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Abstract

Music is often studied in terms of its artistic value and expressiveness. While these are important characteristics, there are other observations we can make of scientific value, such as the effects of music on the human anatomy. At present, however, there is a general lack of scientific studies focusing on the effect music makes on specific physiological responses in the body. A limited range of these studies has included examinations of music preferences and correlating personality characteristics of participants, while some others have investigated the effects of music lessons on intelligence\(^1\). While the previously mentioned research has contributed to some scientific understanding with regard to human physiology, it has not addressed how specific physiological processes of the human body responds to music. Through my own research, I hope to add to the body of musical research and health sciences, and help to close the gap between these two fields.

In this study, one selection from a pool of five audio examples of different musical genres will be played to participants while their heart rate is monitored with a wrist-worn device in order to gauge possible differences in heart rate that might occur as they experience music. This assessment will also be paired with a self-perceived mood questionnaire by my participants in order to identify any correlations between the two. After my data collection is

complete, I will statistically analyze the information and examine any parallels between the musical selections, genre, heart rate, and self-perceived mood. By analyzing this data, I hope to gain insight into possible human physiological responses as the subjects are exposed to different musical examples from various genres. I also hope to investigate the psychological realm of music, and determine its practical use in the medical field with regard to music therapy, which can lead to various treatments in post-traumatic stress disorder, and communicative diseases.
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Introduction

It is surprising to see the existence of tempo in both nature and our everyday lives. The rate at which we walk, breathe, talk, and even the rates in which our hearts beat varies from person to person. Heart rate, one of the variables in my study, is an important response to monitor because it can also be a crucial key in identifying certain medical symptoms and conditions, from arrhythmias and hypertension, to palpitations.²

One might assume that the body of research, specifically physiological responses to music, is tremendous; however, it is surprisingly limited. While some scientific fields (neurology and psychology) have provided some foundations in this area, I intend to build upon the areas that they have not addressed. My research seeks to connect the emotional and psychological responses to music with specific physiological factors (mainly heart rate, with regard to this study) as opposed to investigating each variable separately. By doing so, we may be able to interpret other factors at play during the process of listening to music.

There are some studies that have dealt with physiological responses to music, and most of them are found in the areas of neurology and psychology. In the field of sports medicine, the Karageorghis, Jones, and Low (2006) study partially examined the correlation between heart rate during exercise and musical tempo, and found that faster musical tempos (only during high

² According to Hollinhead’s Textbook of Anatomy and Medical-Surgical Nursing – Critical Thinking of Collaborative Care, the average resting heart rate in humans is typically anywhere in between 60-100 beats per minute (bpm). Lower than 60 bpm is usually classified as bradycardia, while over than 100 bpm is usually classified as tachycardia. Factors that can affect heart rate include sex, age, body temperature, digestion, pain, emotion, blood pressure, exercise, breathing, medications, illness, and heart disease.
intensity exercise) seemed to create an increase in participants’ heart rates. During the research phase, they found that musical tempo preference (whether the participant favored faster or slower tempos) had some interaction with exercise intensity, but gender did not correlate at all.

Another example, “Music and the Brain” by Robert J. Zatorre, examined a neurological perspective to the study of music by exploring issues of musical imagery, music and emotion, and absolute pitch. Zatorre’s research attempted to illustrate methods involved in assessing subjective situations with objective techniques (like functional neuroimaging), examining the neural systems associated with internal phenomena (for example, absolute pitch), and raised questions about the broader role of music in the human experience. Zattorre addressed some important notions, such as the difficult question of how to quantify something as subjective as an emotional response to music. Zattorre found that the areas of the brain which produced emotional responses in music seemed to be the same as those that produced emotions elicited by other stimuli, including visual imagery. Furthermore, he found various correlations between musical effects and areas of the brain that are epicenters for other major processes.

In terms of musical imagery, participants in Zatorre’s study were asked to judge the pitch change between two syllables in a well-known song. They were able to do so with

---


consistent accuracy, showing that they had an “internal representation of the pitch structure.”

This served as an accurate response, giving an objective answer to a subjective question.

Zatorre modified his study by performing the same experiment while using a neuroimaging device to monitor brain response and observe which areas of the brain seemed to be involved with the activities. Zatorre also examined participants with brain lesions and attempted to associate those lesions with auditory centers in the brain. He found that perception and imagery suffered from poor performance in normal pitch-judgment tasks on both music that was played and those that the participants were told to imagine after damage to the right temporal lobe. The study concluded that imagery does depend on a partially shared neural substrate that is part of the perceptual analysis mechanism. When we imagine an event, neural systems that are involved in perception are activated.

There are multiple factors that add to the difficulty of studying emotions and music in a scientific context. Emotional responses can be influenced by educational, historical, sociocultural, and contextual variables, making it difficult to establish a consistent experimental protocol. The sheer difficulty of investigating emotion in a scientific manner makes it all the more important to acknowledge this study. While Zatorre’s research examined responses to dissonance, he did not consider the changes in the mood of the participants. This portion of his work examined how people responded to dissonance through a trial where a tonal melody was

\[\text{Ibid., 6.} \]

\[\text{Ibid., 7.} \]
accompanied by chords with varying degrees of dissonance. By using this technique, the degree of dissonance could be varied in order to measure changes in brain activity without having to choose a baseline condition. This allowed any change noted to be related to the parameter varied. For example, participants listened to this music while making judgments about their pleasantness and generally confirmed that the more dissonant the example, the less pleasant the experience.\(^7\) We might suggest that any changes noted in brain activity could be attributed to the degree of dissonance.

In the field of music therapy, Aldridge, Gustorff, and Hannidge (1990) examined improvised singing by a music therapist to a comatose patient, and related the variations in the therapist’s music to the patient’s breathing and Electroencephalography (EEG) patterns.\(^8\) A range of reactions were noted in the subjects, such as their breathing becoming slower and deeper, grabbing movements of the hand, turning of the head and eyes opening, and finally to their regaining of consciousness. Generally, when the therapist first began to sing the heart rate of the patients slowed down. The heart rate then rose rapidly and continued at this elevated level until the end of the therapy session.\(^9\) EEG measurements showed changes from theta

---

\(^7\) Ibid., 10.


\(^9\) The Aldridge study does not provide statistical information on increase in heart rate, and states this increase as “rapidly.” Ibid., 345.
rhythms to alpha rhythms or beta rhythms; however, these effects dissipated after the sessions stopped.\(^{10}\)

In a project similar to the Aldridge study, Darcy D. Walworth’s research “Effect of Live Music Therapy for Patients Undergoing Magnetic Resonance Imaging,” was the first published study to use live music therapy during MRI scans.\(^{11}\) As one of the preliminary steps in their experiment, a baseline score of the participants’ anxiety level was assessed with a statistical tool used to obtain the means of multiple populations (known as MANOVA). A music therapist examined musical preferences in the population and then played acoustic guitar, accompanied by their voice to the pulse of the MRI machine. The result of the study was that participants receiving the live music therapy had fewer scans repeated due to movement. Participants receiving live music therapy also requested fewer breaks from the scan (one in the experimental group versus eight in the control group). On average, people receiving the live music required less time to complete the scans.\(^{12}\)

Montag, Reuter, and Axmacher’s research, “How one’s favorite song activates the reward circuitry of the brain: Personality matters!” was another project, like Walworth’s, that involved the use of an MRI machine (more specifically, an fMRI device).\(^{13}\) This study compared

\(^{10}\) Ibid., 345.


\(^{12}\) Ibid., 350.

\(^{13}\) fMRI is an acronym for Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging. This procedure measures brain activity by detecting associated changes in blood flow related to energy use by brain cells.
brain activity in participants as they listened to a song that they (the participants) selected as their favorite. The researchers then compared that brain activity to measurements taken during the playing of a song that they did not like (again, the selection was chosen by the participants). Montag explained “This direct comparison is relevant because it directly modulates the dimension of subjective pleasantness.”\textsuperscript{14} The results showed that people who reported themselves as “easily getting absorbed by the arts” had less activity in the ventral striatum, demonstrating a higher “self-forgetfulness trait.”\textsuperscript{15} The activation of the ventral striatum was used as a method of quantifying the reaction to the participant’s chosen favorite song because this area of the brain is strongly associated with emotional aspects of behavior. This study presented another way that we can possibly document an emotional reaction to music, and how to apply it to other situations.

Although the previous studies are important in their own right, they do not examine the effects of music and how they may correlate to heart rate, self-perceived mood, or physiological responses at any point. But by individually examining the relationship between physiological and psychological responses, we might be able to investigate how both stand as important factors to consider in how people respond to music. While these studies I have noted do not examine the previously mentioned correlations, some of them do address variables that are of use to this particular study.


\textsuperscript{15} Ibid., 511.
The Zatorre study relates to my research because each participant in my experiment will have external circumstances that may affect the way they react to music, especially if they are familiar with a particular piece. By observing the interaction between the outside factors and neural processes, we might be able to quantify changes in mood by exploring certain variables I will establish in my experiment. In order to investigate changes in heart rate with my study, we must also look at what psychological processes may be occurring at the time, as also noted by the Zatorre study.

While the Zatorre study examined the response to dissonance, it only investigated a very small aspect of human awareness. As shown in the Aldridge, Gustorff, and Hannidge research, the participants responded to music at differing levels of consciousness. Their results relate especially to my work because the physiological responses they noted were quantified by heart rate (a variable in my research) and EEG measurements. Although Aldridge, Gustorff, and Hannidge were able to measure physiological response, they did not associate it with possible emotional content of the music or change in mood, which is something I intend to explore in my research.

If indeed there is a correlation between tempo, heart rate, and self-perceived mood, practitioners of medicine will have yet another tool at their disposal to treat people, especially in the field of music therapy. There may even be a possibility that music may be more powerful in helping certain conditions, like depression and post-traumatic stress disorder, as opposed to using pharmaceutical drugs as a singular remedy. By examining these correlations and
individual variables with respect to differing musical genres over a large population with varied backgrounds, we may even be able to make current treatments for some disorders even more effective.
Methods

Participants

By contacting former professors from other departments, I was able to obtain a group of thirty volunteers to participate in this study. A total of nineteen men and eleven women, ranging in age from eighteen to twenty-four took part in this research.

Materials and Methods

A New Balance “Heart Fit” wrist worn heart rate monitor was used in order to measure heart rate. The survey (see Appendix B) contained both pre- and post-tests and was administered to track self perceived mood changes, while examining the demographics of the study population. A laptop with the five chosen musical selections loaded onto an iTunes library and a set of Apple Earphones were used to play these selections to participants. Microsoft Excel was used to record results and analyze data onto graphs and tables. Finally, alcohol wipes were used to sanitize the heart monitor and earphones prior to each participant’s use.

Procedure

Six groups were created from the total research population: one for each musical selection and one for a baseline reading of heart rate. Participants were asked to wait outside of the room in which the project was administered so that their heart rates could return to a resting rate before monitoring. An initial resting heart rate reading was measured by a wrist-worn pulse monitor for all of the assigned groups. After the preliminary heart rate reading, the pre-test portion of the self-perceived mood survey was administered. Once participants

16 This heart rate monitor was calibrated to manufacturer’s specifications.
finished the pre-test portion of the self-perceived mood survey, two minutes of a musical selection were played through a set of Apple Earphones via a laptop at 50% of the computer’s maximum volume. The participant’s heart rate was monitored in ten-second intervals and recorded on a Microsoft Excel document for analysis. After the musical selection was completed, heart rate was observed for another two minutes in ten second intervals. The post-test section of the self-perceived mood survey was then administered in order to assess any changes in mood that may have occurred in the participants during the musical selection. Participants were instructed not to exert themselves physically any more than they would on a normal basis before the study (i.e. exercising beforehand, biking instead of driving that day, or perform any other form of physical activity that could skew the data). They were also asked to take the elevator instead of the stairs in order to minimize inconsistencies in heart rate that may be caused by physical exertion prior to the study.

The six musical selections for this study are as follows, and each of the participants in each group were played one excerpt of these listed examples. Prelude from Suite No. 1 for Unaccompanied Cello (by Johann Sebastian Bach), which is a piece commonly popularized through television (specifically, in commercials), and would most likely be a work with which the participants are familiar. Vox Balaenae (by George Crumb) represents a modern work based on whale songs, and is unlikely to be known by the participants, since it is rarely performed. “As Vesta Was Descending” (by Thomas Weelkes) is an English madrigal also unlikely to be heard previously. While the two previous examples might be less distinguished, the next, Ludwig van Beethoven’s Symphony No. 5, Op. 67 (First Movement) is a piece likely known to a general
audience. The final musical selection, *Libertango* (by Astor Piazzolla) is a tango and is most likely one that the participants would not have heard before. Additionally, a control group of silence where no sound whatsoever was played through the headphones, stands as the sixth example.

All of these compositions have been selected ‘seemingly’ at random between pieces that would perhaps be well known (i.e. Beethoven’s Fifth Symphony), and those that are not as immediately known to the general population. Furthermore, I have attempted to select works that cover a variety of genres, compositional periods, and instrumental/vocal combinations. Each piece was chosen with variance in mind. Works that participants might know were randomly assigned with those in which they might be unaware to avoid skewing the data. While it would be difficult to find pieces of music that none of the participants would know, I have attempted to vary the selections between “known” and “unknown” works in order to remove as much bias as possible.
Results and Discussion

Heart Rate:

After data collection, the information from each of the six groups was plotted on a line graph (based on time and heart rate) using Microsoft Excel. With the silence group serving as a control, the other graphs were compared for general trends. The vertical axis is heart rate in terms of beat per minute. The horizontal axis is time in minutes and seconds (with the P-time being heart rate after music has stopped). The legend on the right for each line denotes each participant.\textsuperscript{17}

\textsuperscript{17} Lapses of data in this graph may occur due to an alteration in the amount of time in which heart rate was monitored. Initially, readings were intended to be a two-minute period. After consultation with a molecular biologist and further research, it was advised that heart rate could be monitored for another two-minute period after the musical selection had ended in order to observe any possible changes.
Figure 1: Silence Heart Rate Graph

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P15</th>
<th>P21</th>
<th>P27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0:00</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:10</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:30</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:50</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:20</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:40</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0:10</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0:20</td>
<td></td>
<td>77</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0:30</td>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
With respect to heart rate, I will now compare the data from each musical selection side by side with the silence control group. By looking at the heart rate of participants not listening to a musical selection, we immediately see that heart rate has its own natural curvature and inflections. Comparing the members in this group, no perceptible pattern seems to emerge.

Figure 2: Silence Data Table
Prelude from Suite No. 1 for Unaccompanied Cello (Johann Sebastian Bach)

Figure 3: Bach Heart Rate Graph
With regard to the control group, the first observation we can make between Figure 1 and Figure 3 is that the Bach graph is far more disjunct. A decrease in heart rate seems to emerge around the 0:30-0:40 interval in Figure 3, while a general increase in heart rate seems to occur around the 1:40-1:50 interval. After the two-minute musical selection had elapsed, the
graph in Figure 3 seems to move in a more conjunct way between the P0:40-P1:00 intervals.\textsuperscript{18}

We might suggest that there are two factors in the Bach selection that could account for the trend of increased heart rate at 1:40-1:50: a general crescendo (increase in performance volume) and accelerando (increase in performance speed) that occurs during the span of these particular time stamps.

\textit{Vox Balaenae (George Crumb)}

![Crumb Heart Rate Graph](image)

\textsuperscript{18} P in this instance, and in all future instances refers to the heart rate reading after the musical selection has stopped playing.
During Vox Balaenae, a general decrease in heart rate occurred within the first 20 seconds of the work. After the initial heart rate reading, the highest rate for the majority of participants during the time monitored occurred between 1:20-1:30. This coincides with a flute melody with cello pizzicato (plucking of strings) accompaniment occurring near the 1:10 mark. The difference in timbre and texture might have evoked a different emotional response. This change of musical and emotional affect could be a factor that may have caused a change in the participants’ physiological response.
"As Vesta Was Descending" (Thomas Weelkes)

Figure 7: Weelkes Heart Rate Graph
As indicated in Figure 7, no significant change in heart rate patterns seemed to occur within this musical selection as compared to the silence control group in Figure 1. It is worthy to note that “As Vesta Was Descending” was the only vocal piece in this study, with a musical setting that is more placid and subdued compared to the other works that served as examples.
The graph in Figure 7 representing changes in heart rates for this piece is highly conjunct and does not exhibit any leaps exceeding ten beats per minute per ten-second interval.

**Symphony No. 5, Op.67 (First Movement) (Ludwig van Beethoven)**

![Figure 9: Beethoven Heart Rate Graph](image_url)
The general trend in participant heart rate for Beethoven’s Fifth Symphony (as noted in Figure 9) tends to include peaks during the intervals at 0:40-0:50 and 1:30-1:40. During the former interval at 0:40-0:50 in the recording, the brass enters with a forte accent (in a very loud and abrupt manner) along with the timpani. This sudden change in musical texture could account for the increase in heart rate at that interval. From the time period between 1:30-1:40, a restatement of the original theme (the famous short-short-long motive) occurs. Because this
motive was previously stated at the beginning, the anticipation for the recognized material may have caused the heart rate to rise to a higher level than it had previously peaked. However, we must also consider that the “blind” nature of both my experimental design and the candidates who were studied in my research could mean that this anticipatory effect may not account for each of the participants, since we cannot assume that any of the individuals were previously aware of this piece.
Figure 11: Piazzolla Heart Rate Graph
This work produced the least amount of heart rate deviation from the silence control group (please compare Figures 1 and 11). The graph in Figure 11 was the most conjunct out of any of the musical examples in this experiment, with the exception of one individual.

Participant 19 experienced a large drop in heart rate from 79 bpm to 42 bpm during the interval between 0:30-0:40. While 79 bpm is still within the normal range of heart rate, 42 bpm would be considered below normal (bradycardia). This may be due to a number of factors, including

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P3</th>
<th>P13</th>
<th>P19</th>
<th>P25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0:00</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:10</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:20</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:30</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:40</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:50</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:20</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:40</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0:10</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0:20</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0:30</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0:40</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0:50</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1:00</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1:10</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1:20</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1:30</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1:40</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1:50</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2:00</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
illness, medication (participant 19 was on a birth control prescription called Generess\textsuperscript{19}), or perhaps another underlying medical condition that may have played into such a dramatic shift in heart rate. It is also possible that the heart rate monitor may have misread this interval leading to a variance in the data, although this notion is unlikely since the monitor produced results that showed no other inconsistencies at any point in the experiment.

Mood:

The following table lists data in the order of participant, their acknowledged mood before test (on a scale of -3 to 3), their acknowledged mood after test (on a scale of -3 to 3), whether or not the participant found the music pleasing, gender, age, if the participant was familiar with the piece, composer, and an adjective that they were asked to include to describe the work.\textsuperscript{20}


\textsuperscript{20} Because my questionnaire was modified near the end of my trials to examine other variables, some spaces in the Figure 13 have been left blank. Participants also had the option to leave certain responses unanswered.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>Pleasing</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Familiar</th>
<th>Composer</th>
<th>Adjective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Crumb</td>
<td>Mysterious</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Bach</td>
<td>Suspenseful</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Piazzolla</td>
<td>Upbeat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Weelkes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Beethoven</td>
<td>Alarmed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Crumb</td>
<td>Disconcerting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Bach</td>
<td>Peaceful</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Piazzolla</td>
<td>Parisian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tango</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Weelkes</td>
<td>Triumphant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Beethoven</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Crumb</td>
<td>Disjunct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Bach</td>
<td>Contrapuntal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Piazzolla</td>
<td>Teasing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Weelkes</td>
<td>Chilled</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P15</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>Silence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Beethoven</td>
<td>Exhilarating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Crumb</td>
<td>Suspicious</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Piazzolla</td>
<td>Upbeat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Weelkes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>Silence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Beethoven</td>
<td>Progressing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Crumb</td>
<td>Oriental</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P24</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Bach</td>
<td>Humorous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Piazzolla</td>
<td>Flexible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Weelkes</td>
<td>Relaxed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>Silence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Beethoven</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Crumb</td>
<td>Ritualistic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Bach</td>
<td>Exciting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 13: Participant Demographics

---

As previously mentioned, the experimental design (including self-perceived mood questionnaire) was modified to take into account other variables that may affect the results. Some fields, notably whether a participant found a song pleasing or displeasing, may be left blank, as that question was not posed on the original questionnaire.
Out of all thirty participants involved in this study, all but three people experienced either no change in self-perceived mood, or an increase in self-perceived mood. Of the three participants who experienced a decrease, all were male, and two out of the three were unfamiliar with their musical selection. This could have been due to the extent of how much each participant either cared for or did not care for the piece, how the participants interpreted the emotional content of the recording in general, or whether they had ever heard their selection before. Another factor at play could have been the participant’s desire to give the results they believed I desired in the study questionnaire.

All but one of the participant responses indicated the music as “pleasing.” Participant 23 found the Crumb example displeasing. This work is a contemporary composition based on whale calls, quite unorthodox in its approach, and does not possess many of the features that one would “commonly” associate as a musical work. This piece exhibits percussive sounds produced on the flute and other oddly extended musical effects on the instruments for which it is scored. It is possible that this example would be more difficult for some to grasp. Participant 6 even used the word “disconcerting” to describe this recording.
Conclusions and Future Studies

From the pool of participants, observed changes in heart rate (generally in increase) and self-perceived mood were noted. While the results of my study can not conclusively prove that music effects heart rate and mood, the data has shown certain trends that deviate from the normal pattern of heart rate observed during the silence control group. The majority of participants’ self-perceived moods remained the same, or increased after the musical selection as indicated by the pre and post-test questionnaire (Appendix B).

In terms of applications for my study, these results could have meaning in a variety of ways. In terms of conditions like depression, musical selections may be the first approach a doctor could use before prescribing pharmaceutical medicines. These results can also be applied to less severe circumstances as simple as attempting to make one’s mood more positive. Because the majority of participants experienced either no change or an increase in self-perceived mood, the risk of lowering one’s mood is highly unlikely. Increases in heart rate are typically not desired in medical applications, but in terms of exercise and general health, music can be used as an aid to raise heart rate to a target level. This would be beneficial to overweight populations attempting to gain the most from their exercise routines, or athletes attempting to train for events. For populations suffering from heart disease, or coronary damage from a stroke/heart attack would be able to use this data to avoid certain factors that may cause an unwanted and dangerous increase in heart rate (possibly triggering another cardiac event).
Future studies based on this research could include examining the musical factors that contribute to the changes in physiological and psychological responses. Scans of the brain could further detail what mechanisms are being triggered and when, in terms of changes observed when listening to each musical example. By examining these characteristics of music that cause certain physiological reactions in the body, an attempt might be made to compose music to help remedy a number of medical conditions, including sleep disorders, by easing the patient into a more relaxed state of mind.

Although my study examines only a narrow range of factors associated with human response to music, I feel that it can serve as a basis of research for more extended studies. By examining other factors in addition to the ones in this study, a greater understanding of the physiological and psychological effects music has on humans can be obtained through practical applications of music and the response(s) it can elicit. We hopefully will understand the exact mechanisms in the body that contribute to the process of listening to music and whether or not our ability to derive pleasure from music has any sort of medical implications for wellness. Connections between certain musical characteristics and areas in the brain with previously studied associations can be made, and a greater approach to physiological and psychological understandings of music hopefully could lead to many other implications for modern medical practice.
Appendix A: HRP-302a Adult Consent Form
The Effect of Music on Physiological Responses and Self-Perceived Mood

Informed Consent

Principal Investigator: R. Joe Gennaro Ph.D.
Co-Investigator: Robert-Christian Sanchez
Sponsor: UCF Department of Music
Investigational Site(s): UCF Department of Music

Introduction: Researchers at the University of Central Florida (UCF) study many topics. To do this we need the help of people who agree to take part in research studies. You are being invited to take part in a research study which will include about 100 student and faculty members at UCF. You must be 18 years of age or older to be included in the research study.

The person doing this research is R. Joe Gennaro Ph.D. of the UCF Department of Music. A UCF student learning about research is helping to do this study as part of the research team. His name is Robert-Christian Sanchez.

What you should know about a research study:

- Someone will explain this research study to you.
- A research study is something you volunteer for.
- Whether or not you take part is up to you.
- You should take part in this study only because you want to.
- You can choose not to take part in the research study.
- You can agree to take part now and later change your mind.
- Whatever you decide it will not be held against you.
- Feel free to ask all the questions you want before you decide.

Purpose of the research study: The purpose of this study is to investigate the physiological responses and self-perceived mood in correlation to musical selections. By observing this change, we can gain insight into the beneficial and therapeutic uses of music. This study could
potentially be useful in research dealing with cardiac conditions, circulatory problems, and even depression.

**What you will be asked to do in the study:** The study will be simple, and non-invasive. Participants will be asked to wear a wrist-worn heart monitor that measures pulse, as well as give an initial survey rating their self-perceived mood. Participants will be randomly sorted into six groups prior to arrival, and will then either be asked to listen to a single musical selection drawn from a group of five musical works of varying styles and tempos, or they will be in a control group with no music, but heart rate will still be monitored for change. After each individual sound clip, participants will also be asked to complete a post-survey rating their self-perceived mood. All subjects will interact with Robert-Christian Sanchez, an undergraduate music major in a time-span of 15 minutes at maximum. These procedures will only be performed once, and will not require any follow-up tests. You do not have to answer every question or complete every task. You will not lose any benefits if you skip questions or tasks.

**Location:** A room at the University of Central Florida Music Building will be rented out for this study.

**Time required:** We expect that you will be in this research study for 15 minutes

**Funding for this study:** This research study is unfunded.

**Risks:** We are using headphones connected to a laptop at only 50% of its maximum volume in order to reduce the risk of hearing loss. You may notify the investigator if you would like the music turned up or down.

**Benefits:**
There are no expected benefits to you for taking part in this study.

**Compensation or payment:**
There is no direct compensation for taking part in this study.

**Confidentiality:** We will limit your personal data collected in this study to people who have a need to review this information. We cannot promise complete secrecy.

**Study contact for questions about the study or to report a problem:** If you have questions, concerns, or complaints, or think the research has hurt you, talk to: Dr. R. Joe Gennaro Ph.D., College of Arts and Humanities, by email at Joe.Gennaro@ucf.edu, or by phone at (407)823-4180.
IRB contact about your rights in the study or to report a complaint: Research at the University of Central Florida involving human participants is carried out under the oversight of the Institutional Review Board (UCF IRB). This research has been reviewed and approved by the IRB. For information about the rights of people who take part in research, please contact: Institutional Review Board, University of Central Florida, Office of Research & Commercialization, 12201 Research Parkway, Suite 501, Orlando, FL 32826-3246 or by telephone at (407) 823-2901. You may also talk to them for any of the following:

- Your questions, concerns, or complaints are not being answered by the research team.
- You cannot reach the research team.
- You want to talk to someone besides the research team.
- You want to get information or provide input about this research.
Appendix B: Participant Survey
The Effect of Music on Physiological Responses and Self-Perceived Mood

Participant Survey

Age: ____________________  Gender: ____________________

Prior to test:

How do you feel today? (Circle one number)

-3  -2  -1  0  1  2  3
Negative  Neutral  Positive

Describe your mood in a few words below:

____________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________

Are you on any specific medication? If so, please list below:

____________________________________________________________________________
Have you ever been diagnosed with high blood pressure? (Circle)
Yes / No

Do you have any pre-existing respiratory conditions? If so, please list below:

____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

Test Instructions:
In a few moments, a musical selection will be played for approximately two minutes. During those two minutes, think of an adjective which you feel best describes the selection in the space below.

________________________

After Test:
Have you heard this selection before?

____________________________________________________________________________

Do you find this song: (Circle one)
Pleasing / Displeasing
Did you have any disturbing events prior to this survey? (Circle one)
Yes / No

How do you feel now? (Circle one number)

-3   -2   -1   0   1   2   3
Negative Neutral Positive

Describe your mood in a few words below:

____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
Appendix C: Approval of Human Research
Approval of Human Research

From: UCF Institutional Review Board #1
FWA0000351, IRB0001138

To: Robert J. Gennaro and Co-PI: Robert-Christine Sanchez

Date: March 13, 2012

Dear Researcher,

On 2/13/2012 the IRB approved the following minor modifications to human participant research until 10/23/2012 inclusive:

Type of Review: IRB Addendum and Modification Request Form
Modification Type: Revision to survey
Project Title: The Effect of Music on Physiological Responses and Self-Perceived Mood
Investigator: Robert J. Gennaro
IRB Number: SBE-11-07967
Funding Agency: n/a
Grant Title: n/a
Research ID: n/a

The Continuing Review Application must be submitted 30 days prior to the expiration date for studies that were previously expedited, and 60 days prior to the expiration date for research that was previously reviewed at a convened meeting. Do not make changes to the study (i.e., protocol, methodology, consent form, personnel, site, etc.) before obtaining IRB approval. A Modification Form cannot be used to extend the approval period of a study. All forms may be completed and submitted online at https://iris.research.ucf.edu.

If continuing review approval is not granted before the expiration date of 10/23/2012, approval of this research expires on that date. When you have completed your research, please submit a Study Closures request in IRIS so that IRB records will be accurate.

Use of the approved, stamped consent document(s) is required. The new form supersedes all previous versions, which are now invalid for further use. Only approved investigators (or other approved key study personnel) may solicit consent for research participation. Participants or their representatives must receive a signed and dated copy of the consent form(s). Participants or their representatives must receive a copy of the consent form(s).

In the conduct of this research, you are responsible to follow the requirements of the Investigator Manual.

On behalf of Sophia Dziwgielewski, Ph.D., L.C.S.W., CF IRB Chair, this letter is signed by:

Signature applied by Patricia Davis on 03/13/2012 11:32:04 AM EST
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