

University of Central Florida

STARS

Electronic Theses and Dissertations

2009

A Model Of Treating Hyperfunctional Voice Disorders For School Age Children Within A Serious Gaming Environment

Suzanne King

University of Central Florida



Part of the [Communication Sciences and Disorders Commons](#)

Find similar works at: <https://stars.library.ucf.edu/etd>

University of Central Florida Libraries <http://library.ucf.edu>

This Masters Thesis (Open Access) is brought to you for free and open access by STARS. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of STARS. For more information, please contact STARS@ucf.edu.

STARS Citation

King, Suzanne, "A Model Of Treating Hyperfunctional Voice Disorders For School Age Children Within A Serious Gaming Environment" (2009). *Electronic Theses and Dissertations*. 4068.

<https://stars.library.ucf.edu/etd/4068>

A MODEL OF TREATING HYPERFUNCTIONAL VOICE DISORDERS
FOR SCHOOL AGE CHILDREN
WITHIN A SERIOUS GAMING ENVIRONMENT

by

SUZANNE NATALIE KING
B.A. University of South Florida, 2007

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of Arts
in the Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders
in the College of Health and Public Affairs
at the University of Central Florida
Orlando, Florida

Summer Term
2009

© 2009 Suzanne N. King

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the present study is to test the feasibility of implementing a video-game based intervention protocol as a means to improve therapy compliance in school age children with hyperfunctional voice disorders. Three levels of modification were made to an existing entertainment software program in order to implement the therapeutic protocol and test compatibility. The third level of modification included a two-phase quasi-experimental single subject design with a school age participant receiving the video game therapy protocol and traditional therapy for equal time. The independent variables for this study included the mode of voice therapy delivery (traditional vs. video game). The dependent variables included therapy compliance, perceptual evaluations and acoustic measures.

This study found that a purely entertainment video game can be implemented as a therapeutic protocol for a school age child diagnosed with a vocal pathology. Results illustrated no change in compliance with non-traditional therapy versus traditional therapy. However, perceptual measures improved post treatment for breathiness, strain and overall severity, as well as significant differences for mean amplitude. Discussion will focus on implications of employing video game based therapy and design of future studies.

In dedication to my father, Dennis Thomas King for influencing me to persevere in the face of challenges. You will always be a source of inspiration in my life.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

My journey through this rewarding experience would not have been possible without the assistance and support of many individuals. First, I would like to thank my mentor, Dr Bari Hoffman Ruddy for her invaluable source of encouragement, understanding, and patience. You have ignited my curiosity and have made my graduate experience truly gratifying! I would also like to thank the members of my committee Dr. Larry Davis, Dr. David Ratusnik, and Dr. Jack Ryalls for their guidance, constructive criticism and suggestions. I wish to express my gratitude to the Florida Interactive Entertainment Academy and the student developers of the Treblemakers group at UCF for providing us the software application and allowing us to make modifications. A special thanks to Dr. Christopher McCrea and the Ear, Nose and Throat Surgical Associates for providing therapy to my participant. I would also like to thank the faculty and staff in the Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders at UCF for providing continued input and valuable suggestions during the development of this project.

My research would not have been completed without the encouragement of my family and friends. I would like to thank all of my friends whose presence helped make the completion of my graduate work possible. In particular, Anna thank you for your strength and encouragement. I would also like to thank my mother, Diane, for instilling in me the confidence that I am capable of accomplishing anything I put my mind to. You opened my eyes to the possibilities of science and taught me to challenge conformity. I would also like to express sincere gratitude to Scott for his continuous encouragement, advice, and understanding; your patience and generosity extend beyond any words I could convey.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF ACRONYMS	x
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
Therapeutic Application of Serious Gaming	2
Incidence and Prevalence of Voice Disorders in Children	4
Behavioral and Psychological Effects of Pediatric Voice Disorders	6
Effects on Academic Performance	7
Anatomy of the Pediatric Larynx	8
Definition of Pediatric Voice Disorders	10
Common Vocal Pathologies that occur with Pediatric	11
Common Traditional Therapy Techniques	12
CHAPTER TWO: METHODOLOGY	15
Purpose.....	15
Feasibility Tests.....	15
Modification to Opera Slinger for Therapeutic Needs	17
Video Game Application with Clinical Population.....	20
Hypotheses	20
Study Design	21
General Methods	21
Participant.....	21
Experimental Measures	22
Compliance	22
Baseline Measures	23

Procedure.....	25
CHAPTER THREE: RESULTS	28
Descriptive Statistics	28
Compliance.....	28
Consensus Auditory-Perceptual Evaluation of Voice (CAPE-V)	32
Pediatric Voice Handicap Index	32
Vocal Quality.....	34
Inferential Statistics	35
Compliance.....	35
Consensus Auditory-Perceptual Evaluation of Voice (CAPE-V)	35
Vocal Quality.....	36
CHAPTER FOUR: DISCUSSION.....	37
Compliance	37
Consensus Auditory-Perceptual Evaluation of Voice (CAPE-V).....	38
Pediatric Voice Handicap Index.....	39
Vocal Quality	40
Limitations	41
Future Studies.....	42
Summary	43
APPENDIX A: CONSENSUS AUDITORY PERCEPTUAL EVALUATION OF VOICE (CAPE-V)	45
APPENDIX B: PEDIATRIC VOICE HANDICAP INDEX (pVHI)	47
APPENDIX C: PEDIATRIC VOICE HANDICAP INDEX (REVISED).....	49
APPENDIX D: OPERA SLINGER.....	51
APPENDIX E: MODIFICATIONS TO OPERA SLINGER	53

APPENDIX F: IRB PERMISSION LETTER.....	86
REFERENCES	88

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Level one modification	16
Table 2: Level two modification.....	17
Table 3: Amount of compliance achieved each week with Traditional and Non-Traditional Therapies	29
Table 4: Weekly timetable of events and averages of therapy practice and attendance at therapy session	30
Table 5: Compliance schedule for week 1 and 2 of Traditional therapy	31
Table 6: Compliance schedule for week 3 and 4 of Traditional and Non-Traditional therapy	31
Table 7: The results of the participant's pre and post perceptual evaluation using the CAPE-V ..	32
Table 8: Pediatric Voice Handicap Index pre-baseline measurement	33
Table 9: Pediatric Voice Handicap Index post-baseline measurement	33
Table 10: Participant's pre-baseline sustained pitch.....	34
Table 11: Participant's post-baseline sustained pitch	34
Table 12: Acoustic measures pre- and post- treatment for connected speech.....	35

LIST OF ACRONYMS

CAPE-V	Consensus Auditory-Perceptual Evaluation of Voice
IDEA	Individuals with Disabilities Education Act
LMRVT	Lessac-Madsen Resonant Voice Therapy
MDVP	Multi-Dimensional Voice Program Advanced
NHR	Noise to Harmonic ratio
PCM	Pulse-code modulation
pVHI	Pediatric Voice Handicap Index
SLP	Speech Language Pathologist
VAS	Visual analogue scale
VHI	Voice Handicap Index

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

Strategies for treating children with hyperfunctional voice disorders historically have been derived directly from therapeutic strategies implemented for adults with voice disorders. These methods, and the theories they are based on, inherit many assumptions that are valid for adults. However, these assumptions are typically not valid when treating children with hyperfunctional voice disorders. For example, the differences that exist between the child and adult are more than just size alone; there are distinct structural and functional differences of the pediatric larynx that may impact the child's behavioral patterns and potentially hinder academic success. Moreover, a child's motivation, determination and interests are very different from adults. Therefore, it is not sufficient to merely adapt adult therapeutic strategies to children. As a result, speech language pathologists (SLPs) who are providing treatment to children with deviant vocal behaviors, need to devise strategies that consider their physiological and behavioral differences as well as motivation for vocal improvement.

Treatment of hyperfunctional voice disorders in the pediatric population is not a new notion but is gaining popularity in clinical and research environments. In the past, clinical and anecdotal literature has suggested, "a child will outgrow vocal nodules;" requiring little to no treatment. However, more recently researchers have stated that children rarely outgrow a laryngeal disorder due to the commonly reoccurring maladaptive behaviors that caused the phonotrauma (Powell, 1989; Wohl, 2005).

Since voice treatment can be very challenging and time consuming with a pediatric patient, the success of therapy relies heavily on a patient's motivation and momentum to progress through the phases of therapy (Mori, 1999). There has been a trend in past scientific literature indicating that therapy for children with voice disorders typically fails because the

children and parents are not compliant with therapeutic recommendations. In the worst case scenario the child never receives therapy, in other cases parents and children may not follow through with therapeutic recommendations. Therapy is often similar to homework and is negatively associated with numerous clinical visits. Other related problems might occur due to limited linguistic development, communication abilities and the need for family/parent support (Andrews & Summers, 2002). The outcome of voice therapy should focus on optimizing a child's voice production by implementing therapeutic procedures which overcome behaviors that are impeding the progress of treatment (Behrman & Orlikoff, 1997).

Many of the therapeutic activities currently available for pediatric patients with voice disorders have been generated to overcome motivational problems associated in a therapy session with a SLP, such as: board games, coloring activities, scenarios, role playing, computer games, etc. However, these activities cannot compete with the current entertainment technology on the market and fail to maintain the attention of the child at home. Therefore, development of interactive, competitive and realistic software applications for home based therapeutic purposes may provide the necessary stimulus needed to motivate pediatric patients. The purpose of this pilot study is to test the feasibility of implementing a model of voice therapy presented in a gaming environment as a means to improve voice quality and factors related to therapy compliance in school age children with voice disorders.

Therapeutic Application of Serious Gaming

The success of voice therapy relies heavily on the techniques taught in the therapeutic session to generalize to the patient's natural setting through home based practice (Deal, McClain, Sudderth, 1976; Glaze, 1996). This can be a very challenging assignment for pediatric patients and their family members, due to the time and persistence it entails. During the therapeutic

session, the SLP provides consistent stimulation to the pediatric patient to keep him on task and motivated, though at home parents have the responsibility of reinforcing therapeutic practice and implementation of the appropriate behaviors (Glaze, 1996). The beginning stages of physiologic based voice therapy can be more demanding for the patient and the parent due to the establishment of compliance with specific tasks and the development of self monitoring of their vocal behaviors. Consequently, the use of serious gaming technology for home therapeutic applications can provide increased stimulation through animated graphics and competitive interactions, ultimately improving treatment and success in generalization.

The notion of using games, game scenarios, and game technology for efforts other than pure entertainment is well known. Previous research in speech therapy has shown the clinical effectiveness of using software applications for visual feedback with speech training (Ryalls, Michallet, & La Dorze, 1994). One such article used the SpeechViewer software program in therapy to facilitate desired behavior by providing visual and auditory biofeedback to the participant (Le Dorze, Dionne, Ryalls, & Julien, 1992). More recently, there have been a number of application areas for “serious gaming” including education, business and military training. Within the sphere of serious gaming, there is also a large body of work related to the use of games for rehabilitation and health and wellness. The use of video games as a therapeutic tool for pediatric patients has been a practice in several medical fields either to distract the patient from the repetitive tasks or to help engage them in more activity. One such study showed that video games can be attention or cognitive distracters to control nausea in pediatric cancer patients recovering from chemotherapy (Redd, Jacobsen, Die-Trill, Dermatis, McEvoy, & Holland, 1987). This study found video games to be a distracting task that helped to refocus the attention away from the nausea sensation due to the required cognitive and motor activity,

sustained involvement, and appeal to children (Redd et al., 1987). Another study, which tested the behavioral outcomes of adolescent and young adults with malignancies, found that video-game intervention improved treatment adherence, self-efficacy and knowledge in those participants who underwent cancer therapy (Kato, Cole, Bradlyn, & Pollock, 2008). Video games can have a positive therapeutic benefit when motivating a patient to perform normal passive and repetitive movements (Griffiths, 2003).

While video games are currently used in voice and speech therapy programs, these games suffer from several limitations. Many of the therapy games currently on the market have bland graphical presentations and storylines, especially when compared to the current standards in the entertainment gaming industry. According to one study that surveyed 382 participants (aged 14 to 50 years) found that the success of video games can be a factor of multiple structural characteristics, such as: high degrees of graphical realism, realistic sound effects and speaking characters, rapid advancement through games, and winning and losing features (Wood, Griffiths, Chapell, & Davies, 2004). Furthermore, the current therapy games require specialized sound equipment for voice input and data collection that the practitioner must specially configure, and direct, real-time, quantitative performance measurement is not available. Finally, the practitioner must be physically located with the patient to determine whether the exercise was performed properly. Therefore, modifying a purely entertainment video game for use as a therapy protocol will help to overcome these limitations and enable full engagement of the participant to the therapy task.

Incidence and Prevalence of Voice Disorders in Children

According to Kahane and Mayo, 45 to 80% of childhood dysphonias are the result of phonotrauma (Kahane & Mayo, 1989). Hoarseness is a common symptom for many of the

different vocal pathologies (Silverman & Zimmer, 1974). The incidence of hoarseness in school-aged children is significant and has the potential to affect a child's oral communication, class participation, academic and extracurricular achievement (Andrews, 2002; Ruddy & Sapienza, 2004). The incidence of chronic hoarseness is significantly higher in school age children (kindergarten to seventh grade) compared to adults (Silverman & Zimmer, 1974). The majority of hoarseness in the school age children occurs around third grade (46%) and the incidence slowly declines (Silverman & Zimmer, 1974). However, due to increased extracurricular activities and associated voice use, the incidence of voice disorders in middle school children may be higher than previously expected at 8.3% (Kahane & Mayo, 1989). Research has shown that voice disorders may persist or reoccur in children over a five-year period of time (Powell, Filter, & Williams, 1989).

Prevalence studies of pediatric voice disorders are necessary in order to develop sound theoretical models of the cause and correlates of speech disorders (Nair, Clegg, & Patel, 1986). A number of factors have contributed to the differences found in the results of these studies such as age of participants, qualifications of evaluators, and methods used. One study evaluated 745 children in the public school that ranged from 7 to 16 years in age; the authors found the overall prevalence of vocal nodules to be 16.9%, as well as 30.2% if pre nodular lesions were found (Kilic, Okur, Yildirim, & Guzelsoy, 2004). Findings also indicated that boys have a higher likelihood of developing vocal nodules than girls. Another study of 2,445 African-Americans and European-American preschool children ranging from 2 to 6 years of age were evaluated by classroom teachers, visiting speech language pathologists (SLP) and parent surveys. Results suggested that 3.9% of preschoolers observed presented with a voice disorder characterized by hoarseness (Duff, Proctor, & Yairi, 2004). These findings suggest discrepancies in exactly how

many children are affected by voice disorders; however, it clearly defines that there is a problem and that early identification, prevention and treatment are necessary.

Behavioral and Psychological Effects of Pediatric Voice Disorders

Research varies considerably in prevalence of pediatric voice disorders, however it is apparent that phonotrauma in children can lead to emotional or behavioral disorders (Green, 1989). Contrarily, a child with abnormal emotional or behavior disorders could be indirectly having phonotraumatic events, due to yelling, screaming, whining, crying, coughing, etc. The latter, occurs due to the unpredictable changes to the child's voice when upset or struggling to maintain intelligibility. The perception of abnormal voice production by the pediatric patients can also have negative psychological and/or behavioral effects. This may begin with the poor habits that cause excessive effort and tension during vocalization, and then leads to misdirected and inefficient communication. Children may have a tendency to develop aggressive, distractive, disturbed peer relations, and immature behavioral characteristics later on (Green, 1989). Findings have also found that peers negatively stereotype personality and physical appearance, which can impede in the disordered child's ability to mainstream into society (Lass, Rusello, Stout, & Hoffman, 1991). Furthermore, these vocal behaviors may result in a negative listener reaction and/or perception of thoughts can be distorted.

Children who develop vocal fold nodules have historically been considered to be talkative and loud speakers, who tend to yell and scream (Toohill, 1975). However, some researchers have found there to be no typical behavioral features (Roy, Holt, Redmond, & Muntz, 2005). Studies have found that children with vocal nodules have a different social component, which is associated with their voice use, meaning that they have more friends, spend increased amount of time with friends, and are involved in more extracurricular organizations

(Roy, 2005). No matter what the etiology is, these factors could lead to increases in the severity of a vocal pathology requiring unique therapy techniques in order to facilitate the therapeutic needs of the patient.

Effects on Academic Performance

Many children are treated in the school system by speech language pathologist for voice disorders under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). This act is a federal law that ensures that all children receive services if a specific learning disability causes a negative effect to a student's academic performance. Disordered voices have the potential to negatively affect oral communication and cause changes in the pitch, loudness and vocal quality of the child, which may limit intelligibility and ability to effectively communicate. Sixty-six percent of 282 regular classroom teachers, special educators, administrators and instructors of physical education, art, music, and library science who responded to questionnaires regarding their perspective of a child currently enrolled or who had been enrolled in speech therapy, stated that a communication disorder has adverse effects on a child's educational performance (Bennett & Runyan, 1982). Forty percent of teachers also indicated that they believed both academic and social skills were affected due to the abnormal differences from their peers. Even though, there is no definitive evidence of any research that has studied the correlation between poor academic performance and vocal pathologies, it is assumed that problems with vocal performance will cause problems in the classroom (Bennett & Runyan, 1982). A child's educational performance descends due to a voice disorder and under federal legislation, this would make them eligible for services in the schools under the guidelines of IDEA (Ruddy & Sapienza, 2004). IDEA was established to provide early intervention, special education, and related services to children with disabilities (Congress, 1997). According to the Senate and House of Representatives, a disability

should in no way diminish the right of an individual to participate in or contribute to society (Congress, 1997). Thus, if oral communication is used during academic classroom activities and peer situations a child with phonotrauma might feel embarrassed or fear sounding unintelligible and will not contribute to the intended lesson. These behaviors could potentially limit participation in regular classroom discussions, due to reluctance to participate because of negative attention from surrounding environments (Ruddy & Sapienza, 2004).

The patterns exhibited by children with social behaviors, are similar to the way children with phonotraumatic voices are neglected or ignored by their peers (Andrews & Summers, 2002). Educational deficits can be caused by strained voices, compensation, restricted vocal options, and inadequate understanding of pragmatics (Andrews & Summers, 2002). Teachers also believe that speech therapy can improve academic performance and social interactions with both peers and adults (Bennett & Runyan, 1982). These findings indicate that there are noticeable differences between a vocal disordered child's pragmatic abilities.

Anatomy of the Pediatric Larynx

The anatomical configuration of the pediatric larynx is different from those of the adult in several ways. The most prominent is the size and shape of the different anatomical figures. At birth the size of the larynx is only 1/3 the size of the adult larynx at 2.5 to 3.0 mm in length and will not grow into the full adult size until puberty (Fried, 1996; Sapienza, Ruddy, & Baker, 2007). Also, the location of the pediatric larynx is considerably higher than the adult larynx and the cricoid cartilage is thicker and more V shaped (Fried, 1996; Myer, Cotton, & Shott, 1995). The larger cricoid cartilage helps to hold the enlarged arytenoids in the pediatric larynges that are in close proximity due to their size (Myer, et al., 1995). The pediatric epiglottis reaches its mature shape at three years of age (Pohunek, 2004). Furthermore, the physical development of

the smaller structure of the larynx is noticeable in the pitch of the child's voice. These changes become increasingly more evident, when the male and female voices begin to change during puberty. Prior to ten years of age both sexes have similar lengths of vocal folds, around 6 to 8 mm (Bluestone et al., 2003). The vocal folds are also one-half cartilaginous and slope inferiorly from posterior to anterior (Fried, 1996). Between ten and twenty years old, the female vocal folds increase by 4.2 mm and males increase by 10.9mm (Bluestone et al., 2003). The cartilaginous portion of the vocal folds also increases with age, and is necessary in order to protect the folds from edema during phonation (Bluestone et al., 2003). The ratio of membranous and cartilaginous portions in the vocal folds is 1.5 mm in newborns compared to 4.0mm in adult woman and 5.5mm in adult males (Bluestone et al., 2003). The five distinct layered structures of the vocal folds do not begin to formulate until after four years old (Bluestone, et al., 2003). This increases the thickness of the lamina propria and it can act as a protective agent in order to shield from the over active vocal folds found in children (Bluestone, et al., 2003). These structural changes in vocal folds are most distinguishable in the pitch change of the pediatric voice. At birth the infants cry is about 500 Hz and decreases by one-half around the age of 8 to 10 years old (Bluestone et al., 2003).

Another difference between the pediatric and adult patient is the smaller oral cavity, with a normal size tongue and a retruded mandible (Fried, 1996). This proportional difference can help to push the epiglottis caudally from the nasopharynx and palate (Fried, 1996). The configuration of the pediatric larynx is curved from the oral cavity to the hypopharynx and on to the larynx into the trachea (Fried, 1996). Certain distinctions which differentiate the pediatric larynx can generate more effort in order to sustain respiration, such as: the location of the larynx during birth facilitates spontaneous breathing and the connective tissue that creates the pediatric

airway is much more pliable than the adult form (Fried, 1996). This can have effects on respiration, due to the greater influence on the normal pressure generated during respiration. Research has found that differences in speech breathing in pre-pubescence could also be related to the smaller composition of the pediatric body and effect the lung, rib cage and abdominal volume (Hoit, Hixon, Watson, & Morgan, 1990). Specifically, seven year old children tend to initiate and terminate larger volumes of air to take advantage of the higher expiratory recoil pressure. Therefore, management considerations should be made accordingly in order to account for a child's natural maturational changes in speech breathing. For instance, a younger child could produce fewer syllables per breath group, as well as expend larger quantities of air per breath group.

Definition of Pediatric Voice Disorders

A voice disorder can include deviant vocal behaviors related to the pitch, loudness and/or overall quality of a child's voice. Some of the common voice disorders seen in school age children may occur from functional, organic or neurologic processes. Functional voice disorders, such as edema, nodules and polyps are due to increased phonatory effort. Organic voice disorders, such as papilloma, laryngeal pharyngeal reflux, granuloma or contact ulcers may require therapy to eliminate phonotraumatic behavior, which developed as a compensatory technique due to the increase in mass of the vocal folds. Neurologic voice disorders which have secondary voice components include: cerebral palsy and muscular dystrophy which effect motor speech control. Other structural disorders can include stenosis, congenital or acquired webs, cysts, infection, or postsurgical upper airway anomalies.

The majority of voice disorders occurring in children are due to hyperfunctional voice behaviors (Ramig & Verdolini, 1998). When inflammation occurs from phonotrauma it hinders

the closure of the vocal fold, vibratory pattern, and results in changes to pitch loudness and quality. The excess in laryngeal muscle tension, force and constriction causes a mechanical breakdown during speech. Hyperfunctional voice disorders adduct the false vocal folds, compress the arytenoid cartilages into the epiglottis, and can have rapid or complete adduction of the vocal folds. Common complaints can include vocal fatigue, throat discomfort, and impaired voice quality (Freeman & Fawcus, 2000). Pathological changes can also occur due to the excess muscle tension and exertion, such as: edema, inflammation, nodules, polyps or hemorrhages.

Common Vocal Pathologies that occur with Pediatric

One of the most common vocal pathologies in children are nodules, it is estimated that approximately 1% of children may develop vocal nodules at some point in their life. Therefore, many children will be impacted by vocal disorders which can possibly alter their quality of life. Nodules are benign vocal fold lesions that occur due to inflammation of the superficial layer of the lamina propria (Stemple, Glaze, & Klaben, 2000). Nodules are located on the vocal folds at the point of greatest amplitude of vibration, which is on the medial edge between the anterior one third and posterior two thirds of the true vocal folds (Stemple et al., 2000). Their appearance is gelatinous and floppy, with the squamous epithelium looking normal (Stemple et al., 2000). Nodules usually occur bilaterally and their size will vary (Stemple et al., 2000). The lesion that forms will increase the mass and stiffness of the vocal fold, possibly causing changes in vibratory patterns (Stemple et al., 2000). These changes will result in dysphonia which will cause symptoms of a raspy, breathy voice, and result in increased muscle tension. Vocal nodules are predominantly seen in boys and frequently occurs in children between the ages of 5 and 10 (Toohill, 1975) The gold standard for treatment of vocal nodules is vocal rehabilitation therapy, in which therapy focuses on the specific behaviors that are the cause of the problem and proper

management and voice use is taught. Surgery is rarely considered due to the invasiveness of the procedure and the notion that this pathology is formed by phonotraumatic behaviors which need to be eliminated and/or modified (Wohl, 2005).

Another vocal pathology that occurs from phonotrauma but less often than nodules is the development of vocal polyps. These lesions are fluid-filled and develop in the middle one-third of the superficial layer of the lamina propria (Stemple et al., 2000). Polyps can have a sudden onset and can increase rapidly in size, due to their active blood supply. Polyps usually occur unilaterally and can present with two different shapes: sessile which is blisterlike or pedunculated which looks similar to a stalk. Symptoms vary due to where the lesion is located and how much glottal closure is affected. The mass of the vocal folds will increase and depending on other problems, such as edema or a hemorrhagic blood vessel, the stiffness could increase as well. A secondary lesion can occur on the other vocal fold due to continued phonotrauma and reaction to the polyp on the other fold. Polyps are usually treated by surgery and voice rehabilitation therapy to eliminate the poor vocal behaviors.

Common Traditional Therapy Techniques

Clinicians use a variety of therapy approaches to treat hyperfunctional voice disorders in children. Currently, exercises that are being used in voice therapy protocols for children rely on passive and repetitive movements. The most common therapy techniques performed on pediatric patients pertain to voice conservation, direct vocal exercises, and principles of behavior modification (Glaze, 1996).

In conjunction with treatment, the patient and family members are educated regarding anatomy and physiology of the larynx and proper hygiene. Images are shown to the patient and family, which compare a healthy larynx with the current condition of the patients' larynx.

Discussions also elaborate on how respiration, phonation and resonance can affect how the patients' voice is produced.

In addition, voice conservation is also typically done in conjunction with another therapy approach due to the high correlation of vocal misuse with hyperfunctional voice disorders. Specific phonotramatic events may include: improper hydration, abusive non-speech and speech habits, poor breath support, excessive stress or tension, inappropriate or unresolved coping mechanisms for negative emotions, and limited vocal fold recovery time (Glaze, 1996). Each of these situations will be discussed with the patient and the parent in order to facilitate higher productivity with voice therapy. Strategies also will be implemented to limit the amount of phonotramatic occurrences.

Resonance therapy is widely accepted throughout the voice therapy literature for treating vocal hyperfunction in the adult population (Verdolini-Marston, Burke, Lessac, Glaze, & Caldwell, 1995; Chen, Huang, & Chang, 2003; Roy, Weinrich, Gray, Tanner, Stemple & Sapienza, 2003) and gaining popularity as a treatment modality in the pediatric population (Glaze 1996; Verdolini, Hersan, & Kessler; Stemple, 2000). Researchers have found that this technique transfers energy from the glottis to the lips, enabling the tissue on the facial regions to take the brunt of the vibration (Titze, 2004). There are numerous iterations of resonant voice therapy (i.e. Vocal Focus, Frontal Focus, and most current, Lessac Madson Resonant Voice Therapy). The techniques and exercises consist of a series of systematic voice manipulations typically beginning on more resonant sounds (i.e. /m/ and /n/) designed to improve respiration, phonation and resonance. Resonant voice will be implemented while proceeding through a hierarchy of stages starting with a sustained phonation (i.e. humming) and progressing through spontaneous speech. The patient will progress through these stages by implementing the

techniques taught in voice therapy sessions to their home based practice and eventually into daily activity.

Due to the age and attention span of the pediatric patient, many SLP's will use interactive games and creative tasks to help engage the child during therapy. These activities can be beneficial and allow more productivity during a session. Supplemental materials are available to SLP's and parents that target specific symptoms, however they are meant to enhance the therapy protocol and not take the place of shaping the vocal production.

The current research study implemented an eclectic therapy approach, which involves the use of multiple behavioral therapy modalities such as, hygienic, symptomatic and physiologic voice strategies specific to the participant in order to reduce vocal hyperfunction and compensatory vocal behavior (Stemple, 2000).

CHAPTER TWO: METHODOLOGY

Purpose

The purpose of the present study is to test the feasibility of implementing a video-game based intervention protocol as a means to improve therapy compliance in school age children with hyperfunctional voice disorders. Prior to disbursement of videogame software application, feasibility tests were conducted to analyze the programs performance and compatibility. The current study illustrates three levels of modification that were conducted to an existing entertainment software application for use as a therapeutic protocol.

The computer game, Opera Slinger was developed as a student project at the Florida Interactive Entertainment Academy and a binary source code was loaned to the investigators of the current study for use in a novel therapy protocol. Opera Slinger is an interactive game, which requires the participant to follow and compete against the lead character, Aria, through a set of vocal tasks (Appendix D). A participant plays the character Forte, an opera slinger who finds out that Aria (the lead character) has destroyed their opera house and wants to steal all the fans. In an effort to regain control, Forte competes with Aria in five spotlight competitions. The participant must maneuver their way around the opera house to find each of the five spot lights and then compete Aria in vocal resonator tasks (Appendix D). Points are cumulated for each spotlight and assessed for matching of pitch, duration and loudness. The most points at the end of the fifth spotlight will win the game.

Feasibility Tests

The first two levels of the feasibility study were conducted with two computer programmers (stability testing) and five normal adults (alpha testing) to analyze the programs

performance and function. Modifications were made after each feasibility level and are documented in the diff log located in Appendix E. The following tables 1 and 2 describe the results from the first two levels of feasibility testing:

Table 1: Level one modification

Level 1: Stability Testing
Performance testing was conducted by two experienced computer programs for analysis of software application and functionality with current operating system.
<p><u>Stability testing:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gamepad and keyboard functionality was impaired due to incompatibility with modified speed controls. • Faults were found in the time stamp directory when program was played in greater quantities. The storage file was moved from Operaslinger file to gamedata. • Spotlight scripts were noticeable impaired in spotlight three and four due to inversed quantities. <p><u>Performance testing:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Internal audio devices were improperly obtaining the sound with external device, causing inadequate functioning with voice analysis. Headphones with input audio jacks were exchanged to USB 2.0.

Table 2: Level two modification

Level 2: Alpha Testing
Five adults (3 males and 2 females, between the ages of 23-27) with no vocal difficulty or diagnosis of a vocal pathology separately tested the software application and usability with computer, gamepad and headphones. Testing was performed with observation by an investigator.
<p><u>Functional Changes:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Competitive behaviors increased the probability of poor vocal behaviors to occur when playing a video game. • All sustained phrases were revised and placed to a beat of a song. • Pauses between repetitions of exercises were lengthened and individual trials lengths were decreased. • The speed for the scrolling script for each spotlight exercise was reduced. • Point system needed to be expanded for each level to prevent hyperfunction. <p><u>Structural Features:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some participants struggled to get to each spotlight prior to the lead character. Therefore, parts of the exercise were missed. • A cheat button was added to help those participants who needed more time to get to the next stage <p><u>Participant Suggestions:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Written directions with game • The stages should progress in difficulty to prevent frustration.

Modification to Opera Slinger for Therapeutic Needs

A resonant voice therapy protocol was devised using syllable repetitions, chanting of songs and phrases. Five voices (1 adult male, 1 female child, and 3 adult females) were analyzed for use as the guide during the spotlight exercises; an adult female was selected due to greater intelligibility and adequate resonant what do you mean by this quality within the game. Voice recordings were made of five exercises using the Computerized Speech Lab, Model 4500 from KayPentax in waveform at a sampling rate of 44.1 kHz and encoded with a bit resolution of 24. A unidirectional dynamic microphone was held five inches from the lips and 4 attempts at each

exercise were recorded. Each recording was visually analyzed for any pitch breaks or clipping, as well as appropriate length of time. One sound sample for each exercise was chosen. Audacity 1.2.6 which is a digital audio editor was used to trim, amplify, divide, and insert silence sections, as well as export sound files to the Ogg Vorbis format for use in the game. Each exercise was modified to fit into a specific timeframe for each spotlight. The syllable repetitions were multiplied three times and pause breaks were inserted. In addition, the sound samples were amplified by 12dB prior to conversion. The sound clips of each exercise were then attached to the current sound clips of the introduction and ending for each spotlight and inserted into the music file of the game.

Modifications were made to the point system which derives their values from the loudness, duration and pitch quantities in the spotlight notes. The point system has two separate levels accounting for male or female and three difficulty levels called novice, adept and virtuoso. The point breakdown for males and virtuoso were replaced with the quantitative values for female and adept, due to the similarities in fundamental frequencies values seen in research with male and female school age children (Bennett & Weinberg, 1979). The points allocated for high and low pitch were expanded to make it easier on the participant with a vocal pathology. Amplitude was lowered by two points to prevent the use of negative behaviors. The point system which derives the minimal or maximum values necessary for the pop-up boxes to be exposed was reduced in order to warn participants earlier about poor vocal behaviors. The rating scale was also modified to expand the data selection, however there were no noticeable signs in the game data that the change had occurred. The text data for each spotlight was modified using ++ Notepad v5.3.1 which is a program used for editing of source code. Each spotlight has three separate files for lyrics, notes, and information. The notes section lists the numerical values of

each spotlight and is divide into high and low pitch, duration, and amplitude. These numerical values were modified to the acoustic markings of each spotlight therapy exercise. Exercises were quantitatively analyzed using the numerical values from the analysis of pitch and energy contours from Multi-Dimensional Voice Program Advanced (MDVP), Model 5105 (Kay Elemetrics, 1993). The values of duration were derived using the numerical values from the time domain analysis program on MDVP. All numerical values for amplitude were separated into levels from -5 to 5 with -5 being the lowest. Each spotlight file also has separate lyrics which scroll across the screen during the spotlight competition. This data was modified with the lyrics of the exercises and arranged according to the numerical values of duration of each pitch and length of spotlight session. Text data for each spotlight exercise can be seen in Appendix E.

Dialogue boxes and pop-up boxes were modified in accordance with the devised therapeutic procedure using GIMP 2.6 an image manipulation program. The dialogue boxes which give a written description of the spotlight activity were customized to each individual exercise. The pop-up boxes which appear during the spotlight exercise when a participant's voice is not appropriate were tailored to advise a greater resonant quality.

A standard gamepad script was generated by mapping the input data from the gamepad to the characters movement functions in the torque engine. A gamepad file existed in the source code, however all movements were linked to the computer mouse and keyboard. The script was written in C# and edited in Vi, an open source text editor. See Appendix E for exact modifications.

The timestamp directory was developed by modifying an existing logging function in the software program. The original program logged files of sound input each time the game was played and then immediately erased the file after the program restarted. To prevent the program

from erasing the files, a variable was created for the timestamp and output file in the gameManagers folder. Variables were also modified to save the time, date and song recorded, in order to properly manage compliance with the video game. The output is now saved as separate files that are not overwritten each time the player enters the game.

Video Game Application with Clinical Population

Hypotheses

This study aims to test the central hypothesis that therapy compliance can improve if the mode of voice therapy delivered is ‘motivating’ to the child. Specifically, the following hypotheses will be tested:

1. Ho: There will not be an increase in compliance for the participant during the time he receives the video game compared to the time he does not receive the video game by meeting or exceeding the home based voice therapy recommendations prescribed by the clinician\investigator.

Ha: There will be an increase in compliance for the participant during the time he receives the video game compared to the time he does not receive the video game by meeting or exceeding the home based voice therapy recommendations prescribed by the clinician\investigator.

2. Ho: Therapy will not result in improvements in voice quality as observed in CAPE-V ratings from pre and post treatment.

Ha: Therapy will result in improvements in voice quality as observed from CAPE-V ratings pre and post treatment.

3. Ho: Therapy will not result in improvements of patient’s perception of their voice handicap as observed by ratings made on the pediatric Voice Handicap Index from

pre and post treatment.

Ha: Therapy will result in improvements in patient's perception of vocal handicap as observed by ratings made on the pediatric Voice Handicap Index from pre and post treatment.

4. Ho: Therapy will not result in improvements in voice quality as measured from acoustic data from pre and post treatment.

Ha: Therapy will result in improvements in voice quality as measured from acoustic data from pre and post treatment.

Study Design

The case study represents a two-phase quasi-experimental design with the participant receiving the video game therapy protocol and traditional therapy for equal time. The participant received pre- post- measures in order to analyze the changes in compliance and vocal quality when using the video game. The baseline measures included perceptual and acoustic assessments of voice quality and laryngeal function. The independent variables for this study include the mode of voice therapy delivery (traditional vs. video game). The dependent variable includes therapy compliance, vocal quality, and acoustic measures.

General Methods

Participant

The participant is a 9 year old male who was recruited from the Seminole County Public Schools Annual Voice Evaluation Program and The Ear, Nose & Throat Surgical Associates. An in depth diagnostic history and laryngeal imaging with videolaryngostroboscopy of the participant was conducted by an otolaryngologist and speech pathologist to observe the structure and function of the vocal folds. An otolaryngologist diagnosed the participant as having a

hyperfunctional voice resulting from bilateral vocal fold nodules. The participant was recommended for voice therapy as their primary treatment. Prior to admittance in this study the participant and parent signed an informed consent.

Experimental Measures

Compliance

During the traditional and non-traditional therapy practices the participant was asked to keep account of all exercises practiced with a weekly scheduler. The participant and/or parent were instructed to keep track of the date, time and amount of exercises preformed each day. Forms were turned in each week to the investigators for documentation. During the non-traditional therapy protocol the video game also collected compliance data, however neither the participant or parent was informed. A collection of acoustic samples were taken during each spotlight exercise accessed by the participant while playing the game. Each time the participant maneuvered his character into the spotlight and performed an exercise a new acoustic data file was created with a UNIX time stamp in the directory. The loudness, pitch and duration were measured and stored in each file, however due to the poor sampling rate and encoding the acoustic data could not be used for further analysis. Consequently, the time stamp provided by each file was used to measure the participant's compliance levels with each exercise, as well as the participant's own account. The time stamp was converted to a standard date and time when opened through the Windows XP user interface command prompt. The text file was then exported into EXCEL for further analysis. Compliance was measured through completion of the game and the amount of usage in one day and during a one-week interval.

Baseline Measures

Two baseline measures were obtained from the participant prior to the start of therapy and after the conclusion of therapy. The baseline measures included perceptual and acoustic assessments of voice quality and laryngeal function. An audio sample was collected and recorded, during which the participant was asked to perform vocal tasks which included: sustaining the vowel /a/, pitch glides, and reading of the rainbow passage (Fairbanks, 1940). An Edirol R-09 linear Pulse-code modulation (PCM) recorder was used to collect the speech sample from the participant. Mouth to microphone distance was held constant for both measures at 15 inches from the internal stereo condenser microphone to the lips. The recorder was placed directly in front of the participant at a 45 degree angle on a table. The audio recording was captured in waveform format with a sample rate of 48.1 kHz and encoded with a bit resolution of 24. The sample was then trimmed with Audacity a digital audio editor. The sustained /a/ and connected speech sample of the third sentence in the rainbow passage was analyzed using the Multi-Dimensional Voice Program Advanced, Model 5105 (Kay Elemetrics, 1993).

Perceptual

The Consensus Auditory-Perceptual Evaluation of Voice (CAPE-V, Appendix A) was used to evaluate the quality of the participant's voice. The CAPE-V is an assessment tool, which measures the severity of auditory-perceptual characteristics of the voice through a rating scale, which may be applicable to a broad range of vocal pathologies (Kempster, Gerrat, Verdolini Abbott, Barkmeier-Kraemer, & Hillman, 2008). A voice sample for the CAPE-V was gathered by having the participant sustain vowels /a/ and /i/, read 6 standard sentences that elicit various laryngeal behaviors and clinical signs, and answer interview questions, in order to obtain a 20 second natural conversational speech sample.

Two experienced SLP's with 10 plus years in evaluating and treating voice disorders analyzed the audio recording of the participant's voice sample using the CAPE-V instrumental tool, measuring the following characteristics: overall severity, roughness, breathiness, strain, pitch, & loudness. The clinician measured each attribute using a 100 millimeter line which forms a visual analogue scale (VAS), by marking the degree of perceived deviances with a dash. References indicating severity levels of deviances are listed under each line with the statements of mild, moderate and severe. The clinicians indicated if the particular voice attribute was represented consistently, by being present throughout the task or intermittently, by occurring inconsistently. The results of the CAPE-V from two evaluators were averaged.

The Pediatric Voice Handicap Index (pVHI, Appendix B) was given to the participant's mother in order to assess his perception of voice using emotional, functional and physical subscales (Zur, Cotton, Kelchner, Baker, Weinrich, & Lee, 2007). This index is a modification of the commonly used Voice Handicap Index (VHI) for the adults. The pVHI is a 23-item assessment and has no normative values relating to the scores (Zur, Cotton, Kelchner, Baker, Weinrich, & Lee, 2007). Two of the questions specifically asked the parent to rate the child's voice using a 100 millimeter line which forms a visual analogue scale (VAS), by marking the degree of perceived deviances with a dash. The remaining 21 questions asked the parent to rate their child's impacts from their voice disorder on their daily activities with a nominal scale of 0 being never to 5 being always.

A revised pediatric Voice Handicap Index (pVHI, Appendix C) was given to the participant to evaluate their own perception of voice severity and rate its impact on daily activities. This revision of the pVHI is a by-product of the original pVHI and modifications included reformatting written questions into first person and age appropriate rhetoric. This

assessed the participant's opinion of their function, emotional and physical voice handicaps.

Further analysis can be drawn from the results about the participant's personal perceptual rating abilities.

Acoustic

The acoustic measures that were assessed include mean fundamental frequency, intensity, fundamental frequency tremor, jitter percent, shimmer percent, and noise to harmonic ratio (NHR) (Niedzielska, 2001). Fundamental frequency measured the rate of vibration of the vocal folds and was measured in Hertz (Hz) and reflected the cycles of vocal fold oscillation. Vocal intensity measured the amount of power per unit area, which reflected loudness levels and was measured in decibels (dB). Fundamental frequency tremor measures the rate of periodic change of frequency and is measured in Hertz (Hz). The jitter percent provided the percent of cycle to cycle irregularity of the pitch. The shimmer provided the percent of cycle to cycle irregularity of the peak to peak amplitude of the voice. The noise to harmonic ratio measured the degree of aperiodic noise versus harmonic energy by comparing signal strength with energy of noise. The participant was asked to produce 3 sustained vowels in a comfortable pitch and loudness, and read the entire Rainbow passage. The second recorded sustained sound and the third sentence in the rainbow passage was used for analysis. The voice samples were acoustically analyzed using the Kaypentax software, Multi-Dimensional Voice Program Advanced (Kay Elemetrics, 1993).

Procedure

An independent SLP provided weekly one hour treatment sessions to the participant for four weeks. The participant was directed through an eclectic voice therapy approach of Resonance Voice and vocal hygiene therapy. The initial session included educating the client about the anatomy and physiology of voice production, as well as training techniques that will

help to facilitate better vocal performance. The participant was taught increased resonate behaviors similar to Lessac-Madsen Resonant Voice Therapy (LMRVT). The initial stage of these exercises involved humming the consonant /m/, chanting nonlinguistic phrases and linguistic phrases, and over inflection of phrases in speech (Verdolini-Abbott, 2008). The stages of the exercises progressed in complexity in accordance with the participant to voice-voiceless contrasts, any phrase, controlled conversations, and environmental manipulations. This approach enhanced the participant's sensory awareness of their voice and provided healthier behavioral practices. Similar procedures were prescribed for home based therapy practice two times per day for five days a week. The modal was presented in traditional therapy and non-traditional therapy or video game based format. The instructions consisted of one trail of each exercise or one complete cycle of the video game, two times a day for 5 days a week. Weekly evaluations were assessed by the participant's SLP to determine advancement into the later stages of the therapy protocol.

The participant was assigned the game during the second phase of therapy and was given verbal and written directions on the use of the gaming device and take home protocol. The participant was provided an Apple Macbook pro laptop computer, Logitech Dual Action gamepad, and Logitech USB headphone set with attached microphone. The video game, Opera Slinger was uploaded and accessible on the user desktop for a shortcut access to the game. No other computer applications were accessible. Opera Slinger 1.0 was originally designed to combine karaoke within the elements of a classic action platform, by detecting a participant's pitch. It is an interactive game, which requires the participant to follow and compete the lead character, Aria, through a set of vocal tasks. The framework of the game was designed with realistic speaking characters and graphics, within a fast moving, competitive environment. The

software program has been modified from the original and was released to the participant as a prototype. A scripted dialogue of Resonant Voice Therapy was adapted in the game with specific revisions made to spotlight songs and pop-up boxes, as well as dialogue boxes. Further modifications were made to the accessibility and use of the game for therapeutic needs. The application had passed alpha testing stage with normal participants prior to release. Therapeutic exercises were monitored and adapted as the client progressed through their goals. The therapy protocol took place for four weeks, with the participant receiving two weeks of traditional therapy and two weeks of video game based therapy. Due to multiple scheduling conflicts the patient was seen between a seven week time span, however data was only used during the weeks of prescribed therapy.

Post-treatment data collection was obtained at the end of week 4 in order to collect acoustic and perceptual measures, laryngeal imaging with videolaryngostroboscopy was completed, and reestablishment of therapy exercises and medical management.

CHAPTER THREE: RESULTS

Descriptive Statistics

This case study represents a two-phase quasi-experimental design with the participant receiving the video game therapy protocol and traditional therapy for equal amounts of time. The independent variable for this study was the mode of voice therapy delivery (traditional vs. video game). The dependent variables were compliance, Pediatric Voice Handicap Index (pVHI), Consensus Auditory-Perceptual Evaluation of Voice (CAPE-V) and acoustic analysis of sustained pitch and connected speech. Descriptive statistics included mean and standard deviations for all dependent variables.

Compliance

Tables 3, 4, 5 and 6 depict the compliance levels for all four weeks of therapy. Compliance levels for Traditional therapy versus Non-Traditional therapy are substantially different; results show that the participant used the video game 4 times compared to 16 attempts with traditional therapy. During week 3 and 4 the participant combined traditional therapy with the non-traditional therapy, which showed a greater amount of compliance measured by practicing two times per day. Table 4 shows the weekly timetable for the participant and indicates an increase in missed appointments and decrease in average amount of practice days during the two weeks of traditional therapy. The table also indicates that the participant had a 100% average compliance with practicing two exercises for 5 days a week during the first week of Non-traditional therapy and 80% average compliance on the second week. Table 4 verifies that the patient practiced his exercises more on Tuesday and Wednesdays for both traditional and non-traditional protocols, however there were no notable findings that one day of the week was

better than another. Tables 5 and 6 showed that the participant began his therapy practice for weeks 3 and 4 immediately after the therapy session and maintained twice a day practice for 7 straight therapy practice dates, compared to 4 days in weeks 1 and 2.

Table 3: Amount of compliance achieved each week with Traditional and Non-Traditional Therapies

Therapy	wk #1	wk #2	wk #3	wk #4	Compliance
Traditional	8	8	7	7	16
Non-Traditional	n/a	n/a	1	3	4

Table 4: Weekly timetable of events and averages of therapy practice and attendance at therapy session

Schedule	Dates	# Days	Therapy Average with 1 practice	Therapy Avg with 2 prac.	Mon.	Tues.	Wed.	Thurs.	Fri.	S/S
Week # 1	<i>4/16/09 to 4/23/09</i>	8	80%	80%	1	1	1	1	0	1
Missed	<i>4/24/09 to 4/29/09</i>	6								
Week # 2	<i>4/30/09 to 5/7/09</i>	8	100%	60%	1	1	1	0	1	1
Missed	<i>5/8/09 to 5/19/09</i>	11								
Week #3	<i>5/20/09 to 5/27/09</i>	8	100%	100%	0	1	2***	1	0	1
Week #4	<i>5/27/09 to 6/2/09</i>	7	100%	80%	1	1*	1	1	1	0
Total	<i>4/16/09 to 6/2/09</i>	48	95%	80%	3	4	5	3	2	3

*denotes the days the participant used the non-traditional method for therapy

Table 5: Compliance schedule for week 1 and 2 of Traditional therapy

Date	#1	#2	All/day
4/16/2009	1st day of therapy		
4/18/2009	10:00am	4:00pm	1
4/20/2009	7:15am	6:00pm	1
4/21/2009	7:30am	6:45pm	1
4/22/2009	8:00am	6:45pm	1
5/1/2009	n/a	4:30pm	0
5/3/2009	2:00pm	7:00pm	1
5/4/2009	7:30am	5:15pm	1
5/5/2009	8:00am	6:30pm	1
5/6/2009	n/a	4:20pm	0
Total	8	8	7

Table 6: Compliance schedule for week 3 and 4 of Traditional and Non-Traditional therapy

Compliances with Wk 3 & 4			
Date	#1	#2	All/day
5/20/2009	1st day	7:30pm	1
5/21/2009	8:05am	6:00pm	1
5/23/2009	11:00am	8:00pm	1
5/26/2009	7:30am	5:00pm	1
5/27/2009	8:00am	7:30pm	1
5/28/2009	7:30am	6:30pm	1
5/29/2009	7:30am	7:00pm	1
6/1/2009	n/a	5:30pm	0
6/2/2009	8:00am	7:00pm	1
6/3/2009	7:30am	last day	1
Total	8	9	9

*grayed areas show the day's non-traditional therapy was used

Consensus Auditory-Perceptual Evaluation of Voice (CAPE-V)

Table 7 depicts the mean and standard deviation of two Speech Language Pathologists rating the participant's voice quality pre and post baseline. The results show differences amongst raters' scores within each baseline. However, there is a noticeable change between the participants pre and post baseline. Individual factors with the most change between pre and post were overall severity, breathiness and strain. One of the raters also noted in the additional features section intermittent aphonia at the end of phrases during pre-baseline and none noted during post-baseline.

Table 7: The results of the participant's pre and post perceptual evaluation using the CAPE-V

Evaluation	SLP	Pre-Baseline	Mean	SD	Post-Baseline	Mean	SD
Overall Severity	#1	65	80.0	21.2	43	59.0	22.6
	#2	95			75		
Roughness	#1	13	24.0	15.5	7	16.0	12.7
	#2	35			25		
Breathiness	#1	58	78.0	28.2	26	46.5	28.9
	#2	98			67		
Strain	#1	33	65.5	45.9	5	27.5	31.8
	#2	98			50		
Pitch	#1	13	6.5	9.1	0	0	0.00
	#2	0			0		
Loudness	#1	8	4.0	5.6	0	0	0.00
	#2	0			0		
Additional Features: #1:		Pre: intermittent aphonia at the end of phrases			Post: No noted aphonia		

Pediatric Voice Handicap Index

Table 8 and 9 illustrate the mean and standard deviations of the results for the Pediatric Voice Handicap Index and subtests across pre and post baselines. The participant and parent filled out the index in regards to the participant's talkativeness, impact of his voice disorder on

his daily activities, and severity ratings of his voice. The participant and parent were found to have similar responses to the participant's level of talkativeness and overall severity. Mean and Standard deviation were greatest with total subtest scores for both pre and post baseline. There were also differences between individual subtests with the parent scoring reasonable higher than the participant on both pre and post measures.

Table 8: Pediatric Voice Handicap Index pre-baseline measurement

pVHI Pre- Baseline	Parent	Participant	Mean	SD
Level of Talkativeness	5	4	4.5	0.7
Total Subtests	48	11	29.5	26.1
Functional	14	2	8	8.4
Physical	21	8	14.5	9.1
Emotional	13	1	7	8.4
Severity Rating	68	65	66.5	2.1

Table 9: Pediatric Voice Handicap Index post-baseline measurement

pVHI Post- Baseline	Parent	Participant	Mean	SD
Level of Talkativeness	5	4	4.5	0.7
Total Subtests	42	14	28.0	19.8
Functional	11	2	6.5	6.3
Physical	25	10	17.5	10.6
Emotional	6	2	4.0	2.8
Severity Rating	80	75	77.5	3.5

Vocal Quality

Table 10, 11 and 12 represent the mean and standard deviations for the acoustic variables analyzed with the participant's sustained pitch and connected speech, pre and post baseline. The data showed minimal variability between the first, second or third sustained pitch measurements. There were moderate differences between the participant's jitter percent which might infer differences in cyclical variations of the patient's fundamental frequency. There were also substantial differences in the participant's fundamental frequency tremor and shimmer percent. The patient's pre and post acoustic measures for connected speech sample had moderate differences between standard deviations of their fundamental frequencies.

Table 10: Participant's pre-baseline sustained pitch

Pre Measures	1st	2nd	3rd	Mean	SD
<i>Mean Fo(Hz)</i>	248.20	248.45	250.20	248.95	1.09
<i>Jitt %</i>	2.61	3.25	1.85	2.57	0.70
<i>Fo Tremor</i>	8.89	9.76	5.97	8.21	1.98
<i>Shim %</i>	4.21	5.09	5.68	4.99	0.74
<i>NHR</i>	0.12	0.13	0.15	0.13	0.02
<i>Mean Amp (dB)</i>	61.34	59.26	60.53	60.38	1.05

Table 11: Participant's post-baseline sustained pitch

Post Measures	1st	2nd	3rd	Mean	SD
<i>Mean Fo(Hz)</i>	241.59	233.57	237.45	237.54	4.01
<i>Jitt %</i>	0.58	1.23	1.17	0.99	0.36
<i>Fo Tremor</i>	3.05	2.29	2.48	2.61	0.40
<i>Shim %</i>	6.02	9.07	7.89	7.66	1.53
<i>NHR</i>	0.14	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.02
<i>Mean Amp (dB)</i>	66.58	65.93	66.57	66.36	0.37

Table 12: Acoustic measures pre- and post- treatment for connected speech

Connected Speech	Pre	Post
<i>Mean Fund. Freq (Hz)</i>	264.55	253.27
<i>SD of Fo</i>	16.51	19.07
<i>Mean Amp (dB)</i>	58.41	61.14

Inferential Statistics

A paired sample t-test was used to compare therapy compliance in traditional versus non-traditional, as well as differences between pre and post baseline scores for the CAPE-V and the acoustic analysis of amplitude dependent variables. The data was analyzed using Microsoft Office Excel 2007 (Excel, 2007).

Compliance

Compliance to traditional therapy versus non-traditional therapy was measured according to the amount of practice exercises the participant performed at home each week. Results showed a significant increase for traditional therapy exercises performed each week ($t=2.91$; $p<0.02$), however a greater number of total exercises were practiced during weeks three and four.

Consensus Auditory-Perceptual Evaluation of Voice (CAPE-V)

The CAPE-V is a perceptual assessment of the participant's vocal quality judged by Speech Language Pathologists experienced in the field of voice disorders. The assessment reviews several parameters specific indicators of vocal pathologies, the attributes are overall severity, roughness, breathiness, strain, pitch, and loudness. A paired two sample mean t-test was conducted using the values from strain, breathiness and overall severity from the CAPE-V and results demonstrated a statistical significance between the pre and post measures ($t= 1.81$; $p < .03$).

Vocal Quality

Vocal intensity measured the amount of power per unit area, which reflected the loudness levels of the participant and was measured in decibels (dB). The results concluded a statistically significant difference in amplitude levels post therapy ($t= 2.91$; $p > 0.002$).

CHAPTER FOUR: DISCUSSION

The purpose of the present study is to test the feasibility of implementing a video-game based intervention protocol as a means to improve therapy compliance in school age children with hyperfunctional voice disorders. This study aimed to illustrate three levels of modification that were conducted to an existing entertainment software application for use as a therapeutic protocol. Furthermore, test the central premise that therapy compliance can improve if the mode of voice therapy delivered is ‘motivating’ to the child.

The success of voice therapy for a school age child relies heavily on the motivation of the patient to continue the use of therapeutic exercises at home (Deal, McClain, Sudderth, 1976; Glaze, 1996). Judgments of a patient’s improvement with therapy can be evaluated through compliance of exercises, perceptual results from patient and Speech Language Pathologist, as well as analysis of vocal quality.

Compliance

Compliance was measured by comparing two weeks of traditional voice therapy with two weeks of non-traditional voice therapy. Although it was originally hypothesized that there would be greater compliance with non-traditional voice therapy, the data from the current study demonstrated substantial increases in the total amount of traditional therapy exercises completed during the assigned two weeks of therapy. The participant practiced a greater number of total exercises during the two weeks of non-traditional therapy protocol, however the use of the video game during that time period was not found to be significantly different. Minor differences were also noticeable in participant’s compliance schedules during the weeks of non-traditional therapy protocol, such as: beginning exercises immediately after therapy session and greater consistency

with practicing twice a day. Based on the compliance data from all four weeks there were no substantial changes in compliance and the patient showed an 80% average consistency with practicing exercises throughout the duration of the study.

The results for compliance in the current study do not follow trends observed in the literature for school age children. Possible explanations for the differences observed with the current participant are limited in ways of tracking traditional therapy, parent support, and study design. Each time the participant used the video game a script was written to the source code of the computer, this allowed for accurate documentation. However, during the traditional therapy protocol the patient was asked to document all of their own accounts, which may have been inaccurate. Another problem that occurred during the study was that the participant's parents lived in separate homes and to prevent any breakage of the computer, the computer remained at the main caretaker's home. Another factor that may have affected the compliance levels was that the study was designed for four weeks, 2 weeks of traditional therapy and then two weeks of non-traditional therapy. This did not appear to be an adequate amount of time to measure change in compliance with a video game.

Therefore, results of compliance with non-traditional therapy protocols fail to reject the null hypothesis and conclude that there is not enough evidence to state that the alternative is true. Further investigation with a large sample size will be necessary to fully understand if a video game therapy protocol can improve school age children's compliance with voice therapy.

Consensus Auditory-Perceptual Evaluation of Voice (CAPE-V)

Perceptual evaluations were assessed by two Speech Language Pathologists to rate the participants roughness, breathiness, strain, pitch, loudness and overall severity of their voice. Previous researchers have found that severity of perceptual voice analysis's can be correlated

with the presentation of a voice pathology and therefore be an accurate means of objective evaluation (Shah, Woodnorth, Glyn, & Nuss, 2005). The results concluded noticeable differences between inter-rater reliability due to the degree of differences between each of the rater's scores pre and post baseline, however each rater illustrated significant changes in the patient's breathiness, strain and overall severity of voice. In future studies, the listening environment and equipment will need to be control for. Based on the analysis of the data differences in perceptual evaluations pre and post baseline are statistically significant, therefore the null hypothesis is rejected and therapy will result in more improvements in voice quality as observed on the CAPE-V.

Pediatric Voice Handicap Index

Participant and parent perceptual assessment of the effects of vocal quality on daily activities and overall severity ratings were made. The original pVHI was devised for the parent to fill out and rate according to functional; physical, and emotional effects of their child's voice; and modifications were made to the document so that the participant would be able to make ratings regarding their perceived vocal handicap. The results suggest that there were noticeable differences between the parent and participant's perceived scores on each subtest, however during the severity and talkativeness ratings both scores were analogous. No change was noticeable in either the pre or post baseline scores of the parent or participant with regard to the quality of the participant's voice being unpredictable or the sound of their voice changing throughout the day. Participant and parent reported changes pre to post baseline indicating the participant's voice difficulty was less severe in the evening. Both the parent and participant reported at the post baseline assessment that there were increases in the participant's voice sounding dry, raspy, and hoarse. Pre and post subtest scores from the parent also indicated a

minimal difference on the overall effect the voice difficulty had on performing daily activities, conversely the parent rated the participant's overall severity as worse in post baseline than at the time of the pre- baseline data collection. The participant rated himself substantially less in the functional and emotional subtests. One possible explanation for this was difficulty in understanding or comprehending what the question was asking for.

In addition, there were no statistically significant differences between the pre and post baseline scores on the individual subtests. Normative data using this index is currently not available given the degree of severity of the participant's vocal pathology, therefore it was not used for analysis.

Vocal Quality

Acoustic analysis can give objective measures to help indicate the possibility of a vocal pathology and quantitatively rate progress in therapy (Niedzielska, 2001). The participant's vocal quality was subjected to acoustic analysis pre and post baseline from a sustained pitch and connected speech samples. Some of the most noticeable changes observed between normal voices and children with structural vocal pathology's are fundamental frequency tremor and noise to harmonics ratio, which seem to characterize the perception of strain in a child's voice (Niedzielska, 2001). The results of the participant's fundamental frequency tremor lowered substantially from pre baseline to post baseline. Current data for fundamental frequency tremor places the participant's pre- baseline score three deviations above the normative values (2.86%, sd 2.29), however his post baseline scores were documented within one standard deviation (Niedzielska, 2001). There were no noticeable differences in pre and post baseline of the participant's noise to harmonic ratio. Results of the participant's pre and post baseline mean fundamental frequency and amplitude for sustained pitch were within one standard deviation of

the mean of a normal 7 to 10 year old boy (219 Hz, sd 44.9; 61.5 dB, sd 5; Baken & Orlikoff, 2000; Bohme & Stuchlik, 1995). There were no substantial differences between his pre and post baseline fundamental frequencies, however results showed statistical significances in his amplitude during sustained pitch. In addition, the participant's results for shimmer were substantially greater during post baseline and compared to normative values (4.07%, sd 1.78; Nicollas, Garrel, Oaknine, Giovanni, Nazarian, & Triglia, 2008). The participant's results of jitter percent were substantially greater pre baseline than post baseline, however they still were moderately greater than normative values (.43%, sd .24; Nicollas, Garrel, Oaknine, Giovanni, Nazarian, & Triglia, 2008).

Pre-baseline laryngostroboscopic findings revealed the appearance of bilateral vocal fold nodules. Laryngostroboscopic results post-baseline indicated a cyst formation on the left true vocal fold with a reduction of generalized edema surrounding the cysts formation; the right true vocal fold nodular prominence had resolved. These findings, although not part of the experimental design of the current study, suggest that therapy progress was made based on the level of severity of laryngeal findings, little to no change in the acoustic results were to be expected, as it is widely agreed upon in the literature that vocal fold cyst's rarely respond to behavioral therapy alone, and more typically require combined modality management with surgical excision (Stemple, Glaze, & Klaben, 2000).

Limitations

This study aimed to test the feasibility of using a video game as a therapy device to improve the motivation of school age children to practice home based exercises. Although this study failed to prove that the video game could improve motivation towards therapy, it was established that a purely entertainment game can be modified for therapeutic purposes and be

implemented into future voice therapy protocols. The statistical results of the study would have benefited from a greater number of participants and lengthier time period with the video game. However, due to the novelty of the video game approach for therapy it was of value to have had the opportunity to run a single subject design. The experience with the participant and the limitations uncovered in the data analysis has shed light on further modification that will help to improve the application and functionality of the game. For example, the participant reported that the game was a little hard and that he found it to be tiring by the time he got to the last exercise; therefore, modifications were made to make the game easier at the beginning and progressively get harder. Another limitation was the study design, which allocated traditional therapy and non-traditional therapy in a case study. This unfortunately limited the abilities to interpret precisely how much the game influenced the participant's motivation. Perhaps another way to study this use of the video game is to design a protocol including a control group with only mild vocal fold pathology. Another limitation within the study was that data for the traditional portion of this study relied solely on the participant's ability to accurately keep track of their exercises. This factor alone might have lead to the greater variability in the results observed because the participant's data was compared to quantitative data collected by the source code. In future studies, a better ability of collecting compliance data should be devised; possibly by having the participant use a sound recorder during the traditional therapy protocol or have the participant rate when they performed the game versus when they did not.

Future Studies

Current results will facilitate greater modifications to the video game for increased functionality and application as a therapeutic practice. Although it was not controlled for in the study, anecdotal clinical comments from the participant and parent in the post treatment baseline

indicated high motivation to complete the video game exercises. The parent stated that she had to do less prompting and reminding about the exercises and he would begin them without direction. The participant also reported that he found the graphics and competitiveness to be appealing.

Future studies should include a greater number of participants over a longer period of time (i.e. use of videogame for a minimum of 3 weeks), Also, future studies should implement strict procedures for the therapeutic sessions which can work in conjunction with the computer game to provide adequate feedback. Therapy sessions should include a review the participant's ability to perform the therapy tasks with the video game and modify the game as needed. .

The design of the study should also be revised from a two-phase quasi-experimental design to a multiple time-series design. This design accounts for multiple measures over time with an experimental group and control group. Results can help compare the differences in compliance and vocal quality with traditional and non-traditional therapy protocols. Selection of participants should only include those with a mild vocal pathology, due to their greater susceptibility to improvement with therapy.

Future research beyond the scope of this study should include increased designs of video game options and greater speech analysis systems. The video game therapy approach can be a catalyst for studying vocal quality during at home practice sessions and find new innovated ways of improving quality of speech and voice characteristics.

Summary

Clinicians use a variety of therapy approaches to reduce vocal hyperfunction and compensatory vocal behaviors for hyperfunctional voice disorders in children. The development of the therapy model in a serious gaming environment can provide multiple benefits to a school age child with a vocal pathology. This pilot study illustrated three levels of modification that

were conducted to an existing entertainment software application for use as a therapeutic protocol. Prior to disbursement of the modified software application, feasibility tests were conducted to analyze the programs performance and compatibility to the attended population. The following modifications were made to the video game for use as a therapeutic protocol. Resonant Voice therapy protocols were devised and replaced the five original spotlight songs (syllable repetitions, chanting a song, and phrases). Dialogue boxes, pop-up boxes, and script which runs during the spotlight were also modified in accordance with the therapeutic procedure. In addition, a time stamp directory was added which saves the pitch and loudness level of each participant during each individual exercise, as well as documents the compliance level of each exercise. A standard gamepad script was generated for a dual joystick gamepad to add to keypad and mouse control and make the video game more functional.

The purpose of the third stage of modification was to test the feasibility of implementing the video-game based therapy as a means to improve therapy compliance in school age children with hyperfunctional voice disorders. This study found that a purely entertainment video game can be implemented as a therapeutic protocol for a school age child diagnosed with a vocal pathology. Even though, the results for compliance in this study were greater for traditional therapy, improvements in design and function of the video game have been extremely successful. Therefore, further investments should be made to implement this video game based therapy with multiple participants and advance the research in studying therapy compliance

APPENDIX A: CONSENSUS AUDITORY PERCEPTUAL EVALUATION OF VOICE (CAPE-V)

Consensus Auditory-Perceptual Evaluation of Voice (CAPE-V)

Name: _____

Date: _____

The following parameters of voice quality will be rated upon completion of the following tasks:

1. Sustained vowels, /a/ and /i/ for 3-5 seconds duration each.
2. Sentence production:
 - a. The blue spot is on the key again.
 - b. How hard did he hit him?
 - c. We were away a year ago.
 - d. We eat eggs every Easter.
 - e. My mama makes lemon muffins.
 - f. Peter will keep at the peak.
3. Spontaneous speech in response to: "Tell me about your voice problem." or "Tell me how your voice is functioning."

Legend: C = Consistent I = Intermittent
 MI = Mildly Deviant
 MO = Moderately Deviant
 SE = Severely Deviant

				<u>SCORE</u>
Overall Severity	_____	C	I	____/100
	MI MO SE			
Roughness	_____	C	I	____/100
	MI MO SE			
Breathiness	_____	C	I	____/100
	MI MO SE			
Strain	_____	C	I	____/100
	MI MO SE			
Pitch	(Indicate the nature of the abnormality): _____	C	I	____/100
	MI MO SE			
Loudness	(Indicate the nature of the abnormality): _____	C	I	____/100
	MI MO SE			
_____	MI MO SE	C	I	____/100
_____	MI MO SE	C	I	____/100

COMMENTS ABOUT RESONANCE: NORMAL OTHER (Provide description): _____

ADDITIONAL FEATURES (for example, diplophonia, fry, falsetto, asthenia, aphonia, pitch instability, tremor, wet/gurgly, or other relevant terms): _____

Clinician: _____

APPENDIX B: PEDIATRIC VOICE HANDICAP INDEX (pVHI)

Pediatric Voice Handicap Index

I would rate my child's talkativeness as the following (circle response)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Quiet Listener			Average Talker			Extremely Talkative

Instructions: These are statements that many people have used to describe their voices and the effects of their voices. Circle the response that indicates how frequently you have the same experiences.

0= Never 1= Almost Never 2= Sometimes 3= Almost always 4= Always

Part I: F

- | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1) My child's Voice makes it difficult for people to hear him. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2) People have difficulty understanding my child in a noisy room. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 3) At home, we have difficulty hearing my child when he/she calls through the house. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 4) My child tends to avoid talking because of his voice. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 5) My child speaks with friends, neighbors, or relatives less often because of his voice. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 6) People ask my child to repeat him when speaking face-to-face. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 7) My child's voice difficulties restrict personal, educational and social activities. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

Part II: P

- | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1) My child runs out of air when talking. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2) The sound of my child's voice changes throughout the day. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 3) People ask, "What's wrong with your child's voice?" | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 4) My child's voice sounds dry, raspy, and/or hoarse. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 5) The quality of my child's voice is unpredictable. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 6) My child uses a great deal of effort to speak (e.g., straining) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 7) My child's voice is worst in the evening. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 8) My child's voice "gives out" when speaking. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 9) My child has to yell in order for others to hear him. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

Part III: E

- | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1) My child appears tense when talking to others because of his voice. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2) People seem irritated with my child's voice. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 3) I find that other people don't understand my child's voice problem. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 4) My child is frustrated with his voice problem. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 5) My child is less outgoing because of his voice problem. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 6) My child is annoyed when people ask him to repeat myself. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 7) My child is embarrassed when people ask him to repeat myself. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

Overall Severity Rating of Voice (Please place "X" mark anywhere along this line to indicate the severity of your child's voice; the verbal description serve as a guide)

_____	_____
Normal	Severe

APPENDIX C: PEDIATRIC VOICE HANDICAP INDEX (REVISED)

Pediatric Voice Handicap Index (revised)

I would rate my talkativeness as the following (circle response)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Quiet			Average			Extremely
Listener			Talker			Talkative

Instructions: These are statements that many people have used to describe their voices and the effects of their voices

0= Never 1= Almost Never 2= Sometimes 3= Almost always 4= Always

Part I: F

- | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. People have trouble hearing me. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2. People have difficulty understanding me in a noisy room. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 3. At home, my parents have difficulty hearing me when I call through the house. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 4. I tend to avoid talking because of my voice. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 5. I speak with friends, neighbors, or relatives less often because of my voice. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 6. People ask me to repeat myself when speaking face-to-face. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 7. My voice difficulties restrict personal, educational and social activities. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

Part II: P

- | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. I run out of air when talking. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2. The sound of my voice changes throughout the day. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 3. People ask, "What's wrong with your voice?" | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 4. My voice sounds dry, raspy, and/or hoarse. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 5. The quality of my voice is unpredictable. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 6. I use a great deal of effort to speak (e.g., straining). | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 7. My voice is worst in the evening. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 8. My voice "gives out" when speaking. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 9. I have to yell in order for others to hear me. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

Part III: E

- | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. I am tense when talking to others because of my voice. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2. People seem irritated with my voice. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 3. I find that other people don't understand my voice problem. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 4. I am frustrated with my voice problem. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 5. I am less outgoing because of my voice problem. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 6. I am annoyed when people ask me to repeat myself. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 7. I am embarrassed when people ask me to repeat myself. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

Overall Severity Rating of Voice (Please place "X" mark anywhere along this line to indicate the severity of your voice; the verbal description serve as a guide)

Normal

Severe

APPENDIX D: OPERA SLINGER



APPENDIX E: MODIFICATIONS TO OPERA SLINGER


```

-----MAIN MENU LOADING-----
Index: common/client/prefs.cs
=====
--- common/client/prefs.cs      (.../operaslinger)      (revision 1)
+++ common/client/prefs.cs
    (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaslinger)
    (revision 36)
@@ -114,7 +114,7 @@
    $pref::Video::allowOpenGL = "1";
    $pref::Video::appliedPref = "1";
    $pref::Video::clipHigh = "0";
-$pref::Video::defaultsRenderer = "GeForce Go 7800 GTX/PCI/SSE2";
+$pref::Video::defaultsRenderer = "GeForce 9600M GT/PCI/SSE2";
    $pref::Video::defaultsVendor = "NVIDIA Corporation";
    $pref::Video::deleteContext = "1";
    $pref::Video::disableVerticalSync = 1;
@@ -123,7 +123,7 @@
    $pref::Video::monitorNum = 0;
    $pref::Video::only16 = "0";
    $pref::Video::preferOpenGL = "1";
-$pref::Video::profiledRenderer = "GeForce Go 7800 GTX/PCI/SSE2";
+$pref::Video::profiledRenderer = "GeForce 9600M GT/PCI/SSE2";
    $pref::Video::profiledVendor = "NVIDIA Corporation";
    $pref::Video::resolution = "1024 768 32";
    $pref::Video::safeModeOn = "1";

Index: opera.slinger/server/scripts/gameManager.cs
=====
--- opera.slinger/server/scripts/gameManager.cs      (.../operaslinger)
    (revision 1)
+++ opera.slinger/server/scripts/gameManager.cs
    (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaslinger)
    (revision 36)
@@ -192,8 +192,9 @@
                                playGuiUpdate($gameManagerState);
                                $Aria.initAriaMouthSequence( $currentTrigger );
                                %songNum = PitchDetector_GetSongNum();
+                                %fileTimeStamp = getRealTime();
+                                $therapyDataFile.close();
-                                $therapyDataFile=
openWriteFile("opera.slinger/data/gameData/TherapyDataSong" @ %songNum @
".txt");
+                                $therapyDataFile = openWriteFile("opera.slinger/data/gameData/"
@ %fileTimeStamp @ "TherapyDataSong" @ %songNum @ ".txt");
                                PitchDetector_Start();
                                $gameManagerState = $managerInSingOff;

Index: opera.slinger/globals.cs
=====
--- opera.slinger/globals.cs      (.../operaslinger)      (revision 1)
+++ opera.slinger/globals.cs
    (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaslinger)
    (revision 36)
@@ -21,7 +21,7 @@

```

```

        $loseScreenDelay = 1000;
        $mainMenuDelay = 5000;

-        $popUpInGameDelay = 3000;
+        $popUpInGameDelay = 4000;

//-----
//          Camera Path Names
@@ -69,7 +69,7 @@
//          Pitch Globals
//-----
//          PitchDetector_SetFormat( _samplesPerBuf, _samplesPerSecond,
_ffftPoints, _bitsPerSample );
-        $RecordingFormat = "1024 11025 2048 16";
+        $RecordingFormat = "1024 44100 2048 16";
        $VolumePercentThreshold = 0.025; // i.e.
0.10 -> 10%
        // These are different for each difficulty
        // Gain is like inverse smoothness. The lower the gain: (1) the
higher the smoothness (2) the slower the response time of the arrow
@@ -88,7 +88,7 @@
//-----
        $NoteRange = 3.0; // The bounds (in terms of note
indices) for player to receive points
        // amount to offset the singer's octave ( positive - raises the
singer's octave, negative - lowers the singer's octave )
-        $MaleOctaveOffset = 1; // if male
+        $MaleOctaveOffset = 0; // if male
        $FemaleOctaveOffset = 0; // if female

        // Accuracy % (the higher the %, the closer the player has to be to
the real note)
@@ -105,7 +105,7 @@
//          Points system percentage adjustment
//-----
        // this is the amount of adjustment. For example - forte is singing at
50% of the accuracy, 0.25 would put him to 75%
-        $pointSystemAdjustmentEasy = 0.28;
+        $pointSystemAdjustmentEasy = 0.42;
        $pointSystemAdjustmentMedium = 0.13;
        $pointSystemAdjustmentHard = 0.0;
        // default, will get set by the difficulty select screen

Index: opera.slinger/data/gameData/song2.info
=====
--- opera.slinger/data/gameData/song2.info      (.../operaslinger)      (revision
1)
+++ opera.slinger/data/gameData/song2.info
    (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaSlinger)
    (revision 36)
@@ -1,3 +1,2 @@
-You're About to Sing...
-Eine Kleine Nachtmusik
-By: Mozart
+You're About to

```

+repeat momomomo.....

Index: opera.slinger/data/gameData/song4.info

```
=====
--- opera.slinger/data/gameData/song4.info      (.../operaslinger)      (revision
1)
+++ opera.slinger/data/gameData/song4.info
    (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaSlinger)
    (revision 36)
@@ -1,3 +1,3 @@
-You're About to Sing...
-The Four Seasons - Spring
-By: Vivaldi
+You're About to hum...
+London Bridge is falling down
+using a "mo"
```

Index: opera.slinger/data/gameData/song1.note

```
=====
--- opera.slinger/data/gameData/song1.note      (.../operaslinger)      (revision
1)
+++ opera.slinger/data/gameData/song1.note
    (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaSlinger)
    (revision 36)
@@ -1,47 +1,63 @@
-4      830      5580      1
-4      620      6510      1
-4      210      7200      0.8
-4      620      7440      1
-2      210      8130      0.8
-0      620      8370      1
--3     210      9060      0.8
--4     620      9300      1
--1     210     10000      0.8
-2      500     10230      1
-5      210     10750      0.8
-4      830     11160      1
-0      830     12090      1
-4      830     13020      1
-4      620     13950      1
-4      210     14650      0.8
-4      620     14880      1
-2      210     15580      0.8
-0      620     15810      1
--3     210     16510      0.8
--4     620     16740      1
--1     210     17440      0.8
-2      620     17670      1
-5      210     18370      0.8
-4      410     18600      1
-0      410     19060      1.02
-4      410     19530      1.04
-0      410     20460      1.06
-2      410     21390      1.08
```

--1	410	22320	1.1
-0	410	22790	1.12
--3	410	23250	1.14
--1	410	23720	1.16
--3	1400	24180	1.05
-4	830	26040	1.1
-4	620	26970	1.2
-4	210	27670	0.8
-4	620	27900	1.2
-2	210	28600	0.8
-0	400	28830	1.2
--3	210	29530	0.8
--4	620	29760	1.1
--1	210	30460	0.8
-2	350	30690	1
-5	210	31150	0.8
-4	830	31620	1
-0	2000	32550	1.25
+4	200	4000	0
+4	200	4500	0
+4	200	5000	0
+4	200	5500	0
+2	200	6000	0
+			
+ -3	250	7000	0.5
+ -4	150	7300	0.5
+ -1	400	7600	0.5
+2	400	7900	0.5
+5	500	8500	0.5
+4	600	9100	0.5
+			
+			
+4	150	9200	0.8
+4	150	9450	0.8
+4	500	9950	0.8
+2	400	10550	0.8
+0	350	11050	0.8
+ -3	650	11850	0.8
+			
+ -1	150	12000	0.5
+2	100	12300	0.5
+5	400	12800	0.5
+4	410	13400	0.8
+0	450	14100	0.8
+4	460	14800	0.5
+0	520	15500	0.5
+			
+			
+0	220	15800	0.5
+ -3	100	16100	0.8
+ -1	350	17000	1.0
+ -1	400	17800	0.8
+ -3	400	18600	0.8
+4	600	18800	0.5
+			
+			

```

+-3      250      22000    0.5
+-4      150      22250    0.5
+-1      400      22400    0.5
+2       400      22900    0.5
+5       500      23500    0.5
+4       600      23300    0.5
+
+
+4       150      24000    0.8
+4       150      24150    0.8
+4       500      24650    0.8
+2       400      25550    0.8
+0       350      26250    0.8
+-3      650      27000    0.8
+
+-1      150      27500    0.5
+2       100      27800    0.5
+5       400      28400    0.5
+4       410      29000    0.8
+0       450      29700    0.8
+4       460      30300    0.5
+0       520      31100    0.5
+
+0       220      31200    0.5
+-3      100      31500    0.8
\ No newline at end of file

```

Index: opera.slinger/data/gameData/song3.note

```

=====
--- opera.slinger/data/gameData/song3.note      (.../operaslinger)      (revision
1)
+++ opera.slinger/data/gameData/song3.note
    (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaSlinger)
    (revision 36)
@@ -1,48 +1,66 @@
-3      300      9540      0.8
-3      300      9990      0.8
-3      460      10400     1
-6      350      10870     0.9
-4      100      11220     0.7
-1      810      11330     1
-1      320      12250     0.8
-1      280      12700     0.7
-1      430      13140     1
-4      330      13590     0.9
-3      110      13940     0.7
--1     910      14060     1.2
-3      410      14970     0.9
-1      440      15390     0.9
--1     230      15850     0.8
--1     340      16310     1
--2     100      16680     0.7
--2     820      16810     1.25
-0      0        17670     0
-0      0        18120     0

```

-0	0	18570	0
-0	0	19020	0
-0	0	19400	0
-0	0	19540	0
-3	300	23180	0.9
-3	300	23630	0.9
-3	430	24040	1
-6	340	24500	1.1
-4	90	24860	0.7
-1	750	24960	1.3
-1	320	25890	1
-1	280	26340	0.9
-1	430	26770	1
-4	320	27230	0.9
-3	100	27570	0.7
--1	780	27700	1.35
-3	400	28610	1
-1	430	29030	1
--1	230	29490	0.9
--1	360	29940	0.8
--2	100	30320	0.7
--2	780	30450	1.4
-1	420	31310	1
--1	420	31750	1
--4	310	32210	0.8
--4	350	32660	0.9
--6	100	33040	0.7
--6	1400	33180	1.5
+3	300	10000	1
+3	300	11000	1
+3	300	12000	1
+3	300	13000	1
+3	300	14000	1
+			
+			
+			
+			
+2	200	20300	.8
+2	200	20600	.8
+2	200	20900	.8
+2	200	21200	.8
+2	200	21500	.8
+3	200	21800	0.8
+3	200	22100	0.8
+3	200	22400	.8
+6	200	22700	.8
+4	200	23000	0.8
+1	200	23300	.8
+1	200	23600	.8
+1	200	23900	0.8
+			
+			
+			
+3	200	24900	.8
+1	200	25100	.8
+--1	200	25400	0.8

```

+-1      200      25700    0.8
+-2      200      26000    0.8
+-2      200      26300    .8
+1       200      26700    .8
+
+
+
+-6      200      27700    .8
+1       200      28000    .8
+1       200      28600    .8
+4       200      28900    .8
+3       200      29200    .8
+-1      200      29500    .8
+3       200      29800    .8
+
+
+
+-2      200      30800    .8
+-2      200      31300    .8
+-1      200      31600    .8
+3       200      31900    .8
+1       200      32400    .8
+-1      200      32800    0.8
+-1      200      33200    .8
+
+
+
+3       200      33200    .8
+1       200      33600    .8
+-1      200      34000    0.8
+-1      200      34400    .8
+-2      200      34800    .8
+-2      200      35200    .8
+-2      200      35800    .8
+-2      200      36200    .8
+-2      200      36400    .8
+-2      200      36800    .8
+-2      200      37200    .8
\ No newline at end of file

```

Index: opera.slinger/data/gameData/song5.note

```

=====
--- opera.slinger/data/gameData/song5.note      (.../operaslinger)      (revision
1)
+++ opera.slinger/data/gameData/song5.note
      (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaSlinger)
      (revision 36)
@@ -1,48 +1,59 @@
-7      200      3860      1
-7      200      4090      1
-7      210      4310      1
-3      2600     4540      1.5
-5      200      7500      1
-5      210      7720      1
-5      210      7950      1

```

-2	2640	8180	1.6
-7	210	11130	1
-7	200	11360	1
-7	200	11590	1
-3	210	11810	1
-8	210	12040	1
-8	200	12270	1
-8	200	12500	1
-7	210	12720	1
-15	210	12950	1.1
-15	200	13180	1.2
-15	210	13400	1.3
-12	820	13630	1.5
-7	200	14770	1
-7	200	15000	1
-7	210	15220	1
-2	210	15450	1
-8	200	15680	1
-8	210	15900	1
-8	210	16130	1
-7	200	16360	1
-17	200	16590	1
-17	210	16810	1
-17	210	17040	1
-14	820	17270	1.7
-19	210	18150	1
-19	210	18400	1
-17	200	18650	1
-15	820	19090	1.8
-14	200	20000	1
-19	210	20220	1
-19	210	20450	1
-17	200	20680	1
-15	820	20900	1.85
-14	210	21810	1
-19	210	22040	1
-19	210	22270	1
-17	200	22500	1
-15	910	22720	1.9
-12	910	23630	1.95
-19	3180	24540	2
+3	400	3500	1
+3	400	4000	1
+3	400	4500	1
+3	400	5000	1
+			
+			
+			
+3	400	7000	1
+3	400	7500	1
+3	400	8000	1
+3	400	8500	1
+3	400	9000	1
+3	400	9500	1
+3	400	10000	1
+3	400	10500	1


```

+3      400      11000   1
+3      500      11500   1
+
+
+
+
+3      500      12500   1
+3      500      13000   1
+3      500      13500   1
+3      500      14000   1
+3      500      14500   1
+3      500      15000   1
+3    500 15500   1
+3    500 16000   1
+
+
+
+
+
+3      500      17000   1
+3      500      17500   1
+3      500      18000   1
+3      500      18500   1
+3      500      19000   1
+3      500      19500   1
+3      500      20000   1
+3      500      20500   1
+3      500      21000   1
+3      500      21500   1
+3      500      22000   1
+
+
+
+
+3      500      23500   1
+3      500      24000   1
+3      500      24500   1
+3      500      25000   1
+3      500      25500   1
+3      500      26000   1
+3      500      26500   1
+3      500      27000   1
+3      500      27500   1
+3      500      28000   1
\ No newline at end of file

```

Index: opera.slinger/data/gameData/song1.info

```

=====
--- opera.slinger/data/gameData/song1.info      (.../operaslinger)      (revision
1)
+++ opera.slinger/data/gameData/song1.info
    (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaSlinger)
    (revision 36)
@@ -1,2 +1,3 @@
-You're About to Sing...

```

```
-Beethoven's Fifth
+You're About to sustain
+the "m" sound for as long as
+you can.
```

Index: opera.slinger/data/gameData/song3.info

```
=====
--- opera.slinger/data/gameData/song3.info      (.../operaslinger)      (revision
1)
+++ opera.slinger/data/gameData/song3.info
      (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaSlinger)
      (revision 36)
@@ -1,3 +1,3 @@
-You're About to Sing...
-La Donne e Mobile
-By: Verdi
+You're About to hum...
+Twinkle Little Star
+using a "mo"
\ No newline at end of file
```

Index: opera.slinger/data/gameData/song5.info

```
=====
--- opera.slinger/data/gameData/song5.info      (.../operaslinger)      (revision
1)
+++ opera.slinger/data/gameData/song5.info
      (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaSlinger)
      (revision 36)
@@ -1,3 +1,2 @@
-You're About to Sing...
-Beethoven's Fifth
-By: Beethoven!
\ No newline at end of file
+You're About to repeat
+4 phrase.
\ No newline at end of file
```

Index: opera.slinger/data/gameData/song2.note

```
=====
--- opera.slinger/data/gameData/song2.note      (.../operaslinger)      (revision
1)
+++ opera.slinger/data/gameData/song2.note
      (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaSlinger)
      (revision 36)
@@ -1,60 +1,41 @@
-2      450      8000      1
--3      220      8750      0.8
-2      450      9000      1
--3      220      9750      0.7
-2      220      10000     0.8
--3      220      10250     0.9
-2      220      10500     1
-6      220      10750     1.1
```

-9	450	11000	1.2
-0	0	12000	0
-0	0	12750	0
-0	0	13000	0
-0	0	13750	0
-0	0	14000	0
-0	0	14250	0
-0	0	14500	0
-0	0	14750	0
-0	0	15000	0
-2	220	16000	0.8
-2	670	16500	1
-6	220	17250	0.7
-4	220	17500	0.8
-2	220	17750	0.9
-2	220	18000	1
-1	220	18250	1
-1	670	18500	1.1
-4	220	19250	0.7
-7	220	19500	0.8
-1	220	19750	0.9
-4	220	20000	1
-2	220	20250	1
-2	670	20500	1.1
-6	220	21250	0.7
-4	220	21500	0.8
-2	220	21750	0.9
-2	220	22000	1
-1	220	22250	1
-1	670	22500	1.1
-4	220	23250	0.7
-7	220	23500	0.8
-1	220	23750	0.8
-2	220	24000	0.8
-2	220	24250	0.7
-2	110	24500	0.6
-1	110	24620	0.6
--1	110	24750	0.6
-1	110	24870	0.6
-2	220	25000	0.7
-2	220	25250	0.8
-6	110	25500	0.6
-4	110	25620	0.6
-2	110	25750	0.6
-4	110	25870	0.6
-6	220	26000	0.7
-6	220	26250	0.8
-9	110	26500	0.6
-7	110	26620	0.6
-6	110	26750	0.6
-7	110	26870	0.6
-9	400	27000	1.2
+3	300	9000	0.8
+3	300	9400	0.8
+3	300	9900	0.8
+3	300	10400	1

```

+
+
+3      200      15500   1
+3      200      16000   1
+3      200      16500   1
+3      200      17000   1
+3      200      17500   1
+3      200      18000   1
+3      200      18500   1
+3      200      19000   1
+3      200      19500   1
+3      200 20000       1
+3      200 20500       1
+3      200 21000       1
+
+3      200      22000   1
+3      200      22500   1
+
+
+
+
+3      200      24000   1
+3      200      24500   1
+3      200 25000       1
+3      200      25500   1
+3      200      26000   1
+3      200      26500   1
+3      200      27000   1
+3      200      27500   1
+3      200      28000   1
+3      200      28500   1
+3      200      29000   1
+3      200      29500   1
+
+3      200      30500   1
+3      200      31000   1
\ No newline at end of file

```

Index: opera.slinger/data/gameData/song4.note

```

=====
--- opera.slinger/data/gameData/song4.note      (.../operaslinger)      (revision
1)
+++ opera.slinger/data/gameData/song4.note
    (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaslinger)
    (revision 36)
@@ -1,50 +1,69 @@
-0      240      12930   1
-7      240      13210   1
-5      120      13480   0.8
-4      120      13620   0.8
-5      240      13760   1
-7      240      14030   1.03
-9      240      14310   1.05
-7      490      14580   1.15

```

-0	240	15130	1
-7	240	15410	1
-5	120	15680	0.8
-4	120	15820	0.8
-5	240	15960	1
-7	240	16230	1
-9	240	16510	1
-7	490	16780	1.25
-0	240	17330	0.9
-9	240	17610	0.9
-7	490	17880	1.3
-5	240	18440	0.9
-4	240	18710	0.9
-2	120	18990	0.8
-0	120	19120	0.8
-2	490	19260	1.1
-0	1770	19810	1.5
-0	240	26140	1
-7	240	26420	1
-5	120	26690	0.9
-4	120	26830	0.9
-5	240	26970	1
-7	240	27240	1
-9	240	27520	1
-7	490	27790	1.3
-0	240	28340	1
-7	240	28620	1
-5	120	28890	0.9
-4	120	29030	0.9
-5	240	29170	1
-7	240	29440	1
-9	240	29720	1
-7	490	29990	1.5
-0	240	30550	1
-9	240	30820	1
-7	490	31100	1.2
-5	240	31650	1
-4	240	31920	1
-2	120	32200	1
-0	120	32330	1
-2	490	32470	1.3
-0	1770	33020	2
+3	300	11000	1
+3	300	11500	1
+3	300	12000	1
+3	300	12500	1
+3	300	13000	1
+3	300	13500	1
+			
+			
+7	200	14500	1
+3	200	14800	1
+7	200	15100	1
+5	200	15400	1
+4	200	15700	1
+5	200	16000	1

+7	200	16500	1
+			
+9	200	17000	1
+7	200	17250	1
+0	200	17750	1
+			
+9	200	18250	1
+7	200	18500	1
+5	200	19000	1
+			
+4	200	19500	1
+2	200	19750	1
+3	200	20000	1
+2	200	20500	1
+3	200	20750	1
+3	200	21000	1
+7	200	21250	1
+			
+5	200	21750	1
+4	200	22000	1
+5	200	22500	1
+7	200	22750	1
+			
+9	200	23250	1
+7	200	23500	1
+3	200	23750	1
+7	200	24000	1
+5	200	24250	1
+4	200	24500	1
+			
+5	200	25000	1
+7	200	25250	1
+9	200	25500	1
+7	200	25750	1
+8	200	26000	1
+9	200	26500	1
+7	200	26750	1
+			
+5	200	26250	1
+4	200	26500	1
+2	200	26750	1
+2	200	27250	1
+2	200	28750	1
+3	200	29250	1
+3	200	29750	1
+			
+7	200	30250	1
+5	200	30500	1
+4	200	30750	1
+5	200	31000	1
+7	200	31250	1
+9	200	31500	1
+7	200	31750	1
+3	200	32000	1
+7	200	32500	1

\ No newline at end of file

```

Index: opera.slinger/data/gameData/song1.lyric
=====
--- opera.slinger/data/gameData/song1.lyric      (.../operaslinger)      (revision
1)
+++ opera.slinger/data/gameData/song1.lyric
      (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaSlinger)
      (revision 36)
@@ -1,47 +1,63 @@
-Now
-you
-will
-find
-my
-mu-
-sic's
-the
-great-
-est
-of
-its
-kind!
-Lights
-crowds
-and
-cheers
-are
-all
-I've
-known
-for
-man-
-y
-years -
-and
-you
-can't
-hope
-to
-take
-that
-a-
-way!
-Pres-
-tige
-you
-can't
-de-
-fend
-to-
-day
-you
-will
-meet
-your

```

```
-end!  
+Hum  
+Happy  
+Birthday  
+using  
+a "mo"  
+  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+  
+  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+  
+  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+  
+  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+mo  
+  
+mo  
+mo
```


+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+
+mo
+mo

Index: opera.slinger/data/gameData/song2.lyric

```
=====
--- opera.slinger/data/gameData/song2.lyric    (.../operaslinger)    (revision
1)
+++ opera.slinger/data/gameData/song2.lyric
    (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaSlinger)
    (revision 36)
@@ -1,60 +1,41 @@
-Ar-
-i-
-a
-Your
-songs
-are
-a
-dis-
-grace!
-For-
-te
-fool
-I'll
-put
-you
-in
-your
-place!
-You
-are
-a
-worth-
-less
-cast-
-a-
-way
-rel-
-ic
-of
-by-
-gone
-days
-Your
-mu-
-sic's
-al-
-ways
-wrong
-crowds
```

-hate
+Get
+ready to
-hear
-your
-s
-o
-o
-o
-o
-o
-o
-o
-o
-o
-o
-o
-o
-ngs!
+sing..
+
+
+Mary
+Mary
+mo
+mary
+banana
+nana
+no-
+nary
+me
+my
+mo
+mary
+
+Mar-
+ee
+
+
+
+
+
+Mary
+Mary
+mo
+mary
+banana
+nana
+no-
+nary
+me
+my

+mo
+mary
+
+Mar-
+ee

Index: opera.slinger/data/gameData/song2a.lyric

```
=====
--- opera.slinger/data/gameData/song2a.lyric    (.../operaslinger)    (revision
1)
+++ opera.slinger/data/gameData/song2a.lyric
    (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaSlinger)
    (revision 36)
@@ -1 +1,9 @@
-
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
\ No newline at end of file
```

Index: opera.slinger/data/gameData/song3.lyric

```
=====
--- opera.slinger/data/gameData/song3.lyric    (.../operaslinger)    (revision
1)
+++ opera.slinger/data/gameData/song3.lyric
    (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaSlinger)
    (revision 36)
@@ -1,60 +1,66 @@
-Back
-at
-a-
-ca-
-de-
-my
-You
-rose
-to
-in-
-fa-
-my
-Mock-
-ing
-me
-end-
-less-
- ly
- I
-did
-so
```

-happ-
-il-
- y
-My
-con-
-stant
-en-
-e-
-my
-Seems
-like
-all
-you
-can
-be
-Can
-we
-try
-to
-get
-free
-Sad-
- ly
-it's
-un-
-like-
- ly
+Hum
+Twinkle
+Twinkle
+Little
+Star....

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
+
+
+
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo

[illegible]

Index: opera.slinger/data/gameData/song4.lyric

```

--- opera.slinger/data/gameData/song4.lyric    (.../operaslinger)    (revision
1)
+++ opera.slinger/data/gameData/song4.lyric
      (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaSlinger)
      (revision 36)

```

@@ -1,50 +1,69 @@
-What
-life
+Hum
+London
+Bridge
 is
-it
-that
-we
-both
-lead?
-So
-what
-is
-it
-that
-we
-both
-need?
-It's
-sim-
-ple
-just
-tell
-me
-that
-I've
-won
-And
-now
-we
-are
-back
-at
-the
-start
-An
-ar-
-gu-
-ment
- o-
-ver
-whose
-part
-NOT
-MY
-PART
-should
-come
-to
-a
-quick
-end
+Falling
+Down.....

+
+
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+
+mo
+mo
+mo
+
+mo
+mo
+mo
+
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+
+mo
+mo
+mo
+
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+
+
+mo

+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo
+mo

Index: opera.slinger/data/gameData/song5.lyric

```
=====
--- opera.slinger/data/gameData/song5.lyric    (.../operaslinger)    (revision
1)
+++ opera.slinger/data/gameData/song5.lyric
    (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaSlinger)
    (revision 36)
@@ -1,48 +1,59 @@
-Our
-ri-
-val-
-ry
-E-
-ter-
-nal-
-ly
-We
-fight
+Repeat
+the
+following
+4 phrases...
+
+
+
+Nick
+never
+made
+mini
+maple
+muffins
+with
+mama
+and
-feud
-our
-great-
-est
-fear
-is
-be-
-ing
-booed
-For
-cheer-
-ing
-fans
-we
```


-draw
-our
-plans
+Mary.
+
+
+
+
+Many
+many
+moons
+ago
+mary
+went
to
-ne-
-ver
-lose
-re-
-spect
-and
-fame
-in
the
-great-
-est
-game
-on
-the
-ope-
-ra
-stage
-of
-life!
+movies
+
+
+
+
+Nicks
+mom
+made
+his
+favorite
+meal of
+meat
+loaf
+and
+mashed
+potatoes
+
+
+
+
+My
+mom

```

+made
+me
+make
+maple
+muffins
+for
+Mary and
+Matt
\ No newline at end of file
Index: opera.slinger/client/prefs.cs
=====

```

```

--- opera.slinger/client/prefs.cs      (.../operaslinger)      (revision 1)
+++ opera.slinger/client/prefs.cs
    (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaSlinger)
    (revision 36)
@@ -114,7 +114,7 @@
    $pref::Video::allowOpenGL = "1";
    $pref::Video::appliedPref = "1";
    $pref::Video::clipHigh = "0";
-$pref::Video::defaultsRenderer = "GeForce Go 7800 GTX/PCI/SSE2";
+$pref::Video::defaultsRenderer = "GeForce 9600M GT/PCI/SSE2";
    $pref::Video::defaultsVendor = "NVIDIA Corporation";
    $pref::Video::deleteContext = "1";
    $pref::Video::disableVerticalSync = 1;
@@ -123,7 +123,7 @@
    $pref::Video::monitorNum = 0;
    $pref::Video::only16 = "0";
    $pref::Video::preferOpenGL = "1";
-$pref::Video::profiledRenderer = "GeForce Go 7800 GTX/PCI/SSE2";
+$pref::Video::profiledRenderer = "GeForce 9600M GT/PCI/SSE2";
    $pref::Video::profiledVendor = "NVIDIA Corporation";
    $pref::Video::resolution = "1024 768 32";
    $pref::Video::safeModeOn = "1";

```

```

Index: opera.slinger/client/scripts/default.bind.cs
=====

```

```

--- opera.slinger/client/scripts/default.bind.cs      (.../operaslinger)
    (revision 1)
+++ opera.slinger/client/scripts/default.bind.cs
    (.../operaslinger/branches/OperaSlingerRevised/1.0/operaSlinger)
    (revision 36)

```

```

@@ -12,6 +12,7 @@
//-----
-----

    moveMap.bindCmd(keyboard, "escape", "", "eventManager(7);");
+
//-----
-----
// Movement Keys
//-----
-----
@@ -201,3 +202,298 @@
// cheat keys
    moveMap.bindCmd(keyboard, "alt 4", "cheat(20);", "");

```

```

    moveMap.bindCmd(keyboard, "alt 5", "cheat(21);", "");
+
+//function bindGamePadButtons()
+//{
+    echo("setting up bindGamePadButtons");
+
+    moveMap.bind(joystick, "xaxis", joymovex); //left stick
+    moveMap.bind(joystick, "yaxis", joymovey); //left stick
+    moveMap.bind(joystick, "zaxis", joyyaw); //right stick
+    moveMap.bind(joystick, "rzaxis", joypitch); //right stick
+    moveMap.bind(joystick, button0, joybutton0 );
+    moveMap.bind(joystick, button1, joybutton1 );
+    moveMap.bind(joystick, button2, joybutton2 );
+    moveMap.bind(joystick, button3, joybutton3 );
+    moveMap.bind(joystick, button4, joybutton4 );
+    moveMap.bind(joystick, button5, joybutton5 );
+    moveMap.bind(joystick, button6, joybutton6 );
+    moveMap.bind(joystick, button7, joybutton7 );
+    moveMap.bind(joystick, button8, joybutton8 );
+    moveMap.bind(joystick, button9, joybutton9 );
+
+
+//POV works with TGE 1.4 not with T2D EA build
+
+    moveMap.bind(joystick, "upov", joypovup ); //POV up
+    moveMap.bind(joystick, "dpov", joypovdown ); //POV down
+    moveMap.bind(joystick, "lpov", joypovleft ); //POV left
+    moveMap.bind(joystick, "rpov", joypovright ); //POV right
+//}
+
+
+//Basic Functions - the will //echo to the console and console.log so you
+//can see what is working
+//Change the function contents to whatever you want
+
+
+//Left Stick
+function joymovex(%val)
+{
+    if ($playerCanMove)
+    {
+        //echo("Left stick x: "@%val);
+        if ($pref::Input::Deadzone < %val | - $pref::Input::Deadzone > %val)
+        {
+
+            if ($AIPlayer::PlayerHasMoved == false)
+            {
+                AIPlayer::flipPlayerHasMoved();
+            }
+
+            echo("Left Stick x: "@%val);
+            if (%val > 0)
+            {
+                $mvLeftAction = 0;
+                $mvRightAction = %val * $movementSpeed;
+                echo("Move right");
+            }
+
+        }
+    }
+}

```

```

+         else
+         {
+             $mvRightAction = 0;
+             $mvLeftAction = (%val * $movementSpeed) * -1 ;
+             echo("Move left");
+         }
+     }
+     else
+     {
+         echo("Nothing Happening");
+         $mvRightAction = 0;
+         $mvLeftAction = 0;
+     }
+ }
+
+function joymovey(%val)
+{
+    if ($playerCanMove)
+    {
+        if ($pref::Input::Deadzone < %val | - $pref::Input::Deadzone > %val)
+        {
+            if ($AIPlayer::PlayerHasMoved == false)
+            {
+                AIPlayer::flipPlayerHasMoved();
+            }
+            echo("Left Stick y: "@%val); //debugcode
+            if (%val > 0)
+            {
+                $mvForwardAction = 0;
+                $mvBackwardAction = %val * $movementSpeed;
+                echo("Move backward"); //debugcode
+            }
+            else
+            {
+                $mvBackwardAction = 0;
+                $mvForwardAction = (%val * $movementSpeed) * -1;
+                echo("Move forward");
+            }
+        }
+        else
+        {
+            echo("Nothing Happening");
+            $mvForwardAction = 0;
+            $mvBackwardAction = 0;
+        }
+    }
+}
+
+
+//Right Stick
+function joyyaw(%val)
+{
+    if ($playerCanMove)
+    {

```

```

+
+   echo("Right stick x: "@%val); //Debugcode
+   if ($pref::Input::Deadzone < %val | - $pref::Input::Deadzone > %val)
+   {
+       if (%val > 0)
+       {
+           $mvYawRightSpeed = 0;
+           $mvYawLeftSpeed = %val ? $globalGamePadTurnSpeed : 0;
+       }
+       else
+       {
+           $mvYawLeftSpeed = 0;
+           $mvYawRightSpeed = %val ? $globalGamePadTurnSpeed : 0;
+       }
+   }
+   else
+   {
+       $mvYawLeftSpeed = 0;
+       $mvYawRightSpeed = 0;
+   }
+ }
+}
+
+function joypitch(%val)
+{
+   if ( $playerCanMove)
+   {
+
+       echo("Right stick y: "@%val); //Dubug code
+       if ($pref::Input::Deadzone < %val | - $pref::Input::Deadzone > %val)
+       {
+           if (%val < 0)
+           {
+               $mvPitchUpSpeed = 0;
+               $mvPitchDownSpeed = %val ? $globalGamePadTurnSpeed : 0;
+           }
+           else
+           {
+               $mvPitchDownSpeed = 0;
+               $mvPitchUpSpeed = %val ? $globalGamePadTurnSpeed : 0;
+           }
+       }
+       else
+       {
+           $mvPitchUpSpeed = 0;
+           $mvPitchDownSpeed = 0;
+       }
+   }
+}
+
+
+//Buttons
+function joyButton0(%val)
+{
+   if ($playerCanMove)
+   {

```

```

+
+     if(%val)
+     {
+         eventManager(3);
+         echo("Button 0 pressed");
+     }
+
+ }
+}
+
+function joyButton1(%val)
+{
+    if ($playerCanMove)
+    {
+        if(%val)
+        {
+            $mvTriggerCount0++;
+            echo("Button 1 pressed");
+            if (%val)
+            {
+                $mvTriggerCount2+=2;
+            }
+        }
+    }
+}
+
+function joyButton2(%val)
+{
+    if(%val)
+    {
+        eventManager(5);
+        echo("Button 2 pressed");
+    }
+}
+
+function joyButton3(%val)
+{
+    if(%val)
+    {
+        echo("Button 3 pressed");
+        eventManager(4);
+    }
+}
+
+function joyButton4(%val)
+{
+    if(%val)
+    {
+        echo("Button 4 pressed");
+    }
+}
+
+function joyButton5(%val)

```

```

+{
+  if(%val)
+  {
+    echo("Button 5 pressed");
+  }
+}
+
+function joyButton6(%val)
+{
+  if(%val)
+  {
+    echo("Button 6 pressed");
+  }
+}
+
+function joyButton7(%val)
+{
+  if(%val)
+  {
+    echo("Button 7 pressed");
+  }
+}
+
+function joyButton8(%val)
+{
+  if(%val)
+  {
+    echo("Button 8 pressed");
+  }
+}
+
+function joyButton9(%val)
+{
+  if(%val)
+  {
+    echo("Button 9 pressed");
+  }
+}
+
+//POV hat - //POV works with TGE 1.4 not with T2D EA build
+
+function joyPOVUp(%val)
+{
+  if(%val)
+  {
+    echo("d-pad up pressed");
+  }
+}
+
+function joyPOVDown(%val)
+{
+  if(%val)
+  {
+    echo("d-pad down pressed");
+  }
+}

```

```
+}  
+  
+function joyPOVLeft(%val)  
+{  
+    if(%val)  
+    {  
+        echo("d-pad left pressed");  
+    }  
+}  
+  
+function joyPOVRight(%val)  
+{  
+    if(%val)  
+    {  
+        echo("d-pad right pressed");  
+    }  
+}
```


APPENDIX F: IRB PERMISSION LETTER



University of Central Florida Institutional Review Board
Office of Research & Commercialization
12201 Research Parkway, Suite 501
Orlando, Florida 32826-3246
Telephone: 407-823-2901, 407-882-2012 or 407-882-2276
www.research.ucf.edu/compliance/irb.html

EXPEDITED CONTINUING REVIEW APPROVAL NOTICE

From : UCF Institutional Review Board
FWA00000351, Exp. 10/8/11, IRB00001138

To : Bari H. Ruddy and Denise M. Nicholson

Date : April 22, 2009

IRB Number: SBE-07-05313

Study Title: Treating Voice Disorders in School Age Children in a Gaming Environment

Dear Researcher,

This letter serves to notify you that the continuing review application for the above study was reviewed and approved by the IRB Chair on 04/22/2009 through the expedited review process according to 45 CFR 46 (and/or 21 CFR 50/56 if FDA-regulated). The deletion of Jennifer Vogel-Walcutt as co-investigator to this research was noted.

Continuation of this study has been approved for a one-year period. The expiration date is 04/21/2010. This study was determined to be no more than minimal risk and the category for which this study qualified for expedited review is:

7. Research on individual or group characteristics or behavior (including, but not limited to, research on perception, cognition, motivation, identity, language, communication, cultural beliefs or practices, and social behavior) or research employing survey, interview, oral history, focus group, program evaluation, human factors evaluation, or quality assurance methodologies.

Use of the approved, stamped consent document(s) is required. The new forms supersede all previous versions, which are now invalid for further use. Only approved investigators (or other approved key study personnel) may solicit consent for research participation. Subjects or their representatives must receive a copy of the consent form(s).

All data must be retained in a locked file cabinet for a minimum of three years (six if HIPAA applies) past the completion of this research. Any links to the identification of participants should be maintained on a password-protected computer if electronic information is used. Additional requirements may be imposed by your funding agency, your department, or other entities. Access to data is limited to authorized individuals listed as key study personnel.

To continue this research beyond the expiration date, a Continuing Review Form must be submitted 2 – 4 weeks prior to the expiration date. Use the Unanticipated Problem Report Form or the Serious Adverse Event Form (within 5 working days of event or knowledge of event) to report problems or events to the IRB. Do not make changes to the study (i.e., protocol methodology, consent form, personnel, site, etc.) before obtaining IRB approval. Changes can be submitted for IRB review using the Addendum/Modification Request Form. An Addendum/Modification Request Form cannot be used to extend the approval period of a study. All forms may be completed and submitted online at <https://iris.research.ucf.edu>.

On behalf of Tracy Dietz, Ph.D., UCF IRB Chair, this letter is signed by:

Signature applied by Janice Turchin on 04/22/2009 12:37:31 PM EDT

IRB Coordinator

REFERENCES

- Andrews, M.L., & Summers, A.C. (2002). Voice treatment for children and adolescents, Thomson- Delmar Learning: San Diego.
- Behrman, A. & Orlikoff, R. F. (1997). Instrumentation in voice assessment and treatment: What's the use? *American Journal of Speech- Language Pathology*, 6 (4), 9-16.
- Bennett, C.W., & Runyan, C.M. (1982). Educators' perceptions of the effects of communication disorders upon educational performance. *Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools*, 13, 260-263.
- Bennett, S. & Weinberg, B. (1979). Acoustic correlates of perceived sexual identity in preadolescent children's voices. *Journal of the Acoustic Society of America*, 66, 989-1000.
- Bluestone, C.D., Stool, S. E., Alper, C.M., Arjmand, E.M., Casselbrant, M.L., Dohar, J.E., & Yellon, R.F. (2003). *Pediatric Otolaryngology*, 4th Ed. Saunders: Philadelphia. Vol. 2
- Carding, P.N., Roulstone, S., Northstone, K., & the ALSPAC Study Team. (2006). The prevalence of childhood dysphonia: a cross-sectional study. *Journal of Voice*, 20, 623-630.
- Chen, S. H., Huang, J., & Chang, W. (2003). The efficacy of resonance method to hyperfunctional dysphonia from physiological, acoustic and aerodynamic aspects: The preliminary study. *Asia Pacific Journal of Speech, Language and Hearing*, 8, 200-203.
- Deal, R.E., McClain, B., & Sudderth, J. F. (1976). Identification, evaluation, therapy, and follow-up for children with vocal nodules in a public school setting. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 41(3), 390-397.
- Duff, M.C., Proctor, A., & Yairi, E. (2004). Prevalence of voice disorders in african American and European American preschoolers. *Journal of Voice*, 18 (3), 348- 353.
- Fairbanks, G. (1940). *Voice and articulation drillbook*. New York: Harper & Brothers.
- Freeman, M. & Fawus, M. (2000). *Voice disorders and their management*. 3rd Ed. Whurr Publishers: Philadelphia.
- Fried, M. P., (1996). *The larynx: a multidisciplinary approach*. 2nd Ed. St. Louis: Mosby.
- Glaze, L. E. (1996). Treatment of voice hyperfunction in the pre-adolescent. *Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools*, 27, 244-250.
- Green, G. (1989) Psycho-behavioral characteristics of children with vocal nodules: wpbic ratings. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders*, 54, 306-312.

- Griffiths, M. (2003). The therapeutic use of videogames in childhood and adolescence. *Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 8, 547.
- Hoit, J.D., Hixon, T.J., Watson, P.J., & Morgan, W.J. (1990). Speech breathing in children and adolescents. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research*, 33, 51-69.
- Individuals with Disabilities Education Act Amendments of 1997, Pub. L. No. 105-117, 20 U.S.C., 1400 et seq. (www.ed.gov/policy/speced/leg/idea/idea.pdf)
- Jacobson, B. H., Johnson, A., Grywalski, C., Silbergleit, A., Jacobson, G., Benninger, M.S., & Newman, C. W. (1997). The voice handicap index (VHI): Development and validation. *American Journal of Speech- Language Pathology*, 6(3), 66-70.
- Kahane, J.C. & Mayo, R. (1989). The need for aggressive pursuit of healthy childhood voices. *Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools*, 20, 102-107.
- Kato, P. M., Cole, S.W., Bradlyn, A. S., & Pollock, B. H. (2008). A video game improves behavioral outcomes in adolescents and young adults with cancer: a randomized trial. *Pediatrics*, 122(2), 305- 317.
- Kay Elemetrics (1993). Multi-Dimensional Voice Program (MDVP). [Computer program.] Pine Brook, NJ: Author.
- Kempster, G.B., Gerrat, B.R., Verdolini Abbott, K., Barkmeier-Kraemer, J., Hillman, R.E. (2008). Consensus auditory-perceptual evaluation of voice: Development of a standardized clinical protocol. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 18, 124- 132.
- Kilic, M. A., Okur, E., Yildirim, I., & Guzelsoy, S. (2004). The prevalence of vocal fold nodules in school age children. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*, 68, 409-412.
- Lass, N.J., Rusello, D.M., Stout, L.L., & Hoffman, F.M. (1991). Peer perception of normal and voice-disordered children. *Folia Phiniatr*, 43, 29-35.
- Le Dorze, G., Dionne, L., Ryalls, J., Julien, M., & Ouellet, L. (1992). The effects of speech and language therapy for a case of dysarthria associated with parkinson's disease. *European Journal of Disorders of Communication*, 27, 313-324.
- Microsoft (2007). Office Excel. [Computer program.].
- Mori, K. (1999). Vocal fold nodules in children: preferable therapy. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*, 49 Suppl. 1, S303-S306.
- Myer, C.M., Cotton, R.T., & Shott, S.R. (1995). *The pediatric airway: an interdisciplinary approach*. Philadelphia: J.B. Lippincott Company.

- Nair, R., Clegg, M., & Patel, P.G. (1986). Prevalence of speech and language disorders in 5 year-old kindergarten children in the ottawa-carleton region. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders*, 51, 98-110.
- Nicollas, R., Garrel, R., Ouaknine, M., Giovanni, A., Nazarian, B. & Triglia, J. (2007). Normal voice in children between 6 and 12 years of age: database and nonlinear analysis. *Journal of Voice*, 22(6), 671-675.
- Niedzielska, G. (2001). Acoustic analysis in the diagnosis of voice disorders in children. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*, 57, 189-193.
- Petkar, N., Georgalas, C., & Bhattacharyya, A., (2007) High-rising epiglottis in children: should it cause concern? *The Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine*, 20(5).
- Pohunek, P. (2004). Development, structure and function of the upper airways. *Pediatric Respiratory Reviews*, 5, 2- 8.
- Powell, M., Filter, M.D., & Williams, B. (1989). A longitudinal study of the prevalence of voice disorders in children from a rural school division. *Journal Communication Disorders*, 22, 375-382.
- Rahul, K.S., Geralyn, H., Woodnorth, A. G., & Roger, C. N. (2005). Pediatric vocal nodules: Correlation with perceptual voice analysis. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*, 69(7), 903-909.
- Ramig, L.O., & Verdolini, K. (1998) Treatment efficacy: voice disorders, *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 41, S101- S116.
- Redd, H. W., Jacobsen, P. B., Die-Trill, M., Dermatis, H., McEvoy, M., & Holland, J. C. (1987). Cognitive/attentional distraction in the control of conditioned nausea in pediatric cancer patients receiving chemotherapy. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 55 (3), 391-395.
- Roy, N., Holt, K.I., Redmond, S., & Muntz, H. (2005). Behavioral characteristics of children with vocal fold nodules. *Journal of Voice*, 21(2), 157-168.
- Roy, N., Weinrich, B., Gray, S., Tanner, K., Stemple, J., & Sapienza, C. (2003). Three treatments for teachers with voice disorders: A randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 46, 670-688.
- Ruddy, B.H., & Sapienza, C.M. (2004). Treating voice disorders in school-based setting: Working within the framework of idea. *Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools*, 35, 327- 332.
- Ryalls, J., Michallet, B., & Le Dorze (1994). A preliminary evaluation of the clinical effectiveness of vowel training for hearing-impaired children on ibm speechview. *The Volta Review*, 96, 19-30.

- Sapienza, C. M., Ruddy, B. H., & Baker, S. (2004). Laryngeal structure and function in the pediatric larynx: clinical applications. *Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in School*, 35, 299-307.
- Shavelson, R.J. (1996). *Statistical reasoning for the behavioral sciences*, 3rd Ed. Allyn and Bacon: Boston.
- Smitheran J.R. & Hixon, T. J. (1981). A clinical method for estimating laryngeal airway resistance during vowel production. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders*, 46(2), 138-146.
- Stemple, J.C. (2000). *Voice therapy: Clinical studies* (2nd ed.). San Diego, CA: Singular Publishing Group.
- Stemple, J.C., Glaze, L.E., & Klaben, B.G. (2000). *Clinical voice pathology: theory and management*, 3rd Ed. Singular Thomson Learning: Ohio
- Stemple, J., Weinrich, B., Brehm, S. B. (2008). *Phonatory aerodynamic system: A clinical manual*.
- Silverman, E., & Zimmer, C.H. (1974). Incidence of chronic hoarseness among school-age children. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders*, 211-215.
- Titze, I.R. (2003). A theoretical study of F0-F1 interaction with application to resonant speaking and singing voice. *Journal of Voice*, 18, 292-298.
- Toohill R.J. (1975). The psychosomatic aspects of children with vocal nodules. *Arch Otolaryngol*, 101: 591- 595.
- Verdolini, K., Hersan, R., & Kessler, L.. Lessac-madsen resonant voice therapy-kids (LMRVT-kids). Retrieved January 12, 2009, from Tools to Rehabilitate Children's Voice Disorders Website: <http://www.psha.org/Handouts/Short%20Course%203.pdf>.
- Verdolini-Abbott, K. (2008). *Lessac madsen resonant voice therapy clinician manual*. Plural Publishing.
- Verdolini-Marston, K., Burke, M. K., Lessac, A., Glaze, L., & Caldwell, E. (1995). Preliminary study of two method of treatment for laryngeal nodules. *Journal of Voice*, 9, 74-85.
- Wohl, D. L. (2005). Clinical challenges in otolaryngology: Nonsurgical management of pediatric vocal fold nodules. *Archive of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery*, 131, 68-70.
- Wood, R. T., Griffiths, M. D., Chappell, D., Davis, M. N. (2004). The structural characteristics of video games: A psycho-structural analysis. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*. 7, 1-10.
- Zur, K. B., Cotton, S., Kelchner, L., Baker, S., Weinrich, B., & Lee, L. (2007). Pediatric voice handicap index (pvhi): A new tool for evaluating pediatric dysphonia. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*, 71, 77-82.