

### **Reviewer Guidelines**

The mission of the ConSTEllations journal is to provide research-based, real-world advice and resources to teachers, from teachers. The goal is to present best-practices in math and science instruction clearly, succinctly, and in a format teachers can immediately implement in a classroom. Submissions should describe pedagogy, curriculum, or assessment ideas shown to be effective through recent implementation.

Each submission to the ConSTEllations journal will consist of three parts: a Manuscript, a Video, and Resources. An initial submission is to be created with the Manuscript and Resources for peer-review. Once the manuscript has been approved for publication, the author will be instructed to submit their video. This will ensure blind-review for the initial process.

The reviewers are to evaluate the content, structure, and relevance of the submission as a whole using the journal rubric. Each submission will be reviewed by three reviewers. Each reviewer will be provided a copy of the full submission as well as this PDF of the rubric (Please use the Fill and Sign feature to add relevant check marks). Authors will submit this form as part of your review and then make your recommendation. Submissions will only be *Rejected* if the author(s) fails to follow the required structure (i.e. no resources and/or no manuscript). Upon Resubmission, a member of the Editorial Board will view the video and determine if the written submission is suitable for publication or if another round of revisions are necessary. The author will be given one additional opportunity to *Revise and Resubmit* if needed or will receive notification their submission has been *Accepted*.

Reviewers should complete the initial review of the submission within 2 months of receiving the documents. Authors will be given a final submission deadline to *Revise and Resubmit*. If they miss the deadline, they will be notified their submission may be reconsidered during the following publication cycle.

### **Overall Content Rubric**

	Minimal	Adequate	Exceptional
Math and/or Science Practices	Reflects <b>traditional</b> practices in math/science instruction	Reflects <b>current</b> best- practices in math/science instruction	Reflects <b>innovative</b> practices in math/science instruction
Practitioner- based	The information is either too <b>research</b> - <b>driven</b> or too <b>vague</b> to be transferred to another classroom if desired.	The information provided is clearly aimed at teachers but will require some <b>additional work</b> to apply in another classroom	Sufficient guidance is provided so the content would be <b>easily</b> <b>transferable</b> to another teacher's classroom
Standards-driven	Has a <b>vague</b> connection to current math and/or science standards	<b>Relates</b> to at least one current math and/or science standard	Addresses current math and/or science standards in <b>meaningful and</b> <b>engaging</b> ways
Considerations of Equity	<b>Does not</b> address any equity considerations	Provides <b>some</b> guidance for accommodations/ modifications as relevant	Provides <b>meaningful</b> guidance on equity in terms of disabilities, poverty, culture, language, etc as relevant

### **Overall Relevance Rubric**

	Minimal	Adequate	Exceptional
Relevance	Adds little to existing knowledge	Builds upon existing pedagogy or curriculum.	Strong connection to current trends in education, demonstrates an understanding of current research and innovations

## **Structure Rubrics**

# Manuscript

	Minimal	Adequate	Exceptional
Length and Format	Much too long/short, not submitted as Google Doc	Follows all Submission guidelines	Well structured, with strong visuals and word choice
Writing	Writing lacks clarity or flow	Writing is clear and understandable	Writing is clear and concise and well crafted to engage the reader
APA Style	Contains <b>major</b> APA errors within document or in citations	Contains <b>minor</b> APA errors	Contains <b>no</b> APA errors

### Resource

	Minimal	Adequate	Exceptional
Length and Format	Is not an original work, not submitted as Google Doc	Follows all Submission guidelines	Well structured and creative
Purpose	Does not add value to the submission (i.e. just a re-read of the manuscript)	Provides additional guidance/clarity to the reader	A valuable addition to the manuscript that supports further understanding