival will include a

spectrum of entertainment. Demonstrations in weaving, glass blowing and printmaking will be shown. The art of belly dancing, ballet, mime and special music will also be attractions during the weekend. International cuisine will be offered at the festival and restaurants along the avenue will be open. The final event of the art festival will be a concert performed by the Florida Symphony Orchestra, sponsored by local banks and loan agents. Park Avenue will be closed to traffic but parking will be available in designated parking lots in the immediate area.



The Winter Park Art Festival, to be held March 17th - 19th on Park Ave., supplies entertainment for the amateur.



... and the connoisseur.

Holt House Proposes Changes, Seeking Student Support

The Holt House Program was established at Rollins seven years ago in order to provide motivated students with the opportunity to participate more fully in the structure of their own education. While many students have taken advantage of this opportunity, the major criticism leveled against the Holt House has been that it does not offer its members any real possibility for social interaction. At the present time student members of the Holt House are drafting a series of proposals that, if approved, would present the organization as a more socially oriented group. Equal emphasis will be put on the individual student's participation in the contract learning system and on the organization's academic and cultural contribution to the Rollins Community.

What we need now more than anything is support from the student body. On Tuesday, March 14th at 7:30 p.m. in the lounge of McKean Hall, the Holt House is sponsoring an informal open house for anyone who is interested in the Holt House philosophy or who may have some ideas to contribute to our restructuralization. Some interesting artistic and academic Holt House projects will be on display, and Herbal tea, pastry and cheese will be provided. Even if you are just out for some good food and a pleasant evening, please feel free to join us. If you are at all interested in the Holt House and our latest proposals, but cannot attend our open house, contact Ric Waldman at box 2451.

The Amazing Kreskin: E.S.P. or Just P.S.E.?

[Quotes from a Post-performance interview]

By Bob Vergenz

Well, Kreskin made his second performance in two years here at Rollins last Friday night, March 3, in the Enyart-Alumni Field House. And his performance was a topic for discussion among students for the rest of the weekend. A majority of the student body was probably among the crowd of approximately 1500 that packed the half of the field house allotted for the performance, and those that weren't there probably have heard about it from a friend, so I won't describe the details of the performance. I would rather discuss Kreskin, the man. Kreskin claims to be a scientific investigator of the power of suggestion and E.S.P. He began doing his performances at the age of eleven. Kreskin has a degree in psychology from Seton Hall University, and in 1976 received an honorary doctorate from the same place. In early days, he was a professional hypnotist for eight years working with Dr. Harold Hansen and his patients. Of this

period he says "I began to realize that it really was not that I was putting people in trances. There was a faith-prestige relationship I had created. I began to have a tremendous amount of insight into people's responses and feelings, and really the thing is that I was using the feelings, the rapport that was created between the person and myself, to show them how they could help themselves." The most obvious question to ask Kreskin is: "How do you do it?" During the performance this question was answered in a negative way, i.e., how he does not do it. He denied the use of any mechanical devices, tricks or confederates though this is certainly questioned by some. The man was billed as having developed "a strikingly unique and different method of communication, which may take more than fifty years to become common." When asked if he considered himself as having some unique knowledge that most of the rest of the world does not have, he replied that the knowledge is "not unique in the sense that it is not available to others. It has become a revelation to me in the way I have interpreted it and

adopted it. People have not realized that the potential is there in such a natural way." "In a sense I have taken the supernatural factors out of this field and tried to naturalize them. So in a sense, E.S.P. extrasensory perception, becomes P.S.E., phenomena scientifically explainable." Kreskin, who says he would consider himself a parapsychologist, says that "What may be is that it is not paranormal. It may be normal, not yet understood." Does Kreskin understand how everything he does on stage is working? "No, no. I can get a feel for it, but it is like asking, I suppose, a painter, 'How do you paint?'" For years Kreskin studied himself, and his feats, and he wrote about it in his book, *The Amazing World of Kreskin*. But ultimately he says, "It is more like knowing how it happens, rather than why." Mr. Kreskin obviously believes strongly in the power of the mind. He cites examples of crisis situations, where people have lifted cars off of trapped friends or housewives saving refrigerators, too heavy for them normally to lift, from burning buildings. How about the simple case of

someone changing the texture of their skin, while watching a horror show? Even if the room is warm, goose-bumps can be raised on the skin. In these cases he says, "the mind is doing something. It is just that unfortunately, most of the time we do it accidentally. But something happens on all of those occasions...our imagination is stimulated." Most of the students that I have talked to since the performance believe that the things that Kreskin did Friday night were not really that amazing. One claimed he observed a fault in Kreskin's handling of those little pieces of paper that he had everyone writing on, that Kreskin had one of them in his possession during one of his amazing "mind-reading" exhibitions. Perhaps some of his tricks were simply slight of hand. Or perhaps Kreskin really is legit. One certainly cannot doubt that he has mastered the power of suggestion (or maybe some would rather call it persuasion). Yet one thing is certain...Kreskin is a superb entertainer, and judging from the laughter and applause from his audience here at Rollins, he did an excellent job last Friday night.

Students, Faculty, and Administration

Editorial

The recently passed legislation regarding visitation policy was vetoed by former president Critchfield. The veto came as no surprise to those who supported the policy. President Critchfield's intentions were made overwhelmingly clear by his proxy to the Senate meeting, Fred Hicks.

What may have come as a surprise to some was the faculty's failure to produce a quorum at its emergency meeting called to determine whether or not they would override the president's veto. After all, the Senate voted in landslide fashion to pass the legislation and there certainly are plenty of faculty in the Senate. So why the balk? Well, after giving the matter much thought, it seems reasonable to assume that the faculty's action - or rather inaction - can be interpreted as a courteous and thoughtful gesture toward our currently unnamed president. That is, given that this is perhaps the single-most important piece of legislation to be debated in recent years, it is only appropriate that the individual destined to assume the Rollins' presidency have some input in this crucial matter.

Now, the pragmatist asks, "what has all this accomplished?" Well, to respond accurately, nothing...much. Nothing in that nothing changes. Everyone must be home in his/her own dorm at the usual - or unusual - hour as the case might be. But, and this is probably the most important outcome of this entire exercise, we do know that the Senate is prepared to endorse a radically

different visitation policy from anything this college has ever known, should the opportunity arise again. We also need to bear in mind the possibility that a new president may very well be sympathetic to the students' position and sign such a bill into effect.

The issue then reverts back to the much debated question: do we enact legislation which provides for "cohabitation" of Rollins' dormitories? If history repeats itself, as is often reputed to be the case, this legislation will undoubtedly reappear before the Senate very soon and assuming it passes, the new president or acting president will be confronted with circumstances similar to those from which our former president recently departed.

It is my considered estimation, bearing these facts in mind, that the students as well as faculty of this college have an obligation to make known their feelings, desires, and opinions regarding this matter. The worst possible injustice that could occur would be for this legislation to either sink or soar under the pretext that "a majority will it as such" (which is exactly what has happened). A great deal of dissension exists among students and faculty, as evidenced by the letters appearing in this issue. It is the Sandspur's objective that these conflicting views be brought into sharper focus. With this invitation, I advise that concerned persons, presumably each member of this community, speak now or forever hold your peace.

Editor:

The ludicrousness of Rollins' visitation policy has been something that I have wanted to write about for a long time. Seeing that President Critchfield has vetoed the visitation reforms, I decided that this was the time.

In the time that I have been here at Rollins I have noticed that many people disobey the visitation rules and most get away with it, too! In my opinion, the only benefit of the present visitation policy is that it prevents loud, all night parties, which might disturb others who are sleeping or studying. Even that is not much, since groups of one sex are just as capable of making noise as those of both sexes. Spending quiet time with a guy (or a girl, as the case may be) in the privacy of one's room does not affect others in any way, as long as you are considerate of your roommates' feelings. I believe that I am old enough to make my own decisions about who I will see and when and where.

Frankly, I think that our visitation policy does nothing more than create rule breakers. If people do not obey the rule now, shouldn't we take that as indication that it is time for change, Mr. Critchfield?

Susannah MacKaye
Class of '81

Dear Editor,

The visitation policy is ludicrous. First of all, it is assumed in most colleges that by the time you enter college, your ideals and values are well established. Obviously, former President Critchfield does not think that his students are that mature.

By placing visitation restrictions on us, it is apparent that he does not feel we are capable of handling this situation. Anything that can be done after 12 or 2 can be done before that time also. Unfortunately, if treated as children we may behave that way.

The Senate represents the feelings of the student body. Why even have a government if no resolutions can be passed? If we followed the democratic policy of our government, $\frac{2}{3}$ of the Senate, should override a president's veto.

Another flagrant issue is that visitation is not and cannot be enforced. Why have a rule that is subject to the ridicule and contempt of the students?

Obviously, former President Critchfield should pay more attention to the students' needs and desires and stop worrying about the trustees. The school is for the students!

Yours truly,
Anonymous

Dear Editor

The issue of who to allow in one's room and when (within reasonable constraints) is not a privilege but should be the right of every student attending Rollins College, as it is in many other colleges and universities throughout the nation. I maintain visitation at Rollins is simply a dead issue - an anachronism which has lingered to the disapproval of over 86% of the student body.

In October the Community Life Committee undertook the timely task of formulating an open visitation policy (to be found on reserve in Mills Library). Two months and over 150 man hours later the proposal was presented to the College Life Committee, which subsequently passed the legislation in January. On February 22 the college Senate passed the proposal as amended with an overwhelming majority (25-5). One day later, as expected, Dr. Critchfield exercised his veto powers. On Feb. 29, 4½ months after its conception, the visitation proposal was killed. Approximately 70 faculty members failed to attend the special faculty meeting called as a result of Dr. Critchfield's veto. Without a quorum, no action could be taken, and the proposal was technically overridden. Due process was denied.

As evidenced by the poor attendance at the faculty meeting, I seriously doubt whether the majority of the faculty are anything other than indifferent to the issue. Open visitation is condoned defacto by Rollins students. It becomes increasingly difficult for the Student Hearing

Dear Editor,

Having lived in a co-ed dorm for the past two years, I can attest to many of the benefits of such a living situation. A 24-hour visitation policy is not one of them. As presented to the Student Assembly, the bill lacks any complete or realistic foresight. To which dorms would the policy apply? Only upper classmen? If so, I question the lack of a freedom of choice for those who prefer the present curfew. Not all of us are bold enough to defend our position against a "problem roommate" or a "problem floor." I hope the Assembly is able to answer a few of these questions for as the bill stands now, President Critchfield's decision was the only sensible one to make.

Carol Graham

Dear Editor,

The logical compromise between the student interests favoring twenty-four-hour visitation and the college interests opposing it would be a policy or law of No Fault Visitation. If no complaint is lodged against a delinquent visitor by a resident, no penalty is assessed.

—Steve Phelan

Boards to prosecute the few who are caught for visitation infractions.

I feel many of the opponents of open visitation misunderstand the implications of the issue. They automatically construe 24-hour visitation to mean "cohabitation" or more absurdly "open fornication." This is simply ridiculous. By advocating an open visitation policy, Rollins College does not necessarily "publicly condone sexual relations in our residence halls." The year is 1978, and although the locale is Central Florida, I do feel few Central Floridians, friends, alumni, or parents seriously feel that Rollins through an open visitation policy would be advocating open fornication. Rollins would be seen in a positive light - a progressive institution responding to the times, as the majority of other universities and colleges have done.

It is my contention that nothing will really change. Students will continue to violate the rules en masse, and the token few who continue to be prosecuted. Hopefully next year's student body will work closely with the new President of the College, utilizing this year's findings, and work to rescind visitation. I hope this year's effort was not in vain. Members of the Community Life Committee, who worked so gently and conscientiously, include: Dr. Hicks, Dean Campbell, Dean Russell, Robert Sullivan, Tina Howard, Bill Bancroft, Carter Beese, Simon Talbot, Cindy Keefe, and Mary J. Fadem.

Bob Wall

Editor:

24-hour visitation cannot work as long as roommates exist. There are far too many inconsiderate students living on this campus to expect mutual cooperation if one wants a visitor overnight.

Granted, the present system does not prevent promiscuity, an insult to the maturity level of many, and is not widely enforced, but the rights of an individual student must be upheld.

Removing the only safeguard against this inconsideration is the answer.

Paul T. Z...

Dear Sandspur,

I feel that 24-hour visitation is not what Rollins needs. The most appropriate plan would probably be visitation on week-ends and then the regular 12:00-12:00 P.M. hours on the week days.

Most colleges have been changing their dorm hours and Rollins needs to follow this trend. Although 24-hour visitation would be very convenient in some cases; it would also limit one's privacy considerably. I would be pleased with a change in the dorm hours for week-ends.

Thank you
E.M.

rs ... Speak on Visitation Issue

Dear Editor,

Rollins College is respected and admired throughout the nation for its policy of joint student-faculty governance. Throughout the last several months on the Presidential Search Committee every educator we have come in contact with has been impressed by our system. Recent activities, however, force a re-evaluation of our procedure.

A proposal for 24-hour visitation, after being formulated and researched in the Community Life and College Life Committees, was passed in the College Senate by an overwhelming majority. The Student Assembly also passed a supportive resolution by a unanimous vote. After the legislation was vetoed by President Critchfield, the next step in the procedure (as determined by a questionable interpretation of the College Bylaws) was a special faculty meeting called to uphold or overturn the veto. When we asked what happened to the student part in the student-faculty governance system, the President of the faculty replied "oh, that only exists up to a certain point."

President Critchfield, several members of the administration, and several members of the faculty all felt that the veto would be overturned by a wide margin. When the meeting was called, however, two-thirds of the faculty failed to show. A quorum was not reached and the veto stands by default. Thus, rather than joint student-faculty determination of campus regulations, the rules of the community are

formed by lack of interest by the faculty.

At this point the President of the faculty informed us that by failing to show up for their meeting and discuss the veto the faculty had acted and the veto is upheld. Now, as the students are attempting to re-introduce the legislation into the Senate, we are informed that the vetoed legislation may not be re-introduced into the Senate until it is discussed by the faculty. I once read a book called *Catch-22* that had a similar plot.

The students request that the faculty hold true to the spirit of the student-faculty governance system and at least listen to our presentation which is the result of many hours of research. If the President of the faculty is successful in blocking any further hearing by a technical interpretation (or re-interpretation) of the College Bylaws, the students plan to immediately petition the Board of Trustees for a hearing on the vetoed legislation. Preliminary indication is that the Trustees wish to hear what the students have to say, and we respect their right to disagree with us as the final authority at Rollins.

We thank those members of the faculty and administration who have been active and concerned. It is unfortunate that you are in the minority among your colleagues. Recent events have made it clear that our type of campus governance demands close scrutiny and re-evaluation.

Carter Beese
President
Student Association

Dear Sir,

In response to your request for letters concerning visitation, I hesitate to write, if only because visitation should not be an issue to take up space in the college newspaper.

However, the events that have passed in the last few weeks surely not only need to be raised, but also need responses to the students so that they can have a clear picture of what really is happening.

After ex-President Critchfield passed the ball back to the faculty, there was a meeting called especially to debate this matter. Yet the faculty could not even muster the necessary amount of people to form a quorum and vote upon this. Of course, the faculty have their excuses - they did not know about it, they did not have time, and other such reasons.

Despite all these, it boils down to one issue: apathy. And, for a change, it certainly is not student apathy. The students involved in putting forward this proposal have put in a great deal of time to try and perfect it. Yet many of the faculty do not deem it necessary to come and vote on an issue that concerns students, for a change. If it were tenure or

salary being discussed, the meeting would have been fully attended.

The result of this farce can only be reflected in student-teacher relationships. If the teacher is to teach, he or she must let the student make a certain amount of decisions. The question whom to see, and when, is certainly one of those decisions.

As of now, the issue of visitation is in a coma. If the President of the Faculty can find it possible to once again bring up this matter, maybe we can be rid of it, hopefully, forever. If that is done, at least there would be no need for letters such as these.

Instead of sitting on the farce, it is time for the faculty to wake up and do something about the situation that now exists. If and when they do, students may be more prepared to accept the faculty more as friends and equals, rather than as some distant power structure, that autocratically runs our lives, and makes our decisions for us.

I very much hope that this issue will not be swept under the rug, as has happened previously. Some decision must be made, and now it is up to the faculty to make the next step. I am still waiting.

Yours Sincerely
Simon Talbot

Dear Sir:

Your solicitation of college community opinions on the controversial issue of the President's veto of Senate action on 24-hour visitation is responsible and welcome. I write solely for myself as one member of the college community. Basing my opinion on twenty-one years of teaching, counseling, and conversation and correspondence with Rollins students and parents, I agree totally with the President's veto, a position he has consistently held for a long time. Without students, no college, private or public, can exist. Professional experience convinces me a 24-hour visitation policy is not in the best interest of the college, given our facilities, students, faculty, student-parents, and security problems, as well as totally inadequate endowment for what I want this

college to be and become. The number of students who, out of their own independent incomes, pay all or even most of their college expenses, is probably under 2%. I do not imply that values and people who hold them do not and must not change. The important question is the form and direction of the change, and its influence on the college. Obviously, my agreement with the veto does not in itself make me, as one interested party, right. However, though the past and present of our society are important, the future is more so. This student body, and hundreds of similar ones, ARE the future. I hope that this future generation makes a substantial improvement over the past and present, my own and all others included.

Sincerely yours,
Dr. John Bowen Hamilton

Faculty Reflects Disinterest in Visitation Debate

As was reported in the last *Sandspur* issue, on February 22nd the College Senate voted approval of a proposal which would allow for 24-hour visitation in upperclass residence halls. The proposal was promptly vetoed by then President Jack B. Critchfield on February 23rd. This administrative action, which came as no real surprise to anyone involved, ended a process which had been going on since the end of this past fall term.

In early December, the Student Life division of the College Life Committee circulated a survey among a cross-section of the Rollins student body. Among the 109 males and 129 females questioned, 88.5% of the students stated that they did not agree with the current visitation policy.

When the results of the survey were compiled, a proposal was sent to the College Life Committee calling for 24-hour visitation in all residence halls. The proposal was amended by the C.L.C. so that the new policy

would implement 24-hour visitation only in upperclass residence halls.

On March 1st, a special faculty meeting was called at the request of President Critchfield in order to vote upon whether or not to uphold his veto. However, as a quorum of the faculty was not present at the meeting, no action could be taken.

Dr. Barry Levis, president of the faculty, offered two possible explanations for the non-attendance at the special meeting. Many faculty members, he stated, are very concerned with the current presidential search efforts, and are less interested in the visitation policy proceedings. In addition, many faculty members seem to be simply ambivalent about the 24-hour visitation question. If the faculty had felt strongly about the veto, Levis suggested, they would have attended the meeting; the lack of attendance indicated that the majority of faculty members didn't feel strongly about the veto.

At the present time, the proponents of the 24-hour visitation proposal are re-submitting their ideas to the College Life Committee. However, even if the re-submitted proposal was not vetoed by Acting-President Fred Hicks, because of the late date of the action, the new visitation policy would probably not be implemented until the academic year 1979-1980.

Sunday Worship Service in Chapel

Morning Worship at 9:45 AM in the Chapel will be part of the Alumni Weekend festivities. The Chapel Choir will present portions of Mozart's Mass in C minor which Dean Wettstein will interpret in the sermon on the subject "Time on our Hands."

The Palm Sunday Service at the Chapel, on Sunday March 19, will focus on the theme of the triumphal entry. Dean Wettstein will speak on "Standing Around."

Sincerely yours,
Jack B. Critchfield
President

Tars "a Bit Anxious" as Season Opens

With ten games gone from a 49 game slate, the Rollins baseball team has what most would consider an outstanding record of 7-3.

As senior third baseman John Brickley said, though, "we should be 9-1." The consensus among the players is identical. Shortstop Rusty Piggot, who has exploded for 12 RBIs, feels that everyone was "a little bit anxious" at first, causing numerous mental mistakes.

The first loss came at the University of Tampa, March 2 as the Tars fell 5-4, leaving 15 men stranded on the bases. Though Piggot went 4-5 and centerfielder Berry Dunlap was 3-4, nonetheless Charlie Cacciabeve had to take the first Rollins pitching loss.

This loss followed three straight wins at Harper Shepherd Field. John Ralston got the win against Florida, allowing six hits in 7½ innings. A rough seventh and two hits in the eighth chased him from the mound. He was relieved for one out by Clark Murray, and then freshman reliever Chuck Overby finished, striking out the final batter with the bases loaded.

In a 4-3 win against Ball State, Bob Kreuger pitched nine innings in which the sixth was the only in which more than one runner reached base.

Leftfielder Don Kiwor knocked in the winning run. After Brickley had walked and advanced to second on a grounder by second baseman Rick Page, Kiwor stroked a single to left, scoring Brickley.

The opening game of the season against the University of Tampa was highlighted by a pitching duel. A sparkling 1 hitter by Rollins' pitcher Frank Ricci allowed the Tars to squeak by the Spartans 1-0. Sophomore Jay Barnhardt, starting as the designated hitter, stroked a single and a double and scored the winning run. After being moved to third on a bunt by

catcher Dan Flynn in the bottom of the ninth, Barnhardt scored on a sharply hit single to left by Dunlap.

The threesome of Piggot, Barnhardt, and Dunlap have been the keys to the offense thus far. Delivering four winning RBIs, they have a combined batting average of .425 and have scored 20 runs.

Mike Johnson, still batting cleanup though he has started the year slowly, says that Piggot "has carried us." But Piggot, while he has had a hot bat, is disturbed by his defensive mistakes and is thankful that there are a "lot of guys picking each other up."

Barnhardt added that though there have been some errors and occasional lapses in hitting, he is sure that "pretty soon everything is going to start falling into place."

Apparently things are falling into place as the Tars have just recently won two high scoring contests against William and Mary. John Ralston pitched a 5-hitter and struck out 10 as the Tars won the first game 10-2. The threesome of Piggot, Barnhardt and Dunlap again supplied the power as they went 7-11 and scored 4 runs. Piggot knocked in six RBIs.

28 hits, 11 errors, and 23 runs lengthened the second game, causing it to be called after eight innings because of darkness and leaving the Tars victorious 16-7. Cacciabeve got the win this time, backed by Rick Page's four hits and five RBIs. Brickley, who is walking away with the bases on balls title, got three free trips and scored four times.

A weekend series exemplified the inconsistencies the team has experienced. A combined five hit shutout by Ricci and Steve McDonald won the first game 7-0. The winning RBI was delivered by Flynn who smashed a double in the second inning, scoring three.

A powerful three hitter by left-



Dan Flynn awaits pitch in Tars 10-2 victory over William & Mary.

hander Kreuger in the second game was marred by errors and a lack of hitting. Though he struck out eight and retired the last 13 batters in a row, Kreuger lost 3-1.

In the fifth, a single to left sent the Temple runner on third streaking to the plate. Kiwor, attempting an aggressive play, charged the ball to make a play at home. The ball skidded under his outstretched glove and went to the fence, allowing the Temple

batter to round the bases and score two ahead of him.

Though satisfied with the record, the squad knows a couple more hits and more defensive consistency would have netted them two more wins. The inconsistency will have to be resolved before the power conference games. As Cacciabeve noted, "when we get to the top games we can't expect to win."

By Smith Bennett

Introducing a distinguished Icelandic bird who has the answer to all those confusing air fares to Europe.

The bird you see here is known as a Puffin. A small, thoughtful resident of Iceland. One of the first things young Puffins learn to do is fly Icelandic. Beginning April 1, 1978, Icelandic will fly any youth (Puffin or person) between 12-23 years old roundtrip from New York to Luxembourg for just \$400. \$430 from Chicago. Return tickets are good for a full year. Fares subject to change.

But there's more to Icelandic than just low fares.

You'll get a great dinner and excellent service on your trip. And Icelandic will set you down right in the middle of Europe, where you'll be just hours away by train from Europe's most famous landmarks.

So take a travel tip from Iceland's favorite bird. Learn to fly Icelandic. See your travel agent. Or write Dept. #C352, Icelandic Airlines, P.O. Box 105, West Hempstead, NY 11552. Call 800-555-1212 for toll-free number in your area.

\$275

roundtrip 14-45 day APEX fare from N.Y.*

\$400

roundtrip youth fare. Good to age 23.

Icelandic to Europe.

*\$295 from Chicago. Tickets must be reserved 45 days prior to departure and paid for within 90 days of reservation. Add \$15 each way for travel on weekends.

BACKPACKING, OUTDOOR WEAR, HUNTING, FISHING

Rod & Gun AN ORVIS SHOP



Handsewn Boat Moccasins by Quoddy

All time favorites for boating, camping and campus wear. Quoddy workmanship enhances the top grain leather of these fine moccasins.

Mens (No. 1493) Reg \$31.00

Womens (No. 1473) Reg. \$29.00

BRING THIS AD WITH YOU AND SAVE \$4.00

on the purchase of these moccasins

OFFER EXPIRES MARCH 31, 1978

501 PARK AVENUE N. (PINK BLDG) 645-5100

Indies Cop Intramural Crown in Final Seconds

By Mike Johnson

Tuesday night, action was fast and furious in the Enyart-Alumni Field House as the Independents and the Phi Delt's squared off for the intramural basketball championship of 1978.

The Indies had advanced to the finals by way of a victory over the TKE's while the Phi Delt's won a last second thriller over the highly favored X-Club.

Chris Ramsey hit a desperation shot with 2 seconds remaining on the clock to lift the Indies to a 64-63 victory. The Phi Delt's had controlled the game in the second half until the Indies began their comeback with 5 minutes left in the game.

The Phi Delt's had an eight point half-time lead, due to the offensive punch of John Botger and Byron Bressler. The Indies stayed close by the hot shooting of Dick Murray, who finished the game with 19 points, 15 in the first half.

The Phi Delt's had several chances to ice the game in the final minute of play, but failure to

convert crucial free throws kept the Indies hopes alive.

John Botger fouled out with 10 minutes left which weakened the Phi Delt's strength on the boards.

From that point on, it was Trailblazer Chris Ramsey's show. The converted guard took it to the hoop scoring 19 points in the second half and dumping in the thriller with 2 seconds left.

All in all, it was a most exciting game, a repeat of last year's Indie victory over the X-Club when Paul Callaway hit a shot at the buzzer to win it.

Tim Mahoney, coach of the Indies gave great praise to his players for never giving up. His counterpart Kyle Rich was extremely proud of his team as it is the first time the Phi Delt's have made the finals in the history of the fraternity.

As for the star of the game, Chris Ramsey, his only comment, "It was fun."

A special thanks goes out to trainer Frank Zezoney for dedicating his time in case of an injury and also to John Noelker.



Leeks outruns Rowdie foe, however Tampa Bay outscored Rollins 5-0.

Hicks Acting President, New Trustees Appointed

By Susie Hamilton

The Administrative Staff of Rollins College is currently undergoing an important change in personnel. The resignations of Dr. Jack Critchfield and Mr. Frank Hubbard vacated two very critical administrative positions — President of the College and Chairman of the Board of Trustees.

On February 25th the Rollins Board of Trustees named Dr. Fred W. Hicks as Acting President, effective immediately. Dr. Hicks has held many positions at Rollins since 1964, including Dean of Men, Executive Assistant to the President and Dean of Student Affairs. Dr. Hicks is currently the Executive Vice President and a professor of history.

Dr. Hicks has all the duties and responsibilities of a regular President, until such time as a successor for Dr. Critchfield is named. The Search Committee has narrowed the list of qualified applicants to three, and it is likely that one of these will be named President by May. These three applicants, however, are all current college Presidents and would be unable to assume office at Rollins prior to the 1978-1979 academic year.

The Rollins Board of Trustees has also elected a new Chairman. Mr. John M. Tiedke took office on February 25th, succeeding Mr.

Frank Hubbard. Mr. Tiedke has been involved with Rollins and with other Central Florida interests for many years. In addition to being a strong supporter of the Bach Festival, Mr. Tiedke has held several other positions on the Board of Trustees, including Vice Chairman and head of the Budget Committee. His responsibilities as the leader of the governing body of Rollins College are to raise funds, confirm the appointment of the President and to delegate other responsibilities to faculty or students.

The Rollins Board also appointed Mr. George Powell of Orlando as Vice Chairman. Mr. Powell is Chairman of the Board of Yellow Freight System Corporation, and has a son attending Crummer Business School. Other new members of the Board include Mr. Harold Ward III, Mrs. John L. Duda, Jr., and Mr. F. Whitner Chase. Mr. Ward is a Winter Park attorney, and also serves on the boards of two major law schools. Mr. Chase is involved in the Central Florida citrus business, and is a graduate of Rollins. Mrs. Duda has also devoted much time and energy to community service. She is a member of the Board of the Orlando Chamber of Commerce and has contributed generously to the Lock Haven Art Center.

Wendy's presents
the **hot
n.
juicy**
SPECIAL



\$1.09
Wendy's
SPECIAL

with this coupon

A 1/4 LB. SINGLE HAMBURGER
FRENCH FRIES - SMALL SOFT DRINK
CHEESE OR TOMATO EXTRA
COUPON EXPIRES 3/26/78

Each coupon requires separate purchase

\$1.09
Wendy's
SPECIAL

with this coupon

A 1/4 LB. SINGLE HAMBURGER
FRENCH FRIES - SMALL SOFT DRINK
CHEESE OR TOMATO EXTRA
COUPON EXPIRES 3/26/78

Each coupon requires separate purchase

\$1.09
Wendy's
SPECIAL

with this coupon

A 1/4 LB. SINGLE HAMBURGER
FRENCH FRIES - SMALL SOFT DRINK
CHEESE OR TOMATO EXTRA
COUPON EXPIRES 3/26/78

Each coupon requires separate purchase

\$1.09
Wendy's
SPECIAL

with this coupon

A 1/4 LB. SINGLE HAMBURGER
FRENCH FRIES - SMALL SOFT DRINK
CHEESE OR TOMATO EXTRA
COUPON EXPIRES 3/26/78

Each coupon requires separate purchase



2201 E. COLONIAL DR.
1115 W. COLONIAL DR.
516 ALTAMONTE DR., Alt. Sprgs.
7135 S. ORANGE BLOSSOM TR.



Copyright © 1977 by Wendy's International, Inc. All rights reserved.

BRING YOUR TALENT & FRIENDS TO
THE FRENCH MARKET

DOWNTOWN ORLANDO

A COZY INDOOR SETTING OF 17 SHOPS

THURSDAY NIGHT IS TALENT SHOWCASE
TIME BEGINNING AT 7:30 PM



ENJOY A PRE-SHOW DINNER SPECIAL AT
MACK MEINER'S CAFE SOCIETY

FEATURING FOOD FOR "JUST PLAIN FOLKS," TOO!

FOR AUDITIONS AND FURTHER INFORMATION CALL, 422-7554



Energy Options May be Politically Unfeasible

By Ken Taylor

Last spring the Carter energy program was unveiled to reveal some cursory attempts to restrain energy consumption and considerable ambiguity regarding changes in the production of energy. This was an economic program constrained by a political reality that apparently was badly misjudged.

The tax subsidy scheme for buyers of gas-guzzling and gas-consuming automobiles has been criticized as gimmicky and financially too painful for U. S. automakers. The nickel-a-gallon standby tax on gasoline was widely held to impose an unconscionable burden on our standard of living, despite the fact total U.S. gasoline taxes would be considerably lower than those of Western Europe. Insulation tax credits proved to be popular, and the elimination of quantity discounts for large volume users of electricity, along with peakload pricing generated mixed political reaction.

The production aspects of the Carter energy program were a curious mixture of incentive and disincentive providing measures along with reallocative devices. The key strategy involved a tax scheme to require industries and utilities to switch from oil and natural gas to coal. Apparently, the expected peaking of oil production around the year 2000 and the presumption that at least 100 years or so of recoverable coal are left took precedence over environmental considerations when this provision was formulated. Coal is a dirty fuel in terms of both particulates and noxious gaseous compounds; it lacks versatility and its exploitation produces deleterious effects on the environment, aesthetically and ecologically.

New oil prices were to be deregulated while the controlled price of interstate natural gas was to be raised and possibly gradually deregulated. Uncontrolled intrastate gas would be regulated at the new higher price.

At this time it appears that there were an energy plan to emerge

from Congress, it would bear scant resemblance to the original Carter program. Now there are intimations that later this month, the White House will put forward a new energy package providing for more production incentives and less incentives for conservation.

What can be made of all this? First, the initial energy consciousness-raising effect of the original energy plan and the marketing effort undertaken by President Carter on its behalf, appears to have been seriously undermined by subsequent political disagreements and perhaps just the passage of time. Many citizens suspect that the energy crisis or problem is but a ruse of big government or the energy companies. Secondly, the gap between economic and political reality must be wide indeed for political figures to argue that the original Carter plan was conservation oriented. This seems ludicrous, for the program demanded very little in the way of material sacrifice. Thirdly, this new election-year plan will probably include a surfeit of energy-related tax breaks and subsidies that incumbents may offer their business and consumer constituents. Bottomline conservation measures will not be in evidence this year.

More fruitful alternatives to the energy problem seem possible. Gradual decontrol of oil and gas prices is desirable. To prevent inordinate price rises over short time periods, high rising ceiling prices can be set. Such a policy will increase oil and gas supplied and reduce oil and gas demanded. The distinction between old oil and new oil should be eliminated, since today's new oil can become tomorrow's old oil by legislating an updated definition of old oil. This behavior becomes quickly recognized by energy companies and diminishes the amount of future oil brought to market. It also discourages secondary recovery. Distinction of interstate from intrastate natural gas on the basis of price has no economic merit and should be avoided. Energy companies should not be stymied from

moving into alternative energy fields, including solar, wind, geothermal, fusion and other forms.

Also, the shift to coal is desirable if the cost of producing coal includes calculable net environmental damage as a tax, and zoning is employed. Such measures will provide incentives for further development of particulate filtration systems and coal gasification. Taxes can be employed to develop direct solar and other energy forms which are meritorious on ecological grounds. Presently, there is not enough subsidization of these projects.

These suggested energy policies are clearly not comprehensive, but they are a step in the direction of more efficient resource allocation and more equit-

able wealth distribution. If properly administered, they can moderate much of the uncertainty that has dampened business and consumer confidence and economic activity. This imposes over costs on business and consumers rather than covert but eventually costs of resource misallocation and maldistribution and rigid controls and in which individuals have an inability to adjust.

Many would argue that the above suggestions are politically unfeasible. Perhaps, but leadership often determines the nature of the feasibility policies, so we must believe that this term is a description of reality and not an excuse for energetic leadership.

The Rollins English Dept. Announces THE CONSTABLE SHAKESPEARE AWARD

THE WINNERS:

The students who write the best essays on any subject concerning Shakespeare or the drama of his time. ALL UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS AT ROLLINS ARE ELIGIBLE.

THE PRIZES:

A total of \$200 is available for this year's winners. Depending on number and quality of essays submitted, there may be four prizes of \$50 each, two of \$100 each or another combination.

THE ESSAY:

Eight to fifteen typed pages

THE DEADLINE:

Shakespeare's birthday - April 23rd

SUBMIT TO:

English Dept. Office, 210 Orlando Hall

For further information contact Wilbur Dorsett, Dr. Alan North or Dr. Cary Ser.

THE VILLA NOVA

INVITES ALL STUDENTS TO THE

THIRD GENERATION LOUNGE

FOR

HAPPY HOUR

5 to 8 Monday—Friday Featuring Every

THURSDAY

ROLLINS HAPPY HOUR

ALL DRINKS 2 for 1 I.D.'S REQUIRED

Hot & Cold Hors D'oeuvres

839 N. ORLANDO AVE.

644-2060

HOME & HOBBY HOUSE

1115 EAST COLONIAL DRIVE P. O. BOX 6098
ORLANDO, FLORIDA 32803

PHONE 896-8101

15% discount to Rollins Students with I.D.

