1-1-1996

Angular Distribution Of Decay Electrons From The 6P Resonance Excitations In Xenon

C. D. Caldwell
University of Central Florida

S. Hallman
University of Central Florida

Find similar works at: <https://stars.library.ucf.edu/facultybib1990>
University of Central Florida Libraries <http://library.ucf.edu>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Bibliography at STARS. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Bibliography 1990s by an authorized administrator of STARS. For more information, please contact STARS@ucf.edu.

Recommended Citation

Caldwell, C. D. and Hallman, S., "Angular Distribution Of Decay Electrons From The 6P Resonance Excitations In Xenon" (1996). *Faculty Bibliography 1990s*. 1583.
<https://stars.library.ucf.edu/facultybib1990/1583>

Angular distribution of decay electrons from the $6p$ resonance excitations in xenon

C. D. Caldwell and S. Hallman

Department of Physics, University of Central Florida, Orlando, Florida 32816-2385

(Received 14 December 1995)

Angular distributions for the electrons produced by the decay of the $4d \rightarrow 6p$ excitations in xenon have been measured using an undulator radiation source. The resolution employed in the experiment has allowed us to derive separate β values for features which had not been isolated previously, as well as to determine β values for previously unreported lines. Comparison is made between existing experimental and theoretical results.

PACS number(s): 32.80.Fb, 32.80.Hd

The $4d \rightarrow 6p$ excitations in xenon represent one of the best-known examples of resonant Auger decay. Although the excitation is to an unfilled principal shell, the decay spectrum is quite complex due to strong spin-orbit coupling in the xenon atom. This complexity demands that a sufficiently high resolution be employed in order to resolve the contributions from each of the spin-orbit components. To date no truly high-resolution data have been reported for the angular distribution parameter β associated with the decay of these excitations. The highest resolution measurements thus far are the cross section results of Aksela *et al.* [1].

In this paper we report on an analysis of the angular distribution of the resonant Auger electrons resulting from the decay of both the $4d_{5/2}^{-1}6p$ and $4d_{3/2}^{-1}6p$ excitations. The data for this analysis are the result of experiments conducted at the University of Wisconsin Synchrotron Radiation Center (SRC) using an undulator-monochromator combination

which provided radiation with a bandwidth of 60 meV at the energies of interest for the xenon measurements. Details of the operation of this source and the experimental arrangement have been discussed extensively in an earlier work [2]. Briefly, the electrons are analyzed in a set of three spherical sector analyzers mounted at right angles with respect to each other on a rotatable platform perpendicular to the direction of the incoming photon beam. The angular distribution parameter β is obtained from the ratio of photoelectron intensities at 0° and 90° with respect to the electric field vector, $R = I(0^\circ)/I(90^\circ)$, through the relation

$$\beta = \frac{4(R-1)}{3p(R+1)-(R-1)}, \quad (1)$$

where p is the polarization of the ionizing radiation; in this case $p = 0.99$. A measurement at the pseudomagic angle of

FIG. 1. Intensities of the electrons resulting from the decay of the $4d_{5/2}^{-1}6p$ excitation in xenon as measured at 0° and 90° . Labels correspond to the groups of lines given in Table I.

FIG. 2. Intensities of the electrons resulting from the decay of the $4d_{3/2}^{-1}6p$ excitation in xenon as measured at 0° and 90° . Labels correspond to the groups of lines given in Table II.

TABLE I. Experimental and theoretical β values for $4d_{5/2}^{-1}6p$ excitations in xenon. Lines are a combination of transitions identified by Aksela *et al.* (Ref. [1]), given in column 2. Designations used by Carlson *et al.* (Ref. [4]) and Chen (Ref. [7]) are given in column 3.

Line	Designation		This work β	Experimental			Theoretical	
	Aksela	Carlson		β^a	β^b	β^c	β^d	β^e
A	1		1.96(1)					
B	7,8		1.69(8)					
C	12–14		1.5(1)					
D	19,20	1a	−0.59(6)	−0.88	−0.67	−0.60	−0.976	−0.770
E	22–24	1b	−0.86(3)	−0.93	−0.93	−0.90	−0.726	−0.870
F	26	1c	1.38(6)	0.82	1.35	1.31	0.972	1.018
G	27		1.1(2)					
H	30,31	2a	0.84(9)	0.26	0.89	0.58	0.868	0.703
I1	32,33		1.17(9)					
I2	34–36		0.2(1)					
I	32–36	2b	0.59(9)	0.16	0.45	0.54	0.394	0.348
J	37,38		1.4(1)					
K	39–42	3a	0.56(8)	−0.02	0.55	0.23	−0.048	0.039
L1	43,44		−0.79(3)					
L2	46		1.74(3)					
L	43–46	3b	0.45(8)	−0.09	0.46	0.33	0.007	−0.0007
M1	48,49		1.66(7)					
M2	50		1.4(1)					
M	48–50		1.52(8)					
N1	51–55		0.3(1)					
N2	56		1.3(1)					
N	51–56		0.6(1)					
O	59		1.5(1)					
P	63,64		1.0(1)					
Q1	67,68		1.04(8)					
Q2	72		0.7(2)					
Q	67–72	5	0.95(8)	0.51	1.26	0.83	0.753	0.847
R	74–79		0.3(1)					
S1	80–82		0.8(1)					
S2	83–85		0.2(1)					
S	80–85	6	0.47(9)	−0.03		0.12	−0.01	0.017

^aCarlson *et al.* (Ref. [4]).

^bHergenbahn *et al.* (Ref. [5]).

^cKämmerling *et al.* (Ref. [8]).

^dHergenbahn *et al.* (Ref. [6]).

^eChen (Ref. [7]).

$\theta_m = (1/2)\cos^{-1}(-1/3p)$, gives the partial cross sections. Energy resolution of the analyzers was enhanced by retarding the electrons to provide an overall experimental resolution of 147 meV, as measured by the width of the $5s$ photo-line. While this was adequate to resolve the major multiplet components, it was not sufficient to resolve all the spin-orbit components, which have a typical splitting of 20–30 meV. We employed two independent analyzers, which were rotated in tandem between the two angles, thereby allowing two independent measurements of the β parameter.

In a recent measurement using a monochromator band-pass of 8 meV and an electron spectrometer resolution of 40 meV, Aksela *et al.* [1] remeasured the decay spectra of the $4d \rightarrow 6p$ excitations at the magic angle. Using a combination of a fitting procedure and theoretical calculations, they assigned energies and relative intensities to all the spin-orbit

components which they identified. Using their energies as a starting point, we fit each of our spectra, at 0° and 90° , to a group of overlapping Pearson-7 functions [3] to determine the relative intensity of each line at each individual angle. Each spectrum was fit individually, and the goodness of the fits was determined by the χ -squared value as well as the comparison between the two independent sets of data. Intensities for the various features are given by the area under the respective contributions which were summed to give the final fit, normalized to the beam current and the xenon pressure.

Figures 1 and 2 give the spectra for each of the excitations as recorded at the two angles. Each of the prominent features is labeled with a letter which corresponds to a group of spin-orbit components making up a fit. In Tables I and II are given the results for the β values which we determine for

TABLE II. Experimental and theoretical β values for $4d_{5/2}^{-1}6p$ excitations in xenon. Lines are a combination of transitions identified by Aksela *et al.* (Ref. [1]). The other β results are those of Carlson *et al.* (Ref. [4]).

Designation		This work	Carlson	Designation		This work	Carlson
Line	Aksela	β	β^a	Line	Aksela	β	β^a
B	7,8	1.5(1)		M	48–50	1.75(5)	
C	12–14	2.00(1)		N	51–56	0.6(1)	
D	19,20	−0.71(5)	−0.67	Q1	65–68	0.7(1)	
E	22–25	−0.03(1)		Q2	71–73	0.6(1)	
F	26	−0.4(1)	−0.38	Q	65–73	0.62(9)	0.89
H	30,31	0.4(1)	0.14	R	76,77	0.4(2)	
I1	32,33	−0.24(9)		S1	80–82	0.1(1)	
I2	34–36	0.2(2)		S2	83–85	0.2(1)	
I	32–36	−0.13(9)		S	80–85	0.14(9)	−0.29
J	37,38	1.53(9)		T	86–91	0.1(2)	
K	39–42	0.0(2)		U	94–100	0.4(1)	
L1	43,44	0.52(9)		V	102–107	0.3(1)	
L2	46,47	−0.4(5)		W	108–114	0.5(1)	
L	43–47	0.43(9)	0.32				

^aValues in this column are for combinations of the line shown plus adjacent lines.

each of the groups of lines which we are able to isolate. In the second column of each table are given the numbers which Aksela *et al.* [1] have assigned to the individual lines having the energies which we calculate as making up the group. Because of the large number of spin-orbit components corresponding to most line numbers, we omit the detailed assignments and refer the reader to the Aksela work for details. The angular distribution parameter is calculated for each group by a direct division according to Eq. (1). In some instances in order to achieve a better comparison with earlier measurements and calculations, we have given both the β values we determine for each distinguishable group and the average β obtained when the two groups are superimposed.

The influence of resolution on these measurements is well illustrated by the behavior of feature *L*, which is expanded in Fig. 3 to show the individual contributions to the fit. Although the *K, L* pair appears quite similar as recorded at the two different angles, closer examination reveals that there are actually several distinct features contained in *L*. The difference is highlighted by the relative contributions of lines 43–47 [1] to the fit. At 0° line 45 is a major contributor, whereas inclusion of line 44 makes no significant contribution. At 90° the opposite is true. The contribution of line 46 is quite large at 0° and relatively minor at 90° . Lines 43 and 44 are identified by Aksela *et al.* as $(^1D)6p^2D_{3/2,5/2}$, while line 46 is the $(^1D)6p^2P_{1/2}$ component. Lines 45 and 47 are the $(^3P)7s^4P_{5/2}$ and $^2P_{3/2}$ components, respectively. These principal contributions can be separated into two larger features, one, *L2*, with a large positive β value and the second, *L1*, with a large negative β value. The final β value in every case still remains an average, but the appearance of the two very different β values for the two groupings illustrates the sensitivity of the final β value to the relative intensities of the spin-orbit components making up the feature.

For the case of the $4d_{5/2}^{-1}6p$ excitation, several sets of earlier data exist, both experimental and theoretical, for the β values for the lines identified in the third column using the

line numbering chosen by Carlson *et al.* [4]. These are inter-compared in Table I. In general, our results differ considerably from those of Carlson *et al.*; we attribute this to the difference in resolution between the two experiments. On the other hand, our results are in quite good agreement with the measurements of Hergenbahn *et al.* [5] for these features, which were measured at comparable resolution. To our

FIG. 3. Relative contributions of the components making up the principal features *K* and *L*. Peak *L* may be broken down into *L1*, which has a large negative β , and *L2*, which has a large positive β .

knowledge the only other set of data which exist for the $4d_{3/2} \rightarrow 6p$ excitation is the work of Carlson *et al.* [4]. Because of the lower resolution in that work, it is difficult to determine exactly which of our lines correspond to their designations. However, based on a comparison of line assignments between Aksela *et al.* and Carlson *et al.*, we have selected what we believe should be either the same features or superpositions thereof. Results are displayed in Table II. A comparison between the $4d_{5/2}^{-1}$ and $4d_{3/2}^{-1}$ groups in the two tables indicates that the β values are similar for most of the equivalent lines, designated by the same letters. However, some β values are quite different, especially for the lines *E*, *F*, and *I*. It is suspected that this is due to a parity-unfavored transition contained in one of the $4d_{5/2}^{-1}$ or $4d_{3/2}^{-1}$ transitions that make up the observed features. These are also the lines that have the most widely varying relative intensities in the cross section [1].

The theoretical results [6,7], given in the final two columns of Table I, differ considerably from the experimental results and from each other. We do not understand the source

of this discrepancy, although it might arise from a difference in identification of the spin-orbit components. In almost all instances the spin-orbit identifications from the Aksela calculations [1] differ from the assignments of Chen [7]. Because of the very small splittings of many of the spin-orbit features compared to the resolution attainable with the best of modern monochromators, it is likely that it may not be possible to isolate every individual spin-orbit component contributing to these decays. Thus, any measurement will always involve an average over a number of components. The comparison with theoretical results thus requires that the theoretical calculation determine the identity and partial and differential cross sections of each decay feature with extremely good accuracy.

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-9207634. We acknowledge the experimental efforts of S.B. Whitfield, M.G. Flemming, and A. Fahlman, who helped conduct these measurements as part of a larger project.

-
- [1] H. Aksela, O. P. Sairanen, S. Aksela, A. Kivimäki, A. Naves de Brito, E. Nömmiste, J. Tulkki, A. Ausmees, S. J. Osborne, and S. Svensson, *Phys. Rev. A* **51**, 1291 (1995).
 - [2] M. O. Krause, S. B. Whitfield, C. D. Caldwell, J.-Z. Wu, P. van der Meulen, C. A. de Lange, and R. W. C. Hanson, *J. Electron. Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* **58**, 79 (1992).
 - [3] S. B. Whitfield, C. D. Caldwell, D. X. Huang, and M. O. Krause, *J. Phys. B* **25**, 4755 (1992).
 - [4] T. A. Carlson, D. R. Mullins, C. D. Beall, B. W. Yates, J. W. Taylor, D. W. Lindle, and F. A. Grimm, *Phys. Rev. A* **39**, 1170 (1989).
 - [5] U. Hergenhahn, N. M. Kabachnik, and B. Lohmann, *J. Phys. B* **24**, 4759 (1991).
 - [6] U. Hergenhahn, B. Lohmann, N. M. Kabachnik, and U. Becker, *J. Phys. B* **26**, L117 (1993).
 - [7] M. H. Chen, *Phys. Rev. A* **47**, 3733 (1993).
 - [8] B. Kämmerling, B. Krässig, and V. Schmidt, *J. Phys. B* **23**, 4487 (1990).