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ABSTRACT

*Staphylococcus aureus* has an historical relationship with anthropogenic environments, particularly hospitals, where infection characteristics differ from community-acquired disease. This has promoted a designation of strains as healthcare or community associated. Despite this affiliation, genetic approaches have failed to support these groupings. In order to establish the genetic relationship between *S. aureus* from differing anthropogenic environments, I have analyzed the relatedness between three cohorts of *S. aureus*: nasal carriage isolates from community participants, infectious isolates from hospitals, and a cohort from an uninvestigated environment, an ambulatory clinic. Multilocus Sequence Typing (MLST) and *Staphylococcus aureus* protein a (*spa*) repeat regions were analyzed and the genetic relationships between cohorts at these sites were determined. I found high similarity in recovered sequences within and between all cohorts, with cohorts sharing 100% sequence identity across some samples. Phylogenetic reconstruction of the combined datasets indicate panmixia, with samples of all origins belonging to shared genetic lineages. Additional clustering algorithms supported this pattern. The findings of this study indicate that there is strong genetic similarity between both infectious strains and nasal carriage strains and between isolates from all cohorts. This research has implications for healthcare, as it demonstrates that *S. aureus* from differing environments are genetically similar (often identical), cautioning against delineating strains into nasal carriage or infectious based on origin. This research also informs the study of *S. aureus* evolution – strengthening the conclusion that differentiation at stably selected markers in lineages within
differing ‘healthcare habitats’ is insufficient to explain observed phenotypic differences, and alternative explanations must be explored.
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**LIST OF NOMENCLATURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMBULATORY CARE CLINIC</td>
<td>A healthcare center that provides professional medical care on a strictly outpatient basis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARRIAGE</td>
<td>Host status in which a potentially infectious agent is present, but incurring no symptoms or damage to host processes, <em>see COLONIZATION</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COHORT</td>
<td>A group of samples collected due to a shared characteristic (i.e., sample location, time of sampling, ect.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLONIZATION</td>
<td>Infectious agent status in which agent resides on or within a host without incurring consequences to the host, <em>see CARRIAGE</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISEASE</td>
<td>An abnormal condition of an organism limiting normal function; the result of the interaction of infection and host response, or abnormal host response in the absence of infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFECTION</td>
<td>Invasion and multiplication of an agent within a host such that the host develops clinical symptoms due to cellular or systemic damage resulting from the actions of that agent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOSOCOMIAL</td>
<td>Pertaining or originating in a hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>Ambulatory Care Centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF</td>
<td>Bayes Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>Bayesian Inference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Community-associated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
<td>Healthcare-associated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLST</td>
<td>Multi-Locus Sequence Typing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVL</td>
<td>Panton Valentine Leucocidin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCCMec</td>
<td>Staphylococcus Cassette Chromosome mec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spa</td>
<td>Staphylococal Protein A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR2</td>
<td>Toll-like Receptor 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BACKGROUND

*Staphylococcus aureus* was first described by Sir Alexander Ogston in 1880, when it was discovered in the pus of a surgical incision (Ogston 1882). By 1884, it was defined as the type species for the genus *Staphylococcus*. A gram positive, coagulase positive coccus, *S. aureus* is among the most prevalent species in its genus (alongside *Staphylococcus epidemidis*), and one of the most common constituents of human microflora, as well as being a prominent colonist of livestock and other species (Tancrede 1992). As a commensal organism, *S. aureus* primarily colonizes mucosal membranes, including the throat, the epithelium of the anterior nares, within the vaginal mucosa, and on the exterior skin, particularly in the axillary and groin (Payne 1966). Colonization of the human host is facilitated by a number of adhesion-receptor interactions, where bacterial tethering proteins bind to carbohydrate moiety in mucin (Shuter 1996). Asymptomatic colonization of *S. aureus* is of two types: persistent carriers acquire a single strain of *S. aureus* which they carry for extended periods of time. Longitudinal sampling of these individuals demonstrate the persistence of these strains (Wertheim 2005). Intermittent carriers alternate between colonization, clearance, and recolonization (Williams 1963). Variance in host factors, particularly toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2), are strongly implicated in colonization success (Lorenz 2000). Asymptomatically carrying *S. aureus* longitudinally – especially persistently – is a strong indicator of eventual pathogenesis (Kluytmans 1997). *Staphylococcus aureus* physiology strongly promotes opportunistic invasion of other tissues, where infection and disease progression occurs. Collagen binding proteins facilitate invasion of exposed skeletal tissue, particularly joints, while clumping factors and fibrinogen binding
factors allow for *S. aureus* to colonize incisions on the epithelial tissue (Rohde 2007, Eidhin 1998). When the circulatory system is accessible, *S. aureus* tethering proteins are capable of inducing endothelium tissue endocytosis, allowing escape from host immune defenses and infection of the blood (Clark 2009).

Widespread use of antibiotics has led to a *S. aureus* developing numerous resistances, altering this species’ epidemiology. With the rare exception, such as vancomycin, *S. aureus* evolves antibacterial resistance rapidly, typically demonstrating tolerance of new antibiotics within the span of a few years (Klevens 2007, CDC 2002, Tsiodras 2001). While drugs such as vancomycin maintained efficacy against *S. aureus* for several decades, antibiotic resistance to even these treatments have been shown (Tabaqchali 1997). In the case of penicillin, *S. aureus* demonstrated resistance prior to the widespread initial use of the drug (Rammelkamp 1942). *Staphylococcus aureus’* rapid acquisition of resistance is owed in part to Chromosome Recombinase, an enzyme capable of excising regions of *S. aureus’* genome (termed chromosome cassettes) and exporting them in horizontal transfer events, allowing unrelated lineages to rapidly adopt new resistances. *Staphylococcus aureus’* ability to horizontally transfer these factors is additionally accelerated by the presence of biofilms – a deposited extracellular matrix this species is known for (Rohde 2007). Of particular concern for healthcare, methicillin resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) acquires resistance via the exchange of *Staphylococcal Cassette Chromosome mec* (SCCmec, Ito 2004, Katayama 2000). MRSA strains are more aggressively infectious, harder to manage, and more costly to treat than their methicillin susceptible (MSSA)
counterparts (Capitano 2003, Chambers 2001). The rapid acquisition of resistance to broad spectrum antibiotics prompted the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) to label *S. aureus*, particularly MRSA strains, as high concern for human health. Over 500,000 hospitalizations occur annually in the United States, incurring a mean economic cost of 1,332 USD (MSSA) to 2,607 USD (MRSA) (Capitano 2003).

Given the threat *S. aureus* poses to human health, extensive efforts have been made to clarify its diversity, resulting in a suite of molecular techniques aimed at characterizing strains. Pulse Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE) is the process of running gel chromatography on whole-protein samples with alternating current angle and direction to achieve maximum separation of protein bands by weight (Kaufmann 1998). PFGE remains the method that results in the highest resolution between strains, allowing for the discrimination between samples that cannot be differentiated through other methods. However, this method is sensitive to variation in technique and conditions between labs, meaning comparing results across studies is not possible (Murchan 2003). Multi Locus Sequence Typing (MLST) was designed to address this issue. MLST is applicable to a broad range of microbial species, and requires the sequencing of several housekeeping loci. In the case of *S. aureus*, this corresponds to seven housekeeping loci (*arcC, aroE, glpF, gmk, pta, tpi, yqiL*). Newly sequenced samples are compared to a curated online database composed of all previously known alleles, and assigned known numerical labels in the case of a match. Novel alleles are given new labels and curated in the database. Unlike PFGE, MLST is broadly applicable across studies, at the cost of marginal reduction in
discriminatory power between strains. However, as MLST requires the use of housekeeping genes, which by nature slowly accumulate variation due to negative selection, the ability to discern closely related samples at local scales suffers (Enright, 2000). New markers have been developed in response, including clumping factors a/b, fibrinogen binding factors, and Staphylococcal protein a (spa). Virulence factors such as these are far more variable than housekeeping genes, and enhance discriminatory power of investigations while simultaneously allowing results to be shared across labs.

The spa locus, specifically, codes for a membrane-tethered virulence factor involved in host-immune evasion. The portion of the protein extending extracellularly interacts with the Fragment Crystallizable (Fc) region of human Immunoglobulin G (IgG), preventing native IgG activation of effector immune cells. The membrane spanning region of this protein is of interest for evolutionary analysis, as it is formed from variable repeat regions in the corresponding portion of the spa locus. The presence and absence of certain nucleotide repeat regions, as well as the order in which those repeats occur, allow homology statements to be drawn between compared isolates. Typing of strains based on sequence identity match at these repeat regions results in discriminatory power of 98% when compared to PFGE (Harmsen 2003).

Investigations utilizing these techniques have revealed broad themes in S. aureus genetic structure. Notably, S. aureus is a remarkably clonal organism. Recombination does occur, but at very reduced rate when compared to single-nucleotide point mutations, which occur 15 times more frequently (Feil 2003). As a result, S. aureus isolates tend to cluster into clonal complexes
(CCs), where a single strain founds an initial population, and subsequent strains originate via point mutations from the founder. Resultantly, large demographics of *S. aureus* are composed of only a few clonal complexes. For example, all MRSA isolates in the Meuse-Rhine Region are of four European clonal complexes (Deurenberg 2005).

Given the larger clonal genetic structure of *S. aureus*, it is expected that a lack of inherited variation across lineages would result. However, *S. aureus* does show remarkable phenotypic differentiation across environments. In particular, *S. aureus* varies across healthcare environments, both in specific proteins and in infection profile. This scenario has led to the adoption of a community- or hospital-associated designation of strains (Lodise 2003, Steinberg 1996, Vandenesch 2003). In hospitals, *S. aureus* antibacterial resistance occurs at significantly higher rates than within the community at large (Panlilio 1992, David 2010). Additionally, hospital-associated strains are responsible for scalded-skin syndrome in infants in neonatal wards, and terminal bacteremia infections (Ladhani 1998, Cosgrove 2013). Within hospitals, it is hypothesized that transmission of *S. aureus* is facilitated by direct attendant transmission, accelerating infection rates and therefore strain virulence (Ewald 1993). In contrast, community-associated strains exhibit lower prevalence of antibacterial resistance, and terminal illness generally results from necrotizing pneumonia—an aggressive condition that usually killed within 72 hours of onset (Gillet 2007). These phenotypic differences are the result of underlying proteome variation. Hospital-associated *S. aureus* are more likely to acquire methicillin resistance via large SCCmec types I, II, or III. Additionally, they are more likely to

Both SCC mec and PVL are elements that are preferentially found in either community or hospital environments as significantly higher rates than the opposing habitat. However, *S. aureus*’ genome can be broadly divided into three categories: core genes, core variables, and mobile genetic elements (MGEs). MGE regions include portions of the genome encoded by prophages and *Staphylococcal* cassettes. Virulence and antibiotic resistance loci, including those that are associated with healthcare environments, are disproportionately represented within MGE regions (Lindsay 2010). In addition to virulence and antibiotic resistance proteins, many cassettes also encoded for the enzymes needed for their horizontal transmission (recombinases), accelerating their propagation independent of *S. aureus* reproduction. As the phylogenetic signal originating from MGE regions may not reflect the evolutionary history of *S. aureus* overall, investigations have been standardized on core variable loci, which include previously mentioned MLST and *spa* loci. Attempts to recover any signal of variation across healthcare environments utilizing core variables have been unable to resolve hospital- or community-associated strains into monophyletic clades (Lamers 2011). However, portions of the core variable regions, including *spa* have not been utilized in phylogenetic reconstruction. Given the lack of clarity surrounding the relationships of phenotypic variation and genetic
differentiation within this species, it is important to increase taxonomic sampling, particularly by investigating new loci and sampling locations.
INTRODUCTION

*Staphylococcus aureus* is a widespread human pathogen of high concern to global human health (Noskin 2007). This pathogen is most often associated with healthcare settings, where it is the leading cause of nosocomial infections (Grundmann 2006). In addition, *S. aureus* is commonly found affecting community demographics not associated with hospital exposure, where asymptomatic carriage is implicated in eventual infection (van Belkum 2009). An estimated 20-30% of the global human population is colonized by *S. aureus* persistently, with intermittent carriers ranging from 60%-100% of sampled individuals in given populations (Heiman 2005, Williams 1963, Dancer 1991, Nouwen 2004, Van Belkum 2009). Despite being found commonly in both clinical and nonclinical environments, the narrative in *S. aureus* literature delineates strains into one of two categories: hospital-acquired (HA) strains, and strains that are community acquired (CA) (Karauzum 2008, DeLeo 2010, Cheung 2011). Phenotypic variation in pathogenesis factors of strains and the symptoms of resulting infections are suggestive of such categories (Rudd 2008). Despite a strong historic focus on hospital samples, there is growing interest into the epidemiological consequences of community carriage, reemphasizing the HA/CA divide (Muthukrishnan 2013).

Clarifying relationships in species of clinical concern is important in explaining the epidemiology of that species (Monis 1998, Oliver 1996). Recent research indicates that genetic structure of *Staphylococcus* is poorly understood and in need of revision (Lamers 2012). Systematic investigations into the underlying genetics of HA and CA isolates of *S. aureus* have failed to recover evidence to differentiate these categories (Lamers 2011). This conclusion is surprising,
given known protein variation between HA and CA strains of *S. aureus* (Montgomery 2010, Deurenberg 2008). In order to address this disconnect, further genetic investigations of *S. aureus* are necessary, particularly those sampling more variable markers (>1% mean pairwise distance, Cooper 2006).

Classically, samples of *S. aureus* representing the HA designation have been drawn from hospital settings (Holden 2004). However, recent decades have seen the emergence of new healthcare facilities distinct from both hospital and community environments. Medical clinics, also known as ‘walk-in clinics’ or ambulatory care centers, share similarities between both traditional hospital settings as well as nonclinical, community environments (Starfield 1991). These similarities, including attendant mediated care and minor surgical procedures, are known to have consequences for pathogenesis and disease (Ewald 1993, Kluytmans 1997). Given their distinct nature, usage and demographic data pertaining to ambulatory care centers are generally collected independently of hospitals (Schappert 1998). Despite this acknowledgment of ambulatory care centers as unique environments, little research has been conducted into the pathogens circulating within them. Though investigations into the epidemiology of *S. aureus* in ambulatory care centers have been performed, no information regarding isolate genetic relationships among *S. aureus* isolates within these centers is available (Szumowski 2007). Ambulatory care clinics may influence the relationships within *S. aureus*, given that individuals – and presumably the pathogens they carry – permeate through these environments more rapidly than hospitals, while frequent direct contact by primary care providers within these clinics more closely resembles hospital procedures. Population genetics investigations including
isolates from ambulatory care centers are necessary for shedding light into the epidemiology of 
*S. aureus* in this previously unexplored environment.

*S. aureus* population structure and phylogenetic relationships have been extensively 
characterized. Pulse-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) and multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) 
are methods most often utilized in these investigations (McDougal 2003, Enright 2000). While 
PFGE results in the highest levels of resolution and differentiation between strains, the method 
is difficult to reproduce precisely, undermining results shared between laboratories and 
investigations (Chung 2000). Comparatively, MLST marginally sacrifices resolution between 
samples to achieve standardized results that are easily compared between studies (Maiden 
1998, Enright 2002). However, the loci utilized in MLST are housekeeping genes, the functions 
of which are vital in preserving *S. aureus*’ viability. Thus, these loci are, by nature, slowly 
evolving, and the ability to distinguish between closely related samples (e.g., those collected 
from closely-associated localities) suffers (Enright 1999). In order to address this, standardized 
methods aimed at factors exhibiting high variability even at local scales (e.g., virulence factors) 
have been developed. Staphylococcal protein A (*spa*) typing has emerged as a compromise 
between the resolution of PFGE and the applicability of MLST (Harmsen 2003). While *spa* typing 
and MLST have been used in the thorough investigation of *S. aureus* population structure within 
the community and hospitals, no investigation has yet sought to leverage these tools in 
exploring novel environments such as ambulatory care centers.
Here, I have performed an evolutionary analysis of seven MLST gene fragments and spa, incorporating samples taken from a representative example of previously uninvestigated ambulatory care center, with the aim of better understanding how population structure of *S. aureus* varies across heterogeneous environments. Isolates were taken from employees of the University of Central Florida’s Health Center, a representative ambulatory care center. This sample site was additionally attractive as it was adjacent to a previous sampled community, allowing us to eliminate the effects of geographic distance which can influence the resulting phylogeny (Lamers 2011, Muthrukrishnan 2012, Slatkin 1990). Given the systematic uncertainty within *S. aureus* and the specific inability to validate differences between hospital and community isolates, I hypothesized that isolates from the ambulatory care center will not be genetically differentiated based on their origin. Therefore, I predicted similar sequence identify, shared haplotypes, and no signal of differentiation within the phylogenetic reconstruction among and between all cohorts.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Statement

Samples were collected from willing participants, under the guidance of procedures approved by University of Central Florida’s Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved procedures (Muthrukishnan, 2012). Informed consent was acquired from all participants prior to sampling. All investigators involved in sample collection were properly instructed and granted Collaborative Institutional Training Initiative (CITI) certification.

Bacterial Isolates

141 healthy employees of the University of Central Florida’s Health Center underwent pre-screening for bacterial isolates. Participants volunteered demographic information in order to inform the population structure of recovered bacterial isolates. Of the screened participants, 29 (20.5%) resulted in positive identification of *S. aureus*. Isolates were collected via participant insertion of a cotton swab into both nostrils and circulation for approximately 5-10 seconds. Swabs were immersed in glycerol-Trypticase™ Soy Broth (TSB) solution during transport, followed by plating on Trypticase™ Soy Agar (TSA) impregnated by 5% sheep’s blood. Isolates were incubated at 37°C for 16 hours. Resultant colonies were tested with Staphyloslide™ Latex Test reagent to positively identify cultures as *S. aureus*. Verified *S. aureus* colonies were isolated and inoculated in TSB for an additional 16 hours at 37°C at 250rpm in preparation for
DNA extraction. Chi-squared tests of donor carriage were performed to determine the uniformity of carriage within the ACC cohort.

DNA Extraction

1.5mL of each bacterial inoculate was centrifuged at 16,000g for two minutes. Supernatant was removed, and the remaining pellet was utilized in the extraction protocol. DNA was extracted utilizing GenElute Bacterial Genomic DNA kits (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, Missouri), in accordance with manufacturer’s instructions. Fragments of seven MLST loci (arc, aroE, glpF, gmk, pta, tpi, yqiL) ranging from 402-512 base pairs were amplified (Enright 2000). Additionally, approximately 500 base pair fragments of spa were amplified. Approximately 30 ng of genomic DNA was added to 30 uL reactions mixtures containing: .02U/uL Platinum™Taq DNA Polymerase high Fidelity, 1X PCR buffer, 2mM MgSO₄, 0.3 mM dNTPs, 0.3 uM of external forward and reverse primers (Table 1), and 2% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). PCR amplification was achieved using Peltier Thermal Cyclers (PTC) 200 (MJ Research) for 30 cycles under the following cycle parameters: initial denaturation at 95°C for five minutes, annealing at 55°C for one minute, extension at 72°C for one minute, followed by denaturation at 95°C for one minute. A final extension step at 72°C for five minutes followed.

DNA Sequence Analysis

Following amplification, PCR products were purified utilizing QiaQuick PCR Purification Kit (QiaGen, Redwood City, Ca). All Sanger Sequencing was performed at University of Arizona’s Genetics Core. Forward and reverse reads were visualized with Sequencher 5.1 (Gene Codes
Co., Ann Harbor, Michigan). Sequences were organized in MEGA 5.2 and aligned with ClustalW. Sequence Types (STs) were determined for each sample based on alleles identified for each of the seven MLST loci. Alleles were cross-referenced against the *S. aureus* database curated at MLST.net. Novel alleles – or novel combinations of known alleles – were submitted to the MLST database for curation, whereupon new allele designation and STs were obtained.

Phylogenetic Reconstruction

In order to infer the relationships between samples of hospital, community, and ambulatory care center origins, phylogenetic analysis was performed on a concatenated dataset of all eight sequenced loci for all samples. Datasets representing community samples were constructed utilizing 141 samples from a previous study of the University of Central Florida’s student, staff, and faculty body. Examination of this data revealed no overlap from samples from the community and clinical cohorts. Gene sequences from 15 strains associated with hospital infection were acquired from NCBI GenBank and included in this analysis. The previously sequenced hospital strains were N315, Mu50, COL, MRSA252, MSSA476, MW2, USA300_FPR3757, NCTC8325, JH1, JH9, Newman, Mu3, USA300_TCH1516, 04-02981, and TW20. These strains were selected as they were both utilized as references in the previous UCF community sampling (Lamers, 2011) as well as being representative of common clonal types found within hospitals across the United States. The concatenated dataset of all samples was partitioned by gene fragment and codon position, with models of evolution being assigned by Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) within PartitionFinderv1.1.1 (Lanfear 2012) (Table 3). Once partitioned, phylogenetic reconstruction of the data was performed using Metropolis-Hastings
Coupled Markovnikov Chain Monte Carlo in BEAST v1.8 (Drummond 2012). Two independent Bayesian Inference (BI) runs were carried out using random starting trees. After five million iterations, runs were terminated and visualized utilizing Tracer v1.5 (Rambaut 2014). The most-likely tree was summed utilizing TreeAnnotatorv1.8.2 and visualized with FigTreev1.4.0 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree).

In order to test the likelihood of competing hypotheses against the unconstrained tree, Bayes Factor Topography Testing was performed (Figure 1). Additional BI runs were performed, utilizing identical operational parameters (run length, number of chains, ect). BEAST v1.8 allows for the forced monophyly of designated taxa. Summarized phylogenies were reconstructed for my dataset when all taxa were constrained to be monophyletic given their origins (i.e., HA, AAC, or CA). The log marginal likelihood of the unconstrained, maximum-likelihood tree \( B_0 \) and the constrained hypotheses trees \( B_A \) were calculated using two methodologies: Bayesian Stepping Stone and Path Sampling. Bayes Factors for alternative hypotheses were calculated as \( BF = \frac{P(D|M_0)}{P(D|M_A)} \) where \( P(D|M_x) \) is the marginal likelihood of model \( x \) – essentially a ratio between marginal likelihoods of competing models. Calculations for Bayes Factors were adopted from Rafferty, 1996. Interpretation of Bayes Factors were informed by Posada and Buckley 2004, stating that Bayes Factors exceeding 150 are considered very strong indication of a less likely tree, with those falling between 12 and 150 considered good indication of a less likely tree, and those between 3 and 12 weakly indicative of a less likely tree. Bayes Factors below 3 are considered insignificant.
Population Structure: MLST Data

Sequence Types were grouped based on clusters sharing six of seven MLST alleles in common with at least one other member of the cluster. Clustering was performed in eBURSTv3 (Feil 2004), which provides for a query set of clinical samples to be tested against the reference set of community and hospital samples. Clustering of samples of six of seven shared alleles is reflective of eBurst procedures designed to account for the potential for high variation in single alleles to result from recombination, rather than differentiation within the lineage. Bootstrap support for clusters were inferred based on 1,000 resampled iterations.

In order to visualize population structure at MLST loci without masking recombination at a single locus, PopART (http://popart.otago.ac.nz) was utilized to produce a minimum spanning network of all mutational steps.
RESULTS

Multilocus sequence typing demonstrates similarity between isolates of varying origins

MLST analysis of the 29 samples isolated from my sampling scheme within UCF Health Services identified 10 different sequence types (STs), one of which was new. Of these 10 STs, five were previously recovered in a sampling of the adjacent community. Of the remaining five samples, four were previously identified as HA strains (STs 105, 109, 20, and 1) and one was novel. Overall, carriage rate within the ACC cohort was 20.6%. $\chi^2$ tests of demographic information indicated that carriage was not evenly distributed across groups. Notably, carriage was 10% for non-Hispanic black donors. This difference was predominately driven by a low carriage rate of 4.5% by non-Hispanic black females. Non-Hispanic black males had a carriage rate of 25%. Asian/Pacific Islanders had a significantly higher than average carriage rate at 36%. This result was driven by females within this group (50%). No tested male Asian/Pacific Islander was positive for \textit{S. aureus} carriage. Neither age of donor nor length of employment were significant predictors of carriage, though length of employment did approach significance ($p=.07$, figure 2).

It appears that there is an inverse correlation between extensive employment within the health center and likelihood of carriage, possibly indicating an increase in hygienic practices and caution in inveterate primary care physicians.

Of the 10 recovered sequence types, the most prevalent was ST30, accounting for 9 of 29 isolates (31%). Sequence types 5 and 45 were the second and third most commonly identified sequence types following ST30, with 6 (21%) and 4 (14%) instances respectively. These results
are roughly comparable with the prevalence of prominent sequence types in the prior UCF sampling (Lamers, 2011).

Phylogenetic reconstruction of MLST data shows lack of differentiation between taxa

Genetic similarities of ACC isolated were apparent from the most likely phylogenetic tree (Figure 2). In the unconstrained tree, no monophyly between samples of clinic, hospital, or community origin were recovered; clades containing combinations of all three origins were recovered with strong nodal support. Investigation into the demographic information of the donors of these samples revealed that they were in no shared demographic category (dissimilar ages, sex, race, position, ect). Many samples in the phylogeny were grouped into large, unresolved polytomies containing HA, CA and ACC isolates, indicating low differentiation amongst those samples consistent with recent common ancestors and ongoing geneflow between origins.

In order to determine the strength of the most likely tree compared to those that represent competing hypotheses, additional trees were constructed, demarcated by factors that could potentially influence evolutionary trajectories (locality of sampling, ethnicity of participant, profession of participant, ect). All trees representing competing hypotheses were significantly worse at representing the data than the most likely unconstrained tree, based on BF analysis (Table 3).
I was also interested in determining the relative contribution of genetic markers of varied origin (ie, housekeeping MLST vs variable repeat regions in spa) on my reconstruction. Additional phylogenies were constructed, weighting the conserved genes more heavily than the hypervariable spa locus. Weights were assigned based on mean pairwise genetic distance between samples. Average genetic distance between all seven MLST loci was .6%; between spa alleles, comparatively, there was a mean genetic distance of 4.7%. The marginal likelihood of the resulting weighted phylogeny was compared against the unconstrained tree (Table 4). The weighted tree vastly outperformed the unweighted tree in my Bayes Factors hypothesis-testing framework (BF>150).

eBURST produces clusters containing S. aureus from all sample categories

MLST eBURST clustered samples from all origins (ACC, HA, CA) into 10 distinct groups, with 13 remaining singletons that were not assigned to a cluster (Figure 3). These singleton STs were most often represented by a single isolate, though ST 20 was not clustered, and contained two individual isolates from my clinical subset. Of my clusters, three (3, 7, 9) contained only community samples. All other clusters contained an admixture of all categories of samples. Groups 2 and 8 were founded by CA STs, with the remaining clusters founded by shared sequence types.

In order to demonstrate inferred haplotypes and interconnect clusters without the influence of hypothetical recombination, PopArt was utilized on MLST data in order to build a minimum spanning network (Figure 4). The results acquired from the minimum spanning network were in
agreement with the clusters resolved by eBURST. Highly successful haplotypes (e.g., ST 30, ST 5) were shared between both ACC and CA isolates, with no monophyly of samples for any sample origin.
DISCUSSION

Well characterized relationships with few uncertainties is important when examining the epidemiology of pathogenic species. Due to the nomenclature surrounding *S. aureus* relationships across healthcare environments, it was the goal of this study to examine the evolutionary trajectory, relatedness and genetic structure of *S. aureus* in a previously unstudied medical environment in comparison to previously assessed cohorts. As STs originating in my ACC cohort were similar, and often identical, to CA and HA samples, I conclude that ACC do not represent a distinct environment containing independently evolving *S. aureus*. The inclusion of a new molecular marker of high sequence variation within my phylogenetic reconstruction was expected to increase resolution when compared to previous reconstructions. I never-the-less found no major clade exclusive to a single sample origin, strengthening previously drawn conclusions from phylogenetic reconstructions of only HA and CA isolates with only MLST data (Lamers 2011). Ultimately, I conclude that no significant variation divides *S. aureus* samples in regards to the origin of isolation included in this study.

The potential divide between community and healthcare strains may seem to impact the effectiveness of sampling schemes such as the one employed in this study. On first examination of *S. aureus* literature, HA *S. aureus* appears adapted to causing disease, as representative examples of healthcare associated strains including those included in my phylogenetic reconstruction are almost universally isolated from infected, not asymptomatic, individuals (Tenover 2004, Tsiodras 2001, Rotun 1999, Cosgrove 2013). It could be argued that by sampling only healthy individuals within my cohort and the reference community cohort, my scheme
biased my search against *S. aureus* strains adapted for disease, and therefore healthcare associated strains. However, the relationship between HA *S. aureus* and disease progression is likely spurious and the reflective of the treatment demands of hospitals. This is not surprising, given that the primary interest of hospital case studies is the monitoring and description of disease; individuals not exhibiting disease, including those asymptotically colonized, warrant no case study. In short, most HA samples have come from individuals who first became diseased, and then subsequently were sampled without having been screened for the same carriage asymptotically prior (Tenover 2004, Tsiodras 2001, Rotun 1999, Cosgrove 2013). Studies that have sampled hospitalized individuals for asymptomatic nasal carriage have shown that the same HA strain of *S. aureus* that ultimately results in fatal disease was first carried asymptomatically for some time before progressing to disease (Young 2012). Additionally, monitoring of asymptomatic carriage of new patients entering hospitals demonstrated that only a minority of patients newly colonized by *S. aureus* while hospitalized – the literal definition of HA – progressed to a diseased state (Davis 2004). Additionally, there is a growing body of evidence that demonstrates that community-associated strains are fully capable of progressing to infection and disease (Davis 2007, Rieg 2005, Voyich 2006). Ultimately, it appears that host-factors, rather than pathogen-adaptation, are responsible for disease progression (Cole 1999, Quinn 2007). In short, HA and CA designations do not relate to infectious and noninfectious strains, respectively, strengthening my confidence in the validity of my sampling.
Phylogenetic analyses perform best when moderately variable sequences are utilized to build homology statements between pairs of taxa. In instances such as this study, where genetic differentiation is very low, posterior support values tend to suffer. This lack of variation in MLST loci is attributed to their role as housekeeping loci that encode for proteins necessary for \textit{S. aureus} viability, most commonly metabolic enzymes. In my cohort, the average genetic distance between MLST loci was less than a single percentage point (.6%). This tendency has been noted in previous phylogenetic reconstructions incorporating these loci, including the study that generated my reference community cohorts (Muthrukrishnan 2012). The lack of variation in these loci and the resulting potential loss of confidence in analyses in studies at the local scale has been known since the inception of these sites as markers (Enright 2000). Efforts have been made to design markers that retain MLST’s ability to be exported between labs without sacrificing variability, including \textit{spa}, clumping factors a/b, and fibrinogen binding factor (Harmsen 2003, Lamers 2011). My inclusion of \textit{spa} in this study is the first phylogenetic reconstruction to utilize this region, and doing so increased the variability across my cohort, as \textit{spa} demonstrated a mean genetic distance of 4.7%. I none-the-less recovered low posterior values at the tips of my tree, resulting in broad polytomies. This variation in my markers also demonstrated itself in the Bayes Factors I recovered for my weighted tree. Based on mean genetic distances across my loci of interest, it appears that MLST loci are eight times less likely to demonstrate nucleotide diversity between any two individuals at any given position, likely due to the influence of negative selection maintaining relative homogeneity at these sites (Enright, 2000). By informing the reconstruction to weigh autapomorphies at these loci eight
times more heavily than those in spa, I recovered a more likely tree than the unweighted phylogeny alone. This tree mainly increased posterior probabilities at deep nodes, however, and the polytomies at the tips of the tree were unresolved. This underscores the highly conserved nature of MLST loci, and demonstrates the need for new variable marker discovery in order to strengthen investigations into *S. aureus*.

These conclusions were largely confirmed by eBURST analysis. The purpose of clustering algorithms such as eBURST is to delineate groupings of samples into familial groups (in this case, clonal clusters). The clustering of our samples were consistent with a population reproducing across environments. As gene flow is high, with identical haplotypes being seen in both ACC and CA samples, it is unlikely that any lineage specific differentiation or adaptation in the core genome of *S. aureus* is likely to arise due to any divide caused by anthropogenic healthcare environments. Additionally, as the sequence data I utilized in these analyses are reflective of different regions of the core variable portions of *S. aureus* genome, it can be inferred from our results that historical differentiation has not occurred within these regions. Differentiation may be better explained by the phylogenetic reconstruction of alternative regions of *S. aureus*’ genome – such as mobile genetic elements – which are known to harbor loci coding for specific proteins responsible for phenotypic variation in this species.

Ultimately, phylogenetic analyses, eBURST clustering, and minimum spanning haplotype networking of my samples all support the conclusion that isolates taken from ambulatory care clinic employees are not significantly isolated from isolates extracted both from healthy
community carriers or hospitalized individuals. This additionally supports the previous findings which assert that nasal carriage community strains were not themselves differentiated from pathogenic hospital isolates (Feil 2003, Wertheim 2005, Feil 2004) and underscores a lack of differentiation between groupings of S. aureus based on locality of sampling.

My research reinforces the growing confidence that S. aureus lineages are homogenous at core variable markers irrespective of sample origins, even when utilizing highly variable virulence loci such as spa. Additionally, as even geographically correlated samples (UCF ACC and UCF CA) were rendered paraphyletic and in polytomies with diffusely distributed HA samples, this genetic homogeneity in core variables is not seemingly influenced by the effects of distance. Based on this conclusion, future investigations into S. aureus differentiation would best be served by looking into variation into markers selected for variability – such as those coding for highly selected proteins that regulate the expression of phenotypes that vary between hospitals and the community. Additionally, the findings of my study clear the way for investigations into alternate explanations for apparent strain differentiation, such as phenotypic plasticity, or modulation of S. aureus biology based on host-response rather than pathogen-genetics. From a healthcare perspective, the conclusions laid forth by my study have implications for management of S. aureus. The failure to delineate strains based on origin of isolation would suggest the potential epidemiology of this species to be unified across environments, as there seems to be no genetic bases for differentiation. More specifically, these results caution against disregarding asymptptomatically carried samples within ambulatory care clinics as potentially disease causing agents within those environments, as they are genetically indistinguishable.
from strains proven capable of causing disease. Ultimately of concern from a healthcare perspective, the general homogeneity of *S. aureus* underlying genetics encourages the practice of assuming all strains have the potential to be infectious given the correct circumstances, regardless of origin of isolation.
CONCLUSION

It is clear based on the results of my study that samples of Staphylococcus aureus taken from medical clinics are not significantly differentiated from either hospital or community samples based on sequence data. Therefore, I conclude that medical environments – specifically ACCs – do not serve to generate uniquely adapted lineages. Moreover, my study has reiterated recent findings that S. aureus may be relatively homogenous genetically across a wide expanse of anthropogenic environments, as both hospital and community isolates appear indistinguishable from both clinics and one another.

The results of my study have implications from both a healthcare perspective as well as potential to inform future investigations into pathogen evolution. Given the high similarity of STs across clinics, community-carriage, and hospital samples, management efforts of S. aureus should be aware of the indistinct separation of these isolates. Specifically, managing S. aureus as ‘community- or healthcare-associated’ is not reflective of the genetic structure demonstrated by this and other studies (Lamers 2011). By delineating isolates into these two groups, it is possible that epidemiology of this species will not take into account the ability for strains to easily disperse in and out of healthcare settings, as strongly evidenced by MLST data. In short, the results of my study suggest that the monitoring and management of S. aureus should be more closely married across anthropogenic environments.

Additionally, my study will inform future investigations into S. aureus evolution. By addressing the potential hypothesis of lineage differentiation across anthropogenic environments, my study lays the foundation for future work in which alternative explanations for S. aureus
variation might arise. In particular, assessing the distribution of virulence factors, especially highly mobile elements such as resistance islands and prophages. Investigating these elements independently of \textit{S. aureus} lineages may reveal patterns of inheritance that better explain the epidemiology of this species. Furthermore, the results of my study provide emphasis to the idea that pathology of this species may be driven by host factors. If lineages of \textit{S. aureus} that are associated with hospital disease are indistinguishable from strains taken from healthy individuals in communities and clinics, as shown in my study, then it is plausible that pathogenesis is the result of maladaptive host traits. Further research into this area is necessary.

Finally, my study indicates the importance of continuing to develop new genetic markers for \textit{S. aureus}. The weighted phylogeny’s outperformance of the unweighted tree indicates that variable markers such as \textit{spa} may strongly drive phylogenetic inference over less variable markers such as MLST if correct weighting parameters are not applied. Given a relative paucity of available markers and a reliance on MLST in previous studies, further investigation should prioritize enhancing the suite of available loci.
Table 1: Demographic information of participants (a) and positive donors (b) by race. Asterisks denote positive numbers that were significantly different than expected (p<=.05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Racial categories</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>White</strong></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>52.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Pacific Islanders</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Black or African American</strong></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaskan Native</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one race</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total of all subjects</strong></td>
<td>101</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Racial categories</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>White</strong></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>65.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Pacific Islanders</td>
<td>4*</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Black or African American</strong></td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaskan Native</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one race</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>3*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total of all subjects</strong></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Primer</td>
<td>Sequence (5’-3’)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbamate kinase (<em>arcC</em>)</td>
<td>arcCF</td>
<td>TTGATTCACCAGCGCGTATTGTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>arcCR</td>
<td>AGGTATCTGCTTCAATCACGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shikimate dehydrogenase (<em>aroE</em>)</td>
<td>aroEF</td>
<td>ATCGGAAATCTATTTCACATTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>aroER</td>
<td>GGTGTTGTATTAATAACGATATC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol kinase (<em>glpF</em>)</td>
<td>glpF</td>
<td>CTAAGGAACTGCAATCTAACTCC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>glpR</td>
<td>TGGTAAAATCGCATGTCACATCC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanylate kinase (<em>gmk</em>)</td>
<td>gmkF</td>
<td>ATCGTTTTTATCGGGACCATC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gmkR</td>
<td>TCATTAACCTCAAACGTAATC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate acetyltransferase (<em>pta</em>)</td>
<td>ptaF</td>
<td>GTTAAAATCGTATTACCTGAAGG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ptaR</td>
<td>GACCCTTTTTGGAAAAGCTTA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triosphosphate isomerase (<em>tpi</em>)</td>
<td>tpiF</td>
<td>TCGTTTATTCTGAACGTGCAAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tpiR</td>
<td>TTTGACCTTTCTAAACATTGTA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetyl coenzyme A</td>
<td>yqiLF</td>
<td>CAGCATACAGGACACCTATTGAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetyltransferase (<em>yqiL</em>)</td>
<td>yqiLR</td>
<td>CGTTGAGGACATCGTACTGGAAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Partition of gene fragments and codon positions, with associated evolutionary models. Models include Felsenstein 1981 (F81), Hasegawa, Kishino, and Yano 1985 (HKY) and Generalised time-reversible (GTR, Tavare 1986). Variable site distributions are equal unless otherwise noted as proportion invariable (I) and Gamma distributions (G).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Fragment</th>
<th>CP1</th>
<th>CP2</th>
<th>CP3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>arcC</td>
<td>HKY+I+G</td>
<td>F81+I</td>
<td>HKY+G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aroE</td>
<td>HKY+I+G</td>
<td>F81+I</td>
<td>HKY+I+G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glpF</td>
<td>HKY+I+G</td>
<td>F81+I</td>
<td>HKY+I+G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gmk</td>
<td>HKY+I+G</td>
<td>F81+I</td>
<td>HKY+I+G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pta</td>
<td>HKY+I+G</td>
<td>F81+I</td>
<td>HKY+G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tpi</td>
<td>HKY+I+G</td>
<td>F81+I</td>
<td>HKY+I+G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yqiL</td>
<td>HKY+I+G</td>
<td>F81+I</td>
<td>HKY+I+G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spa</td>
<td>GTR+I</td>
<td>HKY+I</td>
<td>HKY+I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Bayesian Factor Hypotheses testing of various competing hypotheses against the unconstrained (most likely) phylogeny. Bayesian factors exceeding a value of 150 are considered decisively significant – those exceeding 12 are strongly significant. Higher values indicate less likely hypotheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>BF (Stepping Stone)</th>
<th>BF (Path Sampling)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constrained Hospital</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constrained Community</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constrained Clinical</td>
<td>852</td>
<td>854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constrained Profession</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B: FIGURES
Figure 1: Schematic representation of all competing hypotheses as calculated utilizing Bayes Factor Testing. $H_0$ representing sample panmixia serves as the unconstrained reference tree that constrained alternative hypotheses are tested against.
Figure 2: Logistic Regression of donor characteristics as predictors for carriage.
Figure 3: Most likely phylogenetic tree. Red taxa labels indicate NCBI hospital samples, blue taxon labels correspond to clinical samples, and black taxon to community samples. Solid circles on nodes indicate posterior probability values of 100.
Figure 4: MLST EBURST of samples. Blue circles represent projected founder strains. Green labels represent strains shared between medical clinic and community cohorts. Black labels are community only, and red are clinical only. Size of circles are proportional to sampled number of isolates conforming to that strain.
Figure 5: Minimal Spanning network of MLST data. Dashes represent inferred haplotypes not recovered in this sampling scheme. Numerical labels indicate the STs of isolates. Size of haplotype circle schematics are proportional to number of isolates recovered of that ST. Coloured wedges indicate proportion of ST owed to each sampled origin.
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