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Mechanical alloying (MA) of blended elemental powder mixtures of Fe_{42}Zr_{10}X_{28}B_{20} (X=Al, Co, Ge, Mn, Ni, or Sn) was carried out to determine their glass-forming ability (GFA) (as determined by the time required to form the amorphous phase). During milling, amorphization was achieved in systems with X=Al, Ge, or Ni, but not in the other systems. The GFA could be correlated with the total number of intermetallics present in the constituent binary phase diagrams. Thus, this work offers the equilibrium phase diagram as a predictive tool to determine if amorphization can be achieved by the MA method. © 2007 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2713867]

Metallic glasses have an attractive combination of physical, chemical, and mechanical properties attributed to their atomic structure. While high solidification rates (about 10^6 K/s) were required to produce metallic glasses from the liquid state in the form of thin ribbons, it has been possible to reduce the critical cooling rate required to form metallic glasses to as low as 1 K/s by optimizing the nature of the constituent elements and alloy composition. Consequently, the section thickness has been increased to several tens of millimeters, and these are referred to as bulk metallic glasses. Several attempts have been made to understand the mechanism of amorphization and to determine the criterion for glass formation in order to predict alloy compositions that possess high glass-forming ability (GFA). One of the earliest criteria proposed was that an alloy with a high reduced glass transition temperature (T_g) defined as T_g = T/ng, where T_g is the glass transition temperature and ng is the liquidus/melting temperature, exhibits a high GFA. Other criteria have also been proposed in recent years.

It has not been possible to obtain large section thicknesses in Fe-based bulk metallic glasses through the solidification route; the maximum reported is about 16 mm. Since mechanical alloying (MA) has been known to be an efficient method to synthesize amorphous alloy powders, our objective was to produce large sections of amorphous Fe-based alloys by this method. This has been proven feasible by producing amorphous Fe-based alloy powders through high-energy ball milling and by consolidating them to different section thicknesses. In the course of these investigations, we have identified a simple criterion to determine the GFA of alloy systems.

A number of quaternary alloy compositions based on Fe and with the general composition Fe_{42}X_{28}Zr_{10}B_{20} (where X = Al, Co, Ge, Mn, Ni, or Sn) were selected for the present study. The element X was selected based on the number of intermetallics it forms with Zr under equilibrium conditions at room temperature. This number increases from 1 with Mn to 8 with Al, which provides a basis to analyze the results systematically. Further, the negative heat of formation of the intermetallics with Zr is much higher than that with either Fe or B. The probability of forming an intermetallic with Zr during milling is higher than with Fe or B.

Pure elemental powders of >99.9% purity were blended together for each alloy system, and MA was carried out in a high-energy SPEX CertiPrep 8000 D shaker mill using hardened steel balls, maintaining a ball-to-powder weight ratio of 10:1. The weighing, blending, loading, and unloading of powders were carried out inside a glove box filled with a controlled atmosphere of argon, so as to minimize powder contamination. The phase evolution during milling was monitored by x-ray diffraction (XRD).

Figure 1 shows the XRD patterns of the blended elemental (BE) powder mixture of Fe_{42}Al_{28}Zr_{10}B_{20} as a function of milling time. It is noted that amorphization has commenced after about 10 h of milling, as evidenced by the presence of a broad diffuse peak at the position of the (110)Fe peak. This broad diffuse peak continued to be present until about 40 h of milling, suggesting that the amorphous phase produced is quite stable. However, on milling this amorphous powder further, this diffuse peak started to become sharp. On continued milling, some additional sharp peaks appeared, suggesting that the previously formed amorphous phase had crystallized, a phenomenon referred to as mechanical crystallization. But during the early stages of milling, e.g., less than 10 h, the XRD patterns clearly showed evidence of formation of the intermetallic phases in the milled powders.

FIG. 1. XRD patterns of blended elemental powder mix of Fe_{42}Al_{28}Zr_{10}B_{20} as a function of milling time. Note that the amorphous phase has started to form on milling for about 10 h and that the amorphous phase was stable up to about 40 h. Milling beyond this time resulted in mechanical crystallization of the amorphous powder.
Fe₄₂Al₂₈Zr₁₀B₂₀ powder (Fig. 2). Similar observations of amorphous phase formation on milling the BE powder mixtures were additionally noted in alloy systems with X=Ge and Ni.

Figure 3 shows the XRD patterns of the Fe₄₂Co₂₈Zr₁₀B₂₀ powder mix as a function of milling time. In contrast to the above results, an amorphous phase did not form in the Co-containing alloy. Instead, only a solid solution phase was obtained on milling for 10 h, which continued to be stable even up to 30 h of milling. Similarly, amorphization was not achieved in powder blends containing Mn and Sn.

The phase formation sequence in all the six powder blends can be summarized into three groups:

1. BE powder → Intermetallics → Solid solution
   Examples: Mn- and Sn-containing systems,
2. BE powder → Solid solution
   Example: Co-containing system,
3. BE powder → Intermetallics → Amorphous phase → Mechanical Crystallization
   Examples: Al-, Ge-, and Ni-containing systems.

The time required for amorphization, which can be considered a measure of the GFA of the alloy, is also different for different powder blends. Table I summarizes the results obtained in this investigation, including the equilibrium number of intermetallics present between X and the constituent elements (Zr, Fe, or B) in the powder blend.

Let us now look at the reasons for the formation of an amorphous phase in some select systems and not in all. A close examination of Table I clearly reveals that the ease of amorphization (i.e., GFA) increases with the number of intermetallics present in the constituent Zr–X binary phase diagrams. This is apparent from the powder blends containing Al or Ni, which amorphize in 10 or 20 h, respectively. While the quaternary Fe–Zr–Al–B contains eight intermetallic phases in the binary system between Zr and Al, the Fe–Zr–Ni–B contains seven intermetallic phases in the binary system between Zr and Ni. Similarly, the Ge-containing system which also amorphizes in 10 h, contains five intermetallics between Zr and Ge. The Zr–Co, Zr–Sn, and Zr–Mn binary systems which do not show amorphization contain five, three, and one intermetallics, respectively.

The situation is, however, different when we consider the total number of intermetallics present in all the constituent binary alloy systems. Thus, in the glass-forming systems, the total number of intermetallics present in all the constituent binary alloy systems is more than 10. For example, the total number of intermetallics between element X and Zr, Fe, or B is 15 (maximum) in the system containing Al, followed by Ni with 12. Amorphization of Ge-containing alloy, with a total of ten intermetallics, is explained by the fact that Ge is semimetallic in nature with a covalent bonding and therefore has an easy tendency to amorphize compared to other elements. Accordingly, it is noted that when the total number of intermetallics is ≥10, amorphization is observed in the systems. If it is less than this, amorphous phase formation is not

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>Number of intermetallics between X and Zr</th>
<th>Total number of intermetallics</th>
<th>Milling time required for amorphization (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>No amorphization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>No amorphization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>No amorphization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. Summary of the results of amorphization including the number of intermetallics between the element X and Zr, Fe, or B.
The formation of an amorphous phase can be attributed to the increase in free energy of the system. On the other hand, when a solid solution forms during milling, the only defects that can be introduced into the system are dislocations and grain boundaries, and thus the energy increase is much less than what can be achieved in an intermetallic. Consequently, amorphous phase formation becomes difficult once a solid solution phase had formed. Further, the disorder induced in an alloy during MA is comparable to the disorder caused by an increase in temperature of the same alloy.18,19 Thus, during milling, the effective temperature of the system can be considered to have increased from ambient to an elevated temperature.

From the above analysis it can be concluded that by observing the equilibrium phase diagrams it is possible to predict whether a system can form an amorphous phase during MA or not. If the alloy system contains a solid solution phase over a wide composition range, amorphization is not possible. On the other hand, when a large number of intermetallics are present, amorphization is easy. This has been demonstrated with a number of alloy systems.
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